Minutes of the regular monthly meeting of the Planning Commission of Henrico County held in the County Administration Building in the Government Center at Parham and Hungary Springs Roads beginning at 9:00 a.m. Wednesday, June 28, 2017.

4

Members Present: Mr. Eric S. Leabough, C.P.C., Chairperson (Varina)

Mr. Robert H. Witte, Jr., Vice-Chairperson (Brookland)

Mr. C. W. Archer, C.P.C. (Fairfield) Mr. Gregory R. Baka (Tuckahoe)

Mrs. Sandra M. Marshall (Three Chopt)

Ms. Jean Moore, Assistant Director of Planning, Acting Secretary Mr. Tyrone E. Nelson, Board of Supervisors' Representative

Member Absent:

Mr. R. Joseph Emerson, Jr., AICP, Director of Planning,

Secretary

Others Present:

Ms. Leslie A. News, PLA, Senior Principal Planner

Mr. Kevin D. Wilhite, C.P.C., AICP, County Planner

Mr. Michael F. Kennedy, County Planner

Ms. Christina L. Goggin, AICP, County Planner Mr. Tony Greulich, C.P.C., County Planner

Mr. Matt Ward, County Planner

Mr. Gregory Garrison, AICP, County Planner Mr. Lee Pambid, C.P.C., County Planner Ms. Aimee B. Crady, AICP, County Planner Ms. Kate B. McMillion, County Planner Ms. Sharon Smidler, P.E., Traffic Engineer Mr. Gary A. DuVal, P.E., Traffic Engineer

Mr. William Moffett, CPETD Planner, Division of Police

Ms. Melissa Ferrante, Office Assistant / Recording Secretary

5

Mr. Tyrone E. Nelson, the Board of Supervisors' representative, abstains on all cases unless otherwise noted.

7 8 9

10

11

12

Mr. Leabough - I call this meeting of the Henrico County Planning Commission to order. This is our plans of development and subdivisions meeting for June 28th. Thank you all for being here. If you all would take this opportunity to mute or silence your cell phones. As you do that, I ask that you stand with the Commission for the Pledge of Allegiance.

13 14 15

Do we have anyone in the audience with the news media? I don't believe I see anyone.

16 17

18

All Commissioners are present with the exception of our Board representative, Mr. Nelson, who will be here momentarily. So with that I'd like to turn the agenda over to our acting secretary, Ms. Moore.

Ms. Moore - Thank you, Mr. Chairman. First on our agenda are the requests for deferrals and withdrawals. Those will be presented by Ms. Leslie News.

23 24

25

26

27

Ms. News - Thank you very much. Good morning, members of the Commission. We have one item on our request for deferrals this morning, and that's found on page 24 of your agenda and is located in the Three Chopt District. This is POD2017-00249, Shady Grove United Methodist Church Expansion, Phase 3. The applicant has requested a deferral to the July 26, 2017 meeting.

28 29 30

31

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

POD2017-00249
Shady Grove United
Methodist Church
Expansion - Phase 3 –

4825 Pouncey Tract Road

Timmons Group for Shady Grove United Methodist Church: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story, 6,795-square foot commons addition, to reconstruct a 1,326-square foot portion of the existing building for additional sanctuary space, and to construct a parking lot addition. The 2.56-acre portion of the 13.24-acre site is located at the southeastern corner of the intersection of Pouncey Tract Road (State Route 271) and Shady Grove Road, on parcels 739-769-3330 and 739-769-2272. The zoning is A-1, Agricultural District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

32 33

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to the deferral request for POD2017-00249, Shady Grove United Methodist Church Expansion, Phase 3? I see no opposition, Mrs. Marshall.

35 36

34

Mrs. Marshall - Mr. Chairman, I move POD2017-00249, Shady Grove United Methodist Church Expansion, Phase 3, be deferred to the July 26th, 2017 meeting, per the applicant's request.

40 41

Mr. Baka - Second.

42

43 Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mrs. Marshall, a second by Mr. Baka. 44 All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

45 46

At the request of the applicant, the Planning Commission deferred POD2017-00249, Shady Grove United Methodist Church Expansion, Phase 3, to its July 26, 2017 meeting.

47 48 49

Ms. News - Staff is not aware of any further requests.

50 51

Mr. Leabough - Thank you.

| | uests. If not, we can move on to our expedited agenda items, by Ms. Leslie News. |
|---|---|
| first is found on page 3 of yo | Ve have six items on our expedited agenda this morning. The our agenda and is located in the Three Chopt District. This is D-42-01, For Eyes/T-Mobile, which was formerly Spring Oak oproval. |
| (Deferred from the May 24, 2 TRANSFER OF APPROVA | |
| POD-42-01 POD2017-00182 For Eyes/T-Mobile (Formerly Spring Oak Retail) – 11591 West Broad Street | TF LP: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from WSG Development Company to TF LP. The 0.71-acre site is located at the southeast corner of West Broad Street (U.S. Route 250) and Spring Oak Drive, on parcel 738-762-9005. The zoning is B-1, Business District and WBSO, West Broad Street Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt) |
| | s there anyone present who is opposed to transfer request 00182), For Eyes/T-Mobile (formerly Spring Oak Retail)? |
| POD-42-01 (POD2017-0018 | Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the transfer of approval for 32), For Eyes/T-Mobile (formerly Spring Oak Retail), subject conditions, on the expedited agenda. |
| Mr. Witte - | Second. |
| <u> </u> | We have a motion by Mrs. Marshall, a second by Mr. Witte. apposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes. |
| (POD2017-00182), For Ey | approved the transfer of approval request for POD-42-01 yes/T-Mobile (formerly Spring Oak Retail) from WSG F LP, subject to the standard and added conditions previously |
| Varina District. This is a trans | The next item is on page 4 of the agenda and is located in the sfer of approval for POD-58-06, that's a portion of a POD, for es at White Oak Village. Staff recommends approval. |
| | Commission has further requivalent will also be presented Ms. News - Verifiest is found on page 3 of you a transfer of approval for PORetail. Staff recommends approved from the May 24, TRANSFER OF APPROVAL POD-42-01 POD2017-00182 For Eyes/T-Mobile (Formerly Spring Oak Retail) — 11591 West Broad Street Mr. Leabough - Is for POD-42-01 (POD2017-There is no opposition. Mrs. Marshall - Merchant POD-42-01 (POD2017-0018 to the previously approved of the previously approved of the Planning Commission (POD2017-00182), For Expenditure of the Planning Commission (POD2017-00182), For Expenditure of the Planning Company to Trapproved. Ms. News - Varina District. This is a transport of the Planning Company to Trapproved. |

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

91 92

POD-58-06 (Pt)
POD2016-00353
J C Penney at The Shops at White Oak Village 4541 South Laburnum
Avenue

DDR Corporation for BRE DDR BR White Oak VA, LLC: Request for transfer of approval of a portion of a plan of development as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Forest City Commercial Group, Inc. to BRE DDR RR White Oak VA, LLC. The 8.58-acre site is located in an existing shopping center, east of South Laburnum Avenue, south of Interstate 64, and north of Audubon Drive, on parcel 815-718-5790. The zoning is B-3C, Business District (Conditional) and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)

93 94

95

96

97

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to transfer request for POD-58-06 (part), (POD2016-00353), J C Penney at The Shops at White Oak Village? Seeing that there is no opposition, I move that the transfer request for POD-58-06 (part), (POD2016-00353), J C Penney at The Shops at White Oak Village, be approved subject to previously approved conditions.

98 99 100

Mr. Witte -

Second.

101 102

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Leabough, a second by Mr. Witte. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

103104105

106

107

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-58-06 (part), (POD2016-00353), J C Penney at The Shops at White Oak Village from Forest City Commercial Group, Inc. to BRE DDR RR White Oak VA, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved.

108 109 110

111

Ms. News - Next on page 5 and located in the Tuckahoe District is a transfer of approval for POD-29-85, Gaskins Professional Center Building, which was formerly Creekside West Professional Center. Staff recommends approval.

112113114

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

115

POD-29-85
POD2015-00206
Gaskins Professional
Center Building (Formerly
Creekside West
Professional Center) –
1129 Gaskins Road

Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Richmond Venture Company to Gaskins Place Real Estate, LLC. The 1.43-acre site is located east of Gaskins Road, approximately 625 feet north of Patterson Avenue (State

Ben Humphreys for Gaskins Place Real Estate, LLC:

approximately 625 feet north of Patterson Avenue (State Route 6), on parcel 745-742-9144. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer.

(Tuckahoe)

| 117 118 119 | (POD2015-00206), Gaskins | s there anyone present who is opposed to POD-29-85 s Professional Center Building (formerly Creekside West e is no opposition, Mr. Baka. |
|---|--|--|
| 120 121 122 123 124 | approval POD-29-85 (POD2 | Mr. Chairman, I would move for approval of transfer of 2015-00206), Gaskins Professional Center Building (formerly al Center), subject to the standard conditions of this type and s in the staff report. |
| 125 126 127 | Mr. Archer - | Second. |
| 128 129 130 | | We have a motion by Mr. Baka, a second by Mr. Archer. All in ed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes. |
| 131 132 133 134 | (POD2015-00206), Gaskins Professional Center), from | approved the transfer of approval request for POD-29-85 s Professional Center Building (formerly Creekside West Richmond Venture Company to Gaskins Place Real Estate, d and added conditions previously approved. |
| 135 136 137 138 139 | Tuckahoe District. This is a | The next item is on page 6 of your agenda and located in the transfer of approval for POD-51-75, Virginia Commonwealth Hardee's restaurant addition. Staff recommends approval. |
| 140 141 | TRANSFER OF APPROVA | L |
| 141 | POD-51-75 POD2016-00228 Virginia Commonwealth Bank (Formerly Hardee's Restaurant Addition) - 900 N. Parham Road | C. Frank Scott, III for Virginia Commonwealth Banks Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24. Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Boddie-Noell Enterprises, Inc. to Virginia Commonwealth Bank. The 0.57-acre site is located at the northwest corner of the intersection of North Parham Road and Gayton Road, or parcel 753-740-1185. The zoning is O-2C, Office District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Tuckahoe) |
| 142 143 144 145 | request for POD-51-75 (F | s there anyone present who is opposed to the transfer POD2016-00228), Virginia Commonwealth Bank (formerly on)? I see no opposition, Mr. Baka. |
| 146 147 148 149 | approval for POD-51-75 (| Mr. Chairman, I would move for approval of the transfer of POD2016-00228), Virginia Commonwealth Bank (formerly on), subject to the standard conditions in the report. |
| 150 151 | Mr. Witte - | Second |
| 152153154 | | We have a motion by Mr. Baka, a second by Mr. Witte. All in ed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes. |

| 155 | |
|-----|---|
| 156 | The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-51-75 |
| 157 | (POD2016-00228), Virginia Commonwealth Bank (formerly Hardee's Restaurant |
| | Addition) from Boddie-Noell Enterprises, Inc. to Virginia Commonwealth Bank, subject to |
| 159 | the standard and added conditions previously approved |

Ms. News - The next item is on page 7 of agenda and is located in the Brookland District. This is a transfer of approval for POD-51-06, Bethlehem Road Office Building. Staff recommends approval with the additional conditions listed in the agenda.

TRANSFER OF APPROVAL

POD-51-06 POD2017-00227 Bethlehem Road Office Building – 6500 Old Bethlehem Road Viren R. Kapadia for Riddhi Siddhi, LLC: Request for transfer of approval as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code from Essex Bank and Wilton Real Estate and Development Company to Riddhi Siddhi, LLC. The 2.58-acre site is located on the east line of Bethlehem Road and the north line of Interstate 64, on parcel 770-745-1768. The zoning is O-2C, Office District (Conditional) and C-1, Conservation District. County water and sewer. (Brookland)

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to the transfer request for POD-51-06 (POD2017-00227), Bethlehem Road Office Building? There is no opposition. Mr. Witte.

Mr. Witte - Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the transfer of approval of POD-51-06 (POD2017-00227), Bethlehem Road Office Building, with previously approved conditions and additional conditions 1 and 2 as shown on the agenda, on the expedited agenda.

Mr. Archer - Second.

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Witte, second by Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved the transfer of approval request for POD-51-06 (POD2017-00227), Bethlehem Road Office Building from Essex Bank and Wilton Real Estate and Development Company to Riddhi Siddhi, LLC, subject to the standard and added conditions previously approved and the following additional conditions:

- 1. Construction shall not be resumed until a revised Erosion and Sediment Control bond, based on current prices, is submitted to the Department of Public Works.
- 2. Construction shall not be resumed until a revised plan meeting current standards of the Department of Public Utilities has been submitted and approved.

Ms. News - The final item is on page 16 of your agenda and located in the Varina District. This is POD2017-00245, Bojangles at the Eubank and Laburnum Commercial Center. There is an addendum item on page 2 of your addendum to include a revised plan that provides the interior sidewalk connections as originally annotated on the staff plan. Staff recommends approval.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

POD2017-00245
Bojangles at Eubank and
Laburnum Commercial
Center – 5441 South
Laburnum Avenue

Townes Site Engineering for JG Laburnum, LLC, Robins Laburnum, LLC, and Redco Properties, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story, 3,672 square foot restaurant with drive through facilities. The 1.16-acre site is located on the east line of South Laburnum Avenue approximately 480 feet south of the intersection of South Laburnum Avenue and Eubank Road, on part of parcels 816-711-8151 and 816-711-6950. The zoning is B-3C, Business District (Conditional) and ASO, Airport Safety Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Varina)

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2017-00245, Bojangles' at Eubank and Laburnum Commercial Center? I see no opposition, so I move approval of POD2017-00245, Bojangles' at Eubank and Laburnum Commercial Center, subject to annotations on the plans, standard conditions for developments of this type, and the additional conditions 29 through 36 as noted in the agenda, as well as the revised plan referenced in the addendum.

Mrs. Marshall -

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Leabough, a second by Mrs. Marshall. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

Second.

The Planning Commission approved POD2017-00245, Bojangles at Eubank and Laburnum Commercial Center, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

- The right-of-way for widening of South Laburnum Avenue as shown on approved plans shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- 30. A concrete sidewalk meeting County standards shall be provided along the east side of South Laburnum Avenue.

- 226 31. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case C-63C-06 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- 230 Improvements as shown on POD2017-00067 Eubank and Laburnum Commercial Master Plan shall be constructed prior to issuance of a final certificate of occupancy.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.
- Evidence of a joint ingress/egress and maintenance agreement must be submitted to the Department of Planning and approved prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for this development.
 - The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

238239

240

241

242

Ms. News -

That completes our expedited agenda.

246247

Ms. Moore - Mr. Chairman, we now move to Subdivision Extensions of Conditional Approval, which will be presented by Mr. Kevin Wilhite.

248249250

SUBDIVISION EXTENSIONS OF CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

251252

EXTENSIONS - FOR PLANNING COMMISSION CONSIDERATION

253

| Subdivision | Original No. of Lots | Remaining Lots | Previous Extensions | Magisterial District | Recommended Extension |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| SUB2011-00042 SUB-005-11 Townes at Oakley's Bluff, The (June 2011 Plan) | 131 | 50 | 5 | Varina | June 27, 2018 |

254 255

Mr. Leabough -

Good morning, Mr. Wilhite.

256257

258

259

260

261

262

Mr. Wilhite - Good morning, Mr. Chairman and Commission members. There is one conditional subdivision extension on the agenda this morning. It is there Townes at Oakley's Bluff, the June 2011 plan, located in the Varina District. This was approved five years ago, so extension of this subdivision would require Planning Commission action. I would note that the first section has been recorded and is currently under construction. Approval of Section 2 has also occurred. Staff recommends approval of the extension.

| | 265 266 267 | | Are there any questions from the Commission? There are no e approval of the extension request for conditional subdivision at Oakley's Bluff (June 2011 plan). |
|---|--------------------------|---|--|
| : | 268 269 270 | Mr. Witte - | Second. |
| : | 271 272 273 | Mr. Leabough - All in favor say aye. Those | We have a motion by Mr. Leabough, a second by Mr. Witte. opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes. |
| : | 274 275 | | n granted approval of the extension request for SUB2011-s Bluff (June 2011 plan), to June 27, 2018. |
| 276277278279280 | 277 278 279 | Ms. Moore - agenda. This is for POD20 by representatives E. D. Le be presented by Ms. Aime | Mr. Chairman, we now move to page 8 of your regular 17-00212, The Neighborhood of Libbie Mill Midtown Section 1 ewis and Associates for Midtown Land Partners, LLC. This will e Crady. |
| : | 282 283 | Ms. Crady - lighting plan. | And if you could also read the caption for the landscape and |
| 284 285 286 287 288 | 285 286 287 288 | Section 1, POD2017-0024 | Thank you for that. Ms. Crady's going to present these t case which is also The Neighborhood of Libbie Mill Midtown 1 and POD2017-00242. They'll be presented together because Il have to have separate motions. |
| | 289 290 | PLAN OF DEVELOPMEN | Т |
| | 291 | POD2017-00212 The Neighborhood of Libbie Mill Midtown Section 1 and Condo Buildings 5-6 – Staples Mill Road | E.D. Lewis and Associates for Midtown Land Partners, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct 38 three-story residential townhomes for sale, and two four-story residential condominium buildings, totaling 22 units. The 3.2-acre portion of the 85-acre site is located west of Libbie Mill West Boulevard (private) and north of its intersection with Libbie Lake South Street (private), on part of parcel 773-739-0273. The zoning is UMUC, Urban Mixed Use (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Brookland) |

Planning Commission - POD

LANDSCAPE AND LIGHTING PLAN

> POD2017-00241 and POD2017-00242 The Neighborhood of Libbie Mill Midtown Section 1 and Condo Buildings 5-6 – Staples Mill Road

Cite Design for Midtown Land Partners, LLC: Request for approval of a landscape and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-106.2 of the Henrico County Code. The 3.06-acre portion of the 85-acre site is located west of Libbie Mill West Boulevard (private) and north of its intersection with Libbie Mill South Street (private), on part of parcel 773-739-0273. The zoning is UMUC, Urban Mixed Use District. County water and sewer. (Brookland)

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2017-00212, The Neighborhood of Libbie Mill Midtown Section 1 and Condo Buildings 5-6 or anyone in the audience in opposition to POD2017-00241 and POD2017-00242, The Neighborhood of Libbie Mill Midtown Section 1 and Condo Buildings 5-6? I see no opposition. Ms. Crady, good morning.

Ms. Crady - Good morning. As noted, I'm going to be presenting the next two cases that are found on pages 8 through 10 in your agenda. That will cover the POD and the landscape and lighting plan components associated with this section of the Libbie Mill UMU townhomes and condominium buildings numbers 5 and 6.

The location of this phase of development is south of the previously approved residential units and west of the Libbie Mill Library, which would be here on the map. The residential townhouse portion of the UMU will be referred to as The Neighborhood of Libbie Mill Midtown for this section and presumably for future sections. So, this includes a name change in here, which is handled administratively but updated for your reference.

The plan of development approval is for an additional 38 three-story residential townhouse units for sale and 2 four-story condominium buildings containing 22 units on this section here. That is the first case listed on page 8.

The conditional subdivision approval for the townhomes was granted at the May 2017 Planning Commission hearing, as you may recall, last month. Renderings provided in the POD demonstrate that this section is a continuation of the three-story units that were previously approved with phases 1 through 3 of the townhomes. The condominium buildings are also a continuation of the type of units approved with sections 1 through 3. These are four stories tall. The elevations for the townhomes here and the condos here all exceed the minimum finished square footage for each unit exclusive of garages. And they exceed the minimum requirements outlined in the pattern book. They represent a range between 1300 and 3,000 square feet of finished floor area and are below the 50-foot proffered height limitation.

With that, staff recommends approval of the plan of development subject to the annotations on the plans, standard conditions for developments of this type, and conditions 29 through 36 listed in the agenda.

I'll continue on to the landscape plan component, which is on page 10.

The UMU ordinance requires that the street tree and street lighting plans be submitted with the plan of development. The landscape plan in your agenda provides not only the consistent streetscape design meeting the requirements of the UMU, but also provides a level of detail to demonstrate prototypical foundation plantings, landscaping and hardscape components within the common areas, and streetscape furniture. In addition, a path is shown here on the border of the property. It will tie into the sections north and also into the RPA buffer path that's to the south and east of this section.

Also with this request is the lighting plan, which meets the standards of the UMU ordinance and continues to implement the residential style and scale for street poles and building-mounted fixtures as shown here. Some bollard-style lighting has been added between condominium buildings in this phase to address some uniquely challenging areas to provide a safe and functional pedestrian-oriented community.

With this, staff recommends approval to the landscape and lighting plan subject to the standard conditions for landscape and lighting plans.

The proposal as a whole is in compliance with all applicable proffers and provisional use permits, the Urban Mixed-Use District Ordinance, and the UMU pattern book for Libbie Mill. Shane Finnegan is here representing Midtown Land Partners, and Monte Lewis, the civil engineer for the site, is also here. They can speak to the plan of development. Andrew Bleckley and Liz Crim of Cite Design are also here to represent the landscape and lighting plans before you today.

As noted before, the Commission will need to provide two motions, one for the plan of development and then one for the combined landscape and lighting plan. That concludes my presentation.

Mr. Leabough - Any questions from the Commission for Ms. Crady? No questions. Mr. Witte, would you like the applicant to come forward?

Mr. Witte - I've been through this, and it's really similar to the outstanding job they've done over there. If the other Commission members have questions, that's fine. I have no questions.

376 Mr. Leabough - All right, I think we're good, Mr. Witte.

Mr. Witte - Mr. Chairman, I move approval of POD2017-00212, The Neighborhood of Libbie Mill Midtown Section 1 and Condo Buildings 5-6, as presented,

subject to the annotations on the plan, standard conditions for developments of this type, and additional conditions 29 through 36.

382383 Mr. Baka - Second.

- Mr. Leabough We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mr. Baka. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.
- The Planning Commission approved POD2017-00212, The Neighborhood of Libbie Mill Midtown Section 1 and Condo Buildings 5-6, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:
- 393 29. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
 - 30. The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.
- The subdivision plat for The Neighborhood of Libbie Mill Midtown Section 1 shall be recorded before any building permits are issued.
 - 32. Prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for any building in this development, the engineer of record shall certify that the site has been graded in accordance with the approved grading plans.
 - 33. The proffers approved as a part of zoning case REZ2015-00018 and PUP2015-00006 shall be incorporated in this approval.
 - 34. A note in bold lettering shall be provided on the erosion control plan indicating that sediment basins or traps located within buildable areas or building pads shall be reclaimed with engineered fill. All materials shall be deposited and compacted in accordance with the applicable sections of the state building code and geotechnical guidelines established by the engineer. An engineer's report certifying the suitability of the fill materials and its compaction shall be submitted for review and approval by the Director of Planning and Director of Public Works and the Building Official prior to the issuance of any building permit(s) on the affected sites.
 - The pavement shall be of an SM-2A type and shall be constructed in accordance with County standard and specifications. The developer shall post a defect bond for all pavement with the Department of Planning the exact type, amount and implementation shall be determined by the Director of Planning, to protect the interest of the members of the Homeowners Association. The defect bond shall remain in effect for a period of three years from the date of the issuance of the final occupancy permit. Prior to the issuance of the last Certificate of Occupancy, a professional engineer must certify that the roads have been designed and constructed in accordance with County standards.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All

equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

Mr. Witte - All right, Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the landscape and lighting plan POD2017-00241 and POD2017-00242, The Neighborhood of Libbie Mill Midtown Section 1 and Condo Buildings 5-6, as presented, subject to the annotations on the plans and standard conditions applicable to landscape and lighting plans.

Mr. Archer - Second.

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mr. Archer. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved the landscape and lighting plan for POD2017-00241 and POD2017-00242, The Neighborhood of Libbie Mill Midtown Section 1 and Condo Buildings 5-6, subject to the standard conditions attached to these minutes for landscape and lighting plans.

Ms. Moore - We next move to page 11 of your regular agenda and page 1 of your amended agenda. This is POD2017-00180, Northern Tool at Westpark, represented by Berry Engineers, LLC and Harlequin RMD Properties, LLC and Hutton. This will be presented by Mr. Greg Garrison.

(Deferred from the May 24, 2017 Meeting)

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND SPECIAL EXCEPTION

POD2017-00180
Northern Tool at Westpark
Phase II Shopping Center
– 9901 West Broad Street

Berry Engineers, LLC for Harlequin RMD Properties, LLC and Hutton: Request for approval of a plan of development and special exception, as required by Chapter 24, Sections 24-106 and 24-2 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story, 18,000 square foot hardware store with outside display of merchandise for sale in an existing shopping center. The special exception would authorize outdoor display of merchandise for sale. The 1.6-acre site is located southwest of the intersection of West Broad Street (U.S. Route 250) and Stillman Parkway, on part of parcel 753-758-3069. The zoning is B-3C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2017-00180,
Northern Tool at Westpark Phase II Shopping Center? I see no opposition. Good morning
again, Mr. Garrison.

Mr. Garrison - Good morning. This is a request for approval of 18,000-square-foot hardware store with outdoor display of merchandise for sale in an existing shopping center. This plan was deferred from the May 24, 2017 Planning Commission to

| 460 461 462 | allow the applicant time to address parking and security fence details. The plan in your addendum now reflects accurate parking calculations for the shopping center. | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 463 464 465 466 | The elevations submitted EIFS along the top portion the proffered conditions from | propose a primarily brown earth tone brick building with beige of the building. The elevations are in general conformance with om C-77C-89. | |
| 467 468 469 470 471 | permit outdoor display of r be an integral part of the a | uesting a special exception by the Planning Commission to nerchandise. Per sections 24-56, the exterior display area shall rchitectural design of the building. The applicant has submitted ght-foot-tall faux wrought iron fence with brick columns. | |
| 472 473 474 475 | recommends approval su | pprove the applicant's request for the special exception, staff bject to the annotations on the plans, standard conditions for and added conditions 29 through 34. | |
| 476 477 478 | Staff and representatives may have. | of the applicant are available to answer any questions that you | |
| 479 480 481 482 | Mr. Leabough - one quick question. The st display of items/products? | Any questions for Mr. Garrison from the Commission? I have nopping center has some sort of description in terms of outdoor | |
| 483 484 485 486 487 | Mr. Garrison - center. They're going to be nature. Our code does red has to be part of— | Our code permits garden centers. But this isn't a garden e storing landscape trailers or power equipment, things of that quire that they have a security fence around it, and that fence | |
| 488 489 490 | Mr. Leabough - normally display like Lowe | So it really would be the trailers and things that they would 's would display trailers outside. | |
| 491 492 | Mr. Garrison - | Lowe's is doing it without approval, I would say. | |
| 493 494 | Mr. Leabough - | I didn't hear you say that. | |
| 495 496 | Mr. Archer - | He didn't say that. | |
| 497 498 499 | Mr. Leabough - that they store outside. | But similar to businesses in other localities that have trailers | |
| 500 501 | Mr. Garrison - | Correct. | |
| 502 503 | Mr. Leabough - | Not in Henrico, of course. | |
| 504 | Mr. Garrison - | Yes. This is required to be behind a fence, and that fence has | |

to be of architectural design.

| 506 | | |
|------------|---|---|
| 507 | • | That makes sense. Okay. That's all I have. Mrs. Marshall, |
| 508 | would you like to hear from | the applicant? |
| 509 | | |
| 510 | Mrs. Marshall - | Yes, please. |
| 511 | | |
| 512 | Mr. Leabough - | Would the applicant please come forward. |
| 513 | | |
| 514 | Mr. Youmans - | Good morning. My name is Frank Youmans. I'm with the |
| 515 | | hattanooga, Tennessee, as the applicant on behalf of Berry |
| 516 | 9 | answer any questions you all might have regarding this |
| 517 | development. | |
| 518 | | |
| 519 | Ms. Moore - | Can you spell your last name, sir? |
| 520 | | |
| 521 | Mr. Youmans - | Yes. Youmans, Y-o-u-m-a-n-s. |
| 522 | | |
| 523 | Mr. Leabough - | Thank you. Are there any questions from the Commission? |
| 524 | | |
| 525 | | Yes. Greg, can you go back to the elevations? As far as where |
| 526 | it sits, in the locality it sits | in, did you give any thoughts or ideas to having any types of |
| 527 | windows whether they be t | faux windows or anything to dress the building up a little bit? |
| 528 | | |
| 529 | | With regard to faux windows, primarily what we were most |
| 530 | | most was one, addressing the location of the building on the |
| 531 | | that we have. The second piece was following the prototype |
| 532 | | or layout that Northern Tool needs and then addressing the |
| 533 | • | rk Shopping Center and those deeds and declarations. That's |
| 534 | where we came up with the | e design that we have. |
| 535 | The concenies for the diam | law yard was required for the project. We were not anticipating |
| 536 | | lay yard was required for the project. We were not anticipating |
| 537 | | f time to help make that what it needs to be to come into |
| 538 | conformance with code. So | o, it blends the same materials that the building has. |
| 539 | The Northern Tool floor n | lan does not typically have any windows. They use shelving |
| 540 | | e store. If there was a glazing requirement—and I'm not at this |
| 541 | | is required in this specific POD. |
| 542 | moment aware or one that | is required in this specific r OD. |
| 543 | Mrs. Marshall - | Okay. I appreciate that. Thank you. |
| 544 | WIS. Waishail - | Okay. Fappreciate that. Thank you. |
| 545 | Mr. Youmans - | Yes ma'am. |
| 546 547 | Wii. I Guillans - | 100 ma ame |
| 548 | Mr. Leabough - | Are there any other questions from the Commission? Thank |
| 549 | | w would you like to proceed? |
| 550 | , 5 a, 5 ii. 11 ii. 11 iii. 11 iii. 11 iii. | |
| 220 | | |

- Mrs. Marshall Mr. Chairman, I move POD2017-00180, Northern Tool at Westpark Phase II Shopping Center, including the special exception, be approved subject
- to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type, and additional conditions 29 through 34 in the agenda.

556 Mr. Archer - Second.

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mrs. Marshall, a second by Mr. Archer.
All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved POD2017-00180, Northern Tool at Westpark Phase II Shopping Center, including the special exception, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

- The ground area covered by all the buildings shall not exceed in the aggregate 25 percent of the total site area.
- 568 30. Outside storage shall not be permitted except as shown on the approved plan.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning cases C-77C-89 and C-11C-93 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- 571 32. The existing water line easement in conflict with the building footprint shall be vacated prior to approval of certificate of occupancy for the said building.
 573 33. Evidence of a joint ingress/egress and maintenance agreement must be submitted.
 - 33. Evidence of a joint ingress/egress and maintenance agreement must be submitted to the Department of Planning and approved prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for this development.
 - 34. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

[Mr. Nelson arrives and joins the Commission.]

Ms. Moore - Mr. Chairman, we now move to page 13 of your agenda and page 2 of your amended agenda. This is SUB2017-00046, Centennial Commons, represented by Frederick Gibson & Associates, PC for The Starke Company, LLC. This will be presented by Mr. Lee Pambid.

SUB2017-00046 Centennial Commons (May 2017 Plan) – 1211 Sydnor Road Frederick A. Gibson & Associates, PC for The Starke Company, LLC: The 19.3-acre site proposed for a subdivision of 27 single-family homes is located on the southern line of Sydnor Road, approximately 600 feet east of its intersection with New Osborne Turnpike, on the eastern line of New Osborne Turnpike approximately 200 feet north of Greenview Drive, and on the southern line of Bickerstaff Road, on parcels 801-708-5247 and 802-709-0902. The zoning is R-3, One-Family Residential District and M-2, General Industrial District. County water and sewer. (Varina) 27 Lots

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to SUB2017-00046, Centennial Commons (May 2017 Plan)? I see no opposition. Good morning, Mr. Pambid.

Mr. Pambid - Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Planning Commission.

This plan proposes 27 single-family lots on two parcels totaling 19.3 acres of land, the majority of which is zoned R-3. There is a small portion of property along Bickerstaff that is zoned M-2.

This overall layout, which is not in your packets but is for informational purposes only, corresponds to the match sheets in your official packet that you're considering this morning. This is the northern piece of the subdivision, and the layout here includes a common area needed for the location of a required level spreader, curb and gutter on the south line of Sydnor Road along the front of the subdivision, and a temporary turnaround cul-de-sac at the end of Sydnor Road. That's here. The balance of the site is reserved for future development, which is here and here.

The applicant also requests from the Planning Commission a proposed block length, an exception for approximately 300 feet. The block length of 300 feet is here. That is shorter than the minimum required 400 feet in the Subdivision Ordinance. Staff has no objection to this request.

Staff has completed its review of the revised plan received June 23, 2017, which more specifically provides dimensions to scale and shows the location of common areas, areas reserved for future development, and rights-of-way as requested by the Department of Public Works. Staff has no further comments.

63 L

Staff recommends approval of this subdivision subject to the annotations on the plan, standard conditions for subdivisions served by public utilities, and additional conditions in the agenda. I can now field any questions you have regarding this. The developer Rhett Starke, his surveyor Fred Gibson, and the engineer Mike Hannen, are also here to answer any questions.

| 633 634 | Mr. Leabough - | Are there any questions from the Commission for |
|--|--|---|
| 635 636 637 | Mr. Pambid? One question | on about the future-use properties. Has the applicant indicated s for those parcels at this point? |
| 638 639 640 | level spreader. We do ha | This portion of the subdivision that is shown as reserved for ng to be proposed for eight lots from here to just short of the live a concept that shows Sydnor Road extending all the way |
| 641 642 643 | down just short of the lever radius cul-de-sac. | el spreader with a permanent cul-de-sac at the end, a 50-foot- |
| 644 645 646 647 648 | been spoken to in terms of Bickerstaff. It is almost a contract There is area flat enough to extend Sydnor Road at | n piece of area reserved for future development, nothing has of that little piece there. There are topographical issues along one-to-one slope rising from Bickerstaff to approximately here. along this portion of the property, and that's why they propose some point in the future. They would not have to come back to for that; it could be done with a final because it's only eight lots. |
| 650 651 652 653 654 | Mr. Leabough - | Okay. Any reason—well, I'll ask the applicant. I'm just dn't include it at this point. But they probably don't want to build |
| 655 656 | Mr. Pambid - | I'll let the developer or the surveyor speak to that. |
| 657 658 659 | Mr. Leabough - All right. Would the applica | All right. Thank you, sir. Any other questions for Mr. Pambid? ant please come forward? |
| 660 661 662 | Mr. Starke - The Starke Company. God | Good morning, ladies and gentleman. I'm Rhett Starke with od morning. |
| 663 664 | Mr. Leabough - | Good morning. How are you? |
| 665 666 | Mr. Starke - | Fine, thanks. |
| 667 668 669 | Mr. Leabough - elaborate on that a little bit | So you heard my question about the other parcels. Can you tin terms of what your plans are? |
| 670 671 672 673 674 675 | cul-de-sac in, and put in eig piece here, we're not sure | Our intention is in the future, once we have completed the ons piece, would be to extend Sydnor Road, put a permanent ght or nine houses across that upper piece of the property. The what we're going to do with it, either put that up for sale to have a house on or we may spec a house there. I'm not sure at this |
| 577 | Mr. Leabough - | But no plans to do anything more intense that that, right? I |

mean I don't think it's big enough anyway, right?

| 679 | | |
|-----|------------------------|---|
| 680 | Mr. Starke - | No. It actually would work out very nicely to just have a nice |
| 681 | straight shot through | n there. The intent is to have a nice Craftsman-type neighborhood at |
| 682 | | ns. We may change that up a little bit across the other side of Sydnor |
| 683 | Road going down in | to the woods, just the architectural aspect of it. |
| 684 | | |
| 685 | Mr. Leabough - | What about the lots that front New Osborne? Are planning to |
| 686 | do any plantings or | anything? |
| 687 | | |
| 688 | Mr. Starke - | I'm not sure what we've agreed to. I think so. There's a nice |
| 689 | buffer that we've give | ven the County there that we intend to do, so I guess arborvitae or |
| 690 | something. | |
| 691 | J | |
| 692 | Mr. Pambid - | Because New Osborne is a major thoroughfare-planned road, |
| 693 | there is an additiona | al setback requirement. So the total off of New Osborne Turnpike will |
| 694 | be 65 feet. Within t | hat 65 feet there is a 25-foot no ingress/egress planting strip that is |
| 695 | required. | |
| 696 | | |
| 697 | Mr. Leabough - | So something that'll screen the roadway from the back of the |
| 698 | homes. | |
| 699 | | |
| 700 | Mr. Pambid - | Yes sir. |
| 701 | | |
| 702 | Mr. Leabough - | Okay. Any other questions from the Commission? All right. |
| 703 | Thank you, sir. | |
| 704 | , | |
| 705 | Mr. Starke - | Thank you. |
| 706 | | |
| 707 | Mr. Leabough - | Unless anyone else has any other questions, I move that |
| 708 | SUB2017-00046, 0 | Centennial Commons (May 2017 Plan), be approved subject to |
| 709 | annotations on the | olan, standard conditions for subdivisions served by public utilities, as |
| 710 | | 3 through 15 as noted in the agenda. |
| 711 | | |
| 712 | Mr. Witte - | Second. |
| 713 | | |
| 714 | Mr. Leabough - | We have a motion by Mr. Leabough, a second by Mr. Witte. |
| 715 | All in favor say aye. | Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes. |
| 716 | | |
| 717 | The Planning Com | mission granted conditional approval to SUB2017-00046, Centennial |
| 718 | Commons (May 20 | 17 Plan), subject to the standard conditions attached to these minutes |
| 719 | for subdivisions ser | ved by public utilities, the annotations on the plans, and the following |
| 720 | additional condition | |
| 721 | | |
| 722 | 13. Each lot sha | Il contain at least 11,000 square feet. |

14.

723

724

Prior to requesting the final approval, a draft of the covenants and deed restrictions

for the maintenance of the common area by a homeowners association shall be

- submitted to the Department of Planning for review. Such covenants and restrictions shall be in a form and substance satisfactory to the County Attorney and shall be recorded prior to recordation of the subdivision plat.
- 15. The details for the landscaping to be provided within the 25-foot wide planting strip easement along New Osborne Turnpike shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and approval prior to recordation of the plat.

Ms. Moore - Mr. Chairman, we now move to page 14 of your regular agenda. This is POD2017-00233, GreenGate Section 3, represented by Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. for ME Nuckols LLC. This will be presented by Mr. Greg Garrison.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

POD2017-00233 GreenGate Section 3 – 12121 West Broad Street

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. for ME Nuckols, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct 68 residential townhomes for sale, three single-family residential dwellings, and a one-story, 2,000-square foot clubhouse and pool in an urban mixed-use development. The 6.85-acre site is located approximately 2,100 feet west of North Gayton Road, north of Graham Meadows Drive, and west of GreenGate Section 2, on part of parcel 731-764-5533. The zoning is UMUC, Urban Mixed Use District (Conditional) and WBSO, West Broad Street Overlay District. County water and sewer. (Three Chopt)

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2017-00233, GreenGate Section 3? I see no opposition. Good morning again, Mr. Garrison.

Mr. Garrison - Good morning. This is Section 3 of the residential portion of the GreenGate Urban Mixed-Use Project. Sections 1 and 2 were approved by the Planning Commission August 14, 2014 and April 27, 2016. The applicant is now requesting approval for 68 townhomes for sale, 3 single-family dwellings, and a 1-story, 2,000-square-foot clubhouse with pool that the applicant proposes to relocate to the east side of Vinery Avenue in this area here. This will be closer to the commercial portion for GreenGate.

In addition, the right-of-way width on the northern portion of Vinery Avenue has been reduced from 57 feet to 50 feet by eliminating the parking on one side of the street. That's in this area right here. The changes to the clubhouse and the right-of-way are modifications to the original master plan. Other modifications to the guidelines include reduced side yard setbacks for the townhouses from six feet to five feet to accommodate brick facades and to permit decks to encroach into the side setback due to the angle of the side lot lines from some of the blocks. These changes reflect issues that arose during the review of the building permits in sections 1 and 2.

| 150 | | | |
|-------------------|--|--|--|
| 759 760 761 | The elevations of the townhomes and the single-family dwellings will match previously approved elevations for Sections 1 and 2. The elevations of the clubhouse are Craftsman style with standing seam metal roof and wood and metal accents that blend details from | | |
| 762 | the commercial architectu | re. | |
| 763 | The Collins in a second | ent with proviously approved lighting plans and mosts the LIMLL | |
| 764 765 | requirements and proffere | ent with previously approved lighting plans and meets the UMU deconditions. | |
| 766 | | the state of the s | |
| 767 768 | | pproval subject to the annotations on the plans, standard nts of this type, and added conditions 11B through 37. | |
| 769 | | | |
| 770 | | of the applicant are available to answer any questions that you | |
| 771 772 | may have. | | |
| 773 | Mr. Leabough - | Are there any questions from the Commission for | |
| 774 | Mr. Garrison? Okay. Mrs. | Marshall, would you like to hear from the applicant? | |
| 775 | | | |
| 776 | Mrs. Marshall - | Yes, please. | |
| 777 | | | |
| 778 779 | Mr. Leabough - | Would the applicant please come forward? | |
| 780 | Ms. Croft - | Good morning. I'm Nathalie Croft. I'm with Eagle | |
| 781 | | Ellington with Kimley-Horn is also here this morning to answer | |
| 782 | any questions. | | |
| 783 | and, december | | |
| 784 | Mr. Leabough - | Good morning. | |
| 785 | 3 | | |
| 786 | Mrs. Marshall - | If we could pull back up the picture on Vinery where the | |
| 787 | | With the original plans that were approved, the problem, I'm | |
| 788 | | pe of the lots is driving the force? | |
| 789 | 3, | | |
| 790 | Ms. Croft - | Yes ma'am. We do have some environmental features toward | |
| 791 | the northwestern portion | of the site. But we also thought that relocating the clubhouse to | |
| 792 | where it is now would be | a good transition from the commercial to the residential. It sort | |
| 793 | of serves as a middle gro | und. And we can also use the parking, for sharing the parking, | |
| 794 | | dditional parking for the clubhouse itself. | |
| 795 | 9 | | |
| 796 | Mrs. Marshall - | You don't have a problem with the clubhouse being that close | |
| 797 | to commercial? | | |
| 798 | | | |
| 799 | Ms. Croft - | No ma'am. | |

 Mrs. Marshall - You don't? Okay. As far as parking goes, how much parking will you be giving up by moving the clubhouse there?

| 804 | Ms. Croft - | I don't believe we're giving up any parking. The clubhouse |
|---|---|---|
| 805 | originally had a few space | es in that location. But this way for events and such, we could |
| 806 | use the afterhours office | parking for any events that would be held at the clubhouse. |
| 807 | | |
| 808 | Mrs. Marshall - | Okay. So the clubhouse parking would not be used by other |
| 809 | people during the time the | at that's open. Is that correct? |
| 810 | | |
| 811 | Ms. Croft - | I'm not sure I understand your question. |
| 812 | | |
| 813 | Mrs. Marshall - | For example, the residents of GreenGate, if they want to go |
| 814 | to the clubhouse-my u | inderstanding is we have less parking. Is that going to be |
| 815 | clubhouse parking only d | uring the time that the clubhouse is open? |
| 816 | | |
| 817 | Ms. Croft - | Oh, no ma'am, no ma'am. We do have parallel spaces along |
| 818 | Vinery that we anticipate | being used by residents for the clubhouse or they would ride a |
| 819 | bike or walk there. The pa | arking that is here is the parking for the site, for GreenGate as a |
| 820 | whole. | g amount is the planning for the entry for expected de d |
| 821 | | |
| 822 | Mrs. Marshall - | Okay, thank you. |
| 823 | | only, mannyou. |
| 824 | Ms. Croft - | Thank you. |
| 825 | | , |
| 826 | Mr. Leabough - | Can I ask a question? |
| 827 | 3.0 | |
| 828 | Mrs. Marshall - | You may. |
| 829 | | , sa , a y. |
| 830 | Mr. Leabough - | Since you raised that. Do you have any numbers for us in |
| 831 | terms of times, in terms | of where you see that there won't be a conflict? It seems like |
| 832 | Mrs. Marshall is thinking a | thead in terms of conflicts, in terms of activities at the clubhouse |
| 833 | and other commercial use | es in the area when they may have peak parking requirements |
| 834 | or needs. | may nave pour parking requirements |
| 835 | | |
| 836 | Ms. Croft - | We don't anticipate any large events at this clubhouse. It is a |
| 837 | 2,000-square-foot minimu | m clubhouse for the residents to use. We would anticipate any |
| 838 | events being in the evening | ng hours where the office building would be in use. But there is |
| 839 | 0 | S we are the emet building would be in acc. But there is |
| | parking near it on site in te | rms of parallel along Vinery, parallel along Recklow with access |
| 840 | parking near it on site in te | rms of parallel along Vinery, parallel along Becklow with access |
| 840 841 | parking near it on site in te to the site there. | rms of parallel along Vinery, parallel along Becklow with access |
| 841 | parking near it on site in te to the site there. | |
| 841 842 | parking near it on site in te to the site there. Mr. Leabough - | rms of parallel along Vinery, parallel along Becklow with access How many spaces are you coming up with on those other |
| 841 842 843 | parking near it on site in te to the site there. | |
| 841 842 843 844 | parking near it on site in te to the site there. Mr. Leabough - streets? | How many spaces are you coming up with on those other |
| 841 842 843 844 845 | parking near it on site in te to the site there. Mr. Leabough - streets? Ms. Croft - | |
| 841 842 843 844 845 846 | parking near it on site in te to the site there. Mr. Leabough - streets? | How many spaces are you coming up with on those other |
| 841 842 843 844 845 846 847 | parking near it on site in te to the site there. Mr. Leabough - streets? Ms. Croft - clubhouse. | How many spaces are you coming up with on those other I believe parallel on Vinery is about six in front of the |
| 841 842 843 844 845 846 | parking near it on site in te to the site there. Mr. Leabough - streets? Ms. Croft - | How many spaces are you coming up with on those other |

| 850 851 852 | Ms. Croft - be adequate, again, for any of the office site could be u | Depending on the size of the event, I would hope that it would y afterhours events the parking along the office—the periphery ised. |
|---|--|---|
| 853 854 855 | Mrs. Marshall - correct? | So we are losing spaces by moving the clubhouse. Is that |
| 856 857 | Ms. Croft - | I didn't think many. David, do you know? |
| 858 859 860 861 862 863 864 865 866 | environmental features, the area that we could have the over there. So moving over | I think our original design for the clubhouse had about six es in it just because of what Natalie was saying earlier, the e RPA in the back along the stream kind of compressed the le clubhouse. So we only had very small parking to begin with the here kind of helps with parking off-hours, helps with the do have six spaces, as Natalie was saying. So we really aren't ng it over here. |
| 867 868 | Mrs. Marshall - clubhouse before you mov | So originally you only had six spaces for the community red it? |
| 869 870 871 | Mr. Ellington - | Correct. |
| 871 872 873 | Mrs. Marshall - | That's a lot of people for six parking spaces. |
| 874 875 | Mr. Ellington - | Well, we're hoping people will walk. |
| 876 877 878 879 | in, if you're bringing in you | I hope they're going to walk and ride their bikes also because to get a parking spot. Depending on what GreenGate brings ng children, and we're talking small like two or three years old, rking spot. I don't think six is a lot of parking. |
| 880 881 882 | Mr. Ellington - Do you know? | I don't know how much they have over at West Broad Village. |
| 883 884 885 | Mrs. Marshall - Thank you. | That I don't know. I've been there, but don't know. Okay. |
| 886 887 | Mr. Ellington - | Thank you. |
| 888 889 890 891 | Mr. Witte - actually are part of the of pool couldn't use those sp | I have a question. The parking spots along the side that fice building, is there any restriction why the people using the ots? |
| 892 893 894 | Mr. Ellington - | There will be no restriction. So people that want to use the es also. |

896 Mr. Witte - Thank you.

897

Mr. Leabough - I was just going to make a comment. Just thinking about it, most clubhouses don't have a ton of parking because the activities are so sporadic. And usually with office parking requirements, you typically have extra spaces. So hopefully it won't be an issue.

902

903 Mrs. Marshall - I hope not.

904

905 Mr. Leabough - All right, thank you. Any more questions from the 906 Commission?

907

908 Mrs. Marshall - Mr. Chairman. I move POD2017-00233, GreenGate Section 3, be approved subject to the annotations on the plans, the standards conditions for developments of this type, and additional conditions 11B and 29 through 37 in the agenda.

912

913 Mr. Witte - Second.

914

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mrs. Marshall, a second by Mr. Witte.
All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

917 918

The Planning Commission approved POD2017-00233, GreenGate Section 3, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

920921922

923

924 925

- 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
- 926 29. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.
- The subdivision plat for Section 3 shall be recorded before any building permits are issued.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning cases REZ2014-00009 and PUP2014-00006 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- 935 33. A construction staging plan which includes details for traffic control, fire protection, 936 stockpile locations, construction fencing and hours of construction shall be 937 submitted for County review and prior to the approval of any final construction 938 plans.
- A note in bold lettering shall be provided on the erosion control plan indicating that sediment basins or traps located within buildable areas or building pads shall be reclaimed with engineered fill. All materials shall be deposited and compacted in

- accordance with the applicable sections of the state building code and geotechnical guidelines established by the engineer. An engineer's report certifying the suitability of the fill materials and its compaction shall be submitted for review and approval by the Director of Planning and Director of Public Works and the Building Official prior to the issuance of any building permit(s) on the affected sites.
- 35. Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- 37. Except for junction boxes, meters, and existing overhead utility lines, and for technical or environmental reasons, all utility lines shall be underground.

Ms. Moore - Next, we move on to page 18 of your regular agenda and page 3 of your amended agenda. This is a plan of development, POD2017-00222, Take 5 Oil Change at Patterson and Pump, represented by American Engineering Associates for ARCORP II, Ltd, and Take 5 Oil Change. This will be presented by Mr. Lee Pambid.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

POD2017-00222
Take 5 Oil Change @
Patterson and Pump10571 Patterson Avenue

 American Engineering Associates for ARCORP II, LTD and Take 5 Oil Change: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a one-story, three bay, 1,634-square-foot auto service station. The 0.59-acre site is located at the southeastern corner of the intersection at Patterson Avenue and Pump Road, on parcel 741-741-6996. The zoning is B-2C, Business District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Tuckahoe)

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2017-00222, Take 5 Oil Change at Patterson and Pump? I see no opposition. Good morning again, Mr. Pambid.

Mr. Pambid - Good morning. This applicant requests approval of a plan of development and lighting plan for a 1,634-square-foot, three-bay oil change facility. The proffers of zoning case REZ2017-00009 and the conditions of provisional use permit PUP2017-00010 apply.

Planning Commission - POD

977 The site plan and elevations are in substantial compliance with the proffered exhibits. In your addendum, you'll find the revised elevations that match the color scheme of the 978 proffered exhibits from the previously mentioned rezoning case. 979 980 The lighting plan features five pole-mounted LED light fixtures at a height of 20 feet, which 981 is also a proffered provision, and 11 building-mounted cylindrical sconces. The plan 982 983 complies with the proffers and the County lighting guidelines. 984 The Department of Public Works, Traffic Division, has evaluated a request and granted a 985 waiver from the requirement of a left turn lane into the site from Pump Road. This plan is 986 oriented north and south, so the requirement was for a left turn lane here coming into the 987 site. That has been waived. 988 989 Staff recommends approval of this plan of development and lighting plan subject to the 990 annotations on the plan, standard conditions for developments of this type, and the 991 992 additional conditions in the agenda. 993 I can now field any questions you have regarding this. The engineer, Brittney Willis, is 994 also here. 995 996 997 Mr. Leabough -Are there any questions from the Commission for Mr. Pambid? 998 999 1000 Mr. Baka -I have just one question of staff, sir, if I may. You mentioned that the Department of Public Works granted the waiver for the left turn lane from Pump. 1001 So just to clarify, is the reasoning that it's a relatively short distance from Patterson to that 1002 access point? Or is it more so that this is not an intensive traffic-generating use? 1003 1004 1005 Mr. Pambid -I think it's a combination of the traffic-generation numbers as well as the requirement was for a 200-foot lane, and they just don't have that distance. 1006 1007 1008 Mr. Baka -Okay, a combination. Thank you. 1009 1010 Mr. Pambid -Right. 1011 1012 Mr. Baka -No other questions of staff, sir. 1013 1014 Mr. Leabough -All right. Any other questions? If not, Mr. Baka, would you like 1015 to hear from the applicant?

Mr. Baka -

1016 1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

from the applicant. I do not. I appreciate that the applicant submitted revised elevations

on June 28th to match the proffered conditions. Mr. Chairman, I move for approval of

POD2017-00222, Take 5 Oil Change at Patterson and Pump, subject to the annotations

on the plan, the standard conditions of developments of this type, the revised architectural

Unless other members of the Commission have any questions

elevations dated June 28, 2017, and the additional conditions 11B and 29 through 32 as listed in the staff report.

1025 Mr. Witte -

Second.

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Baka, a second by Mr. Witte. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved the plan of development and lighting plan for POD2017-00222, Take 5 Oil Change at Patterson and Pump, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

- 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
- 29. A concrete sidewalk meeting VDOT standards shall be provided along the south side of Patterson Avenue.
- 30. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
- 31. The proffers approved as a part of zoning case REZ2017-00009 and the conditions of provisional use permit PUP2017-00010 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- 32. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the auto service station when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the facility.

Ms. Moore - Next is on page 20 of your regular agenda and page 3 of your amended agenda. This is POD2017-00246, Brookfield Commons Renovations and New Medical Office Building at 6600 West Broad Street, represented by Willmark Engineering for 6600 Broad, LLC. This will be presented again by Mr. Lee Pambid.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

POD2017-00246
Brookfield Commons
Renovations and New
Medical Office Building –
6600 West Broad Street

Willmark Engineering for 6600 Broad, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to renovate an existing three-story 94,400 square foot office building for medical offices, and to construct a one-story 6,026 square foot medical office building. The 6.82-acre site is located at the northeastern corner of the intersection at West Broad Street (U.S. Route 250) and Dickens Road, on parcel 768-743-7194. The zoning is O-3, Office District. County water and sewer. (Brookland)

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2017-00246, Brookfield Commons Renovations and New Medical Office Building? I see no opposition. Good morning again, Mr. Pambid.

Mr. Pambid - Good morning. The applicant requests approval of a plan of development and lighting plan for an existing three-story building renovation and a new one-story medical office building on an un-proffered O-3 site. The existing building was originally approved and most recently used as standard multi-tenant office use, and the proposed use is for 94,400 square feet of medical office. The proposed site plan contains the required amount of parking for both buildings. This is an overall of the entire site.

The materials of the existing building are remaining red brick and include gray EIFS and precast concrete for the newly exposed portion of the building due to grading. This portion of the building is currently below grade, and they're grading that down. This grading will create a new entrance on the east side of the building. The elevations for the proposed one-story medical office building will contain red brick and light and dark gray EIFS.

The lighting plan features 45 pole-mounted LED light fixtures. Six of them are decorative lantern-style fixtures mounted at a height of 16 feet. The remaining 39 are flat lens LED fixtures mounted at a height of 25 feet. A total of eight building-mounted wall packs are proposed, four on each building. The plan complies with the County lighting guidelines.

In lieu of a waiver from the sidewalk requirement, the Department of Public Works is requiring sidewalk on the north line of Dickens Road. Added condition #32 in your addendum reflects this requirement.

Staff continues to recommend approval of the plan of development and lighting plan subject to the annotations on the plan, standard conditions for developments of this type, and the additional conditions in your agenda and your addendum. I can field any questions you have regarding this. The developer, Jimmy Stanley, I think, and Simon Miller, are also here to answer your questions.

Mr. Leabough - Are there any questions from Mr. Pambid? All right. Mr. Witte, would you like the applicant to come forward?

1094 Mr. Witte - Yes, I'd like the applicant, please.

1096 Mr. Leabough - Would the applicant please come forward.

1098 Mr. Stanley - Good morning, I'm Jimmy Stanley.

Mr. Witte - Mr. Stanley, you're aware that a lot of the trees are going to have to be taken down for the sidewalk?

1103 Mr. Stanley - Yes sir.

| 1104 | N. A N. A. P. L. | And and antimentally be proceed by |
|--------------|------------------------------|---|
| 1105 | Mr. Witte - | And replanting will be necessary? |
| 1106 1107 | Mr. Stanley - | Yes sir. |
| 1107 | Wir. Stariley - | 100 3113 |
| 1109 | Mr. Witte - | Okay. The only other question I have is why a one-story office |
| 1110 | | ns to go up at a later date? |
| 1111 | ballanig. Do you have pla | |
| 1112 | Mr. Stanley - | No sir. We would like to build a larger facility if we could, but |
| 1113 | the issue is surface parki | ng. There is just not adequate land to put additional surface |
| 1114 | parking there. | |
| 1115 | | |
| 1116 | Mr. Witte - | I understand that. My concern was that it seems to be a need |
| 1117 | in that area, and I though | t maybe you would have underground or first floor parking to |
| 1118 | accommodate additional. | |
| 1119 | | |
| 1120 | Mr. Stanley - | We ran the analysis of structured parking, and it was just cost |
| 1121 | prohibitive. That was our is | ssue. |
| 1122 | | |
| 1123 | Mr. Witte - | That's all I needed to know. Thank you. |
| 1124 | | |
| 1125 | Mr. Stanley - | Yes sir. |
| 1126 | | A the second from the Commission? All right |
| 1127 | Mr. Leabough - | Any other questions from the Commission? All right, |
| 1128 | Mr. Witte. | |
| 1129 | NA NA INTO | Madam Secretary, do we need two motions? |
| 1130 | Mr. Witte - | Madam Secretary, do we need two motions: |
| 1131 | Ms. Moore - | For this I believe just one. Correct? |
| 1132 1133 | IVIS. IVIOOTE - | Tor this recirculatione. Correct: |
| 1133 | Mr. Witte - | Just one? |
| 1134 | Wit. Witte | |
| 1136 | Ms. Moore - | Or one for the exception? |
| 1137 | Mo. Moore | |
| 1138 | Mr. Pambid - | There is no exception in this case; it's just a POD. |
| 1139 | | |
| 1140 | Ms. Moore - | Just one. |
| 1141 | | |
| 1142 | Mr. Witte - | So just one. Okay. Mr. Chairman, I move approval of the plan |
| 1143 | of development and lighting | ng plan for POD2017-00246, Brookfield Commons Renovations |
| 1144 | and New Medical Office E | Building, as presented, subject to the annotations on the plan, |
| 1145 | standard conditions for c | levelopments of this type, additional conditions 11B and 29 |
| 1146 | through 32 as shown on the | ne agenda, and standard conditions for lighting plans. |
| 1147 | | |
| 1148 | Mrs. Marshall - | Second |

- 1150 Mr. Leabough We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mrs. Marshall.
 1151 All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.
- The Planning Commission approved the plan of development and lighting plan for POD2017-00246, Brookfield Commons Renovations and New Medical Office Building, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:
 - 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
 - 29. Outside storage shall not be permitted.
 - 30. The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junction and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plans. All equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
 - 31. Evidence of a joint ingress/egress and maintenance agreement must be submitted to the Department of Planning and approved prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for this development.
- **32. ADDED**: A concrete sidewalk meeting County standards shall be provided along the north side of Dickens Road.

Ms. Moore - Next on your agenda is on page 22 of your regular agenda and page 4 of your amended agenda. This is POD2017-00184, Carriage Hill Apartments Expansion, represented by Timmons Group for BPMS Carriage Hill Holdings, LLC and Bonaventure. This will be presented by Mr. Mike Kennedy.

(Deferred from the May 24, 2017 Meeting)

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT

POD2017-00184
Carriage Hill Apartments
Expansion Building 1 –
Glenside Drive

Timmons Group for BPMS Carriage Hill Holdings, LLC and Bonaventure: Request for approval of a plan of development, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct a four-story, 187,500 square foot age-restricted apartment building with 112 one-bedroom and 36 two-bedroom units. The 6.08-acre portion of the 42.99-acre site is located between the northern line of Glenside Drive, approximately 831 feet east of Bethlehem Road extended, and the southern lines of Basie Road and Bremner Boulevard, on parcel 770-748-2488. The zoning is R-6C, Residential District (Conditional). County water and sewer. (Brookland)

Mr. Leabough - Before we move forward, I just wanted to recognize Mr. Nelson who is with us today. He slid in here so smoothly that I didn't notice soon enough. Thank you for being with us, sir.

Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2017-00184, Carriage Hill Apartments Expansion Building 1? I see no opposition. Mr. Kennedy.

Mr. Kennedy - Good morning, members of the Commission.

This site was rezoned R-6C, Residential Zoning Conditional, on January 24, 2017. The proffers of that zoning case limit the use of the overall property to age-restricted senior housing. The proposed building layout and design are consistent with the proffered development plan and the elevations.

The proposed floor plan contains over 16,000 square feet of accessory amenity space that includes an indoor pool, exercise room, theater, laundry facilities, a spa, meeting space, lounge space, club rooms, etcetera. It's a well-equipped building including a café and dining room with indoor and outdoor dining.

The R-6 District permits by-right multi-family dwellings up to 80 feet in height. The proposed four-story building will be approximately 47 feet in height at the midpoint of the roof, which is how we measure height, and approximately 53 feet in height at the roof ridge line.

Staff has received a revised layout utility plan and grading plan as requested on June 22nd. The revised plans provide for the construction of a missing section of sidewalk along Glenside Drive in this area here. The development is this section back here. As a result of providing that, the Department of Public Works waived the sidewalk along Basie and Bremner Road.

In addition, the revised plans provided for the dedication of eight feet of additional right of way along Bremner and Basie Road. They will provide widening of the road at that point and curb and gutter as well. There is a buffer that runs along this area here. There will be a single break in that buffer for a service drive for fire access and trash removal for the building. There is no through access from that road into the site, so it's very limited, basically like an alley.

The revised plan also provides for relocation of utilities along Bremner Boulevard and Basie Road to permit landscaping. There were electrical power lines going through the property encumbering the landscape area along Bremner Boulevard and Basie Road. They're being relocated. The engineers indicated that site landscaping will be generally consistent with the previously submitted landscape plan. There is significant landscaping proposed. Landscaping is going to have to be replaced given the construction of the building and the grades. But there will be significant landscaping provided. It will exceed the ten-foot transitional buffer that's required. So a ten-foot transitional buffer is required

along Bremner Boulevard and Basie Road and also along the VDOT property that's next 1229 1230 door. 1231 1232 Staff has determined based on the relocation of the utilities that there is sufficient area to provide the landscaping that was critical to this site. Staff notes the revised plan meets all 1233 1234 applicable codes and proffer requirements, and we're not aware of any opposition at this time. Staff recommends approval of the plan subject to the annotations on the plans, 1235 1236 standard conditions for developments of this type, and conditions 29 through 40 on the 1237 agenda. 1238 1239 Rachel McKinney with Timmons is available and some representatives of Bonaventure 1240 are also available to answer your questions, and so am I. 1241 1242 Mr. Witte -Are there any questions by the Commission? 1243 1244 Mr. Baka -I have one question, briefly, if I may, Mr. Kennedy. I realize it's the same zoning type, but are there any requirements in the ordinance to provide—I'm 1245 looking at the landscaping plan or schematic planning plan. Are there requirements in the 1246 ordinance or any discussions with the applicant about putting in additional landscaping 1247 1248 on the south side of the building between the newer, larger building and the other existing buildings that are just on the other side of that drive aisle 60 to 70 feet away? Was there 1249 1250 any discussion of additional landscaping in that area? Or is that not a concern due to the zoning classification? 1251 1252 Mr. Kennedy -1253 It's on the same site, so it's not a zoning issue. But they are providing landscaping. There are a lot of existing trees. This is a mature development so 1254 there are a lot of mature trees there. This area here is all trees, a treed courtyard. 1255 1256 Mr. Baka -1257 I was looking a little more to the west of your cursor. In there. 1258 1259 Mr. Kennedy -There are trees here as well. There are mature trees in this area as well. 1260 1261 1262 Mr. Baka -Okay. So, to clarify, there's nothing required in our Zoning Ordinance along that north side of the—right where your cursor is now. South side of that 1263 1264 building, north side of that parking curb, in that tiny strip. 1265 1266 Mr. Kennedy -No, there isn't. 1267 1268 Mr. Baka -Okay. Thanks. 1269

Mr. Kennedy -

Mr. Baka -

1270

1271 1272

1273

All right. Thanks for the clarification.

Other than the interior parking lot landscaping.

Mr. Witte - Any other questions by the Commission? Would the Commission like to hear from the applicant? I don't see a necessity for it. It's been discussed numerous times.

1278 Mr. Leabough - So I think a motion would be in order, Mr. Witte.

Mr. Witte - Okay. Mr. Chairman, I move approval of POD2017-00184.
Carriage Hill Apartments Expansion Building 1, as presented, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions for developments of this type, and additional conditions 29 through 40 as shown on the agenda.

Mr. Leabough - Second. We have a motion by Mr. Witte, a second by Mr. Leabough. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

The Planning Commission approved POD2017-00184. Carriage Hill Apartments Expansion Building 1, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

1294 29. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.

30. The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.

The right-of-way for widening of Glenside Drive as shown on approved plans shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.

The right-of-way for widening of Basie Road as shown on approved plans shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.

The right-of-way for widening of Bremner Boulevard as shown on approved plans shall be dedicated to the County prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The right-of-way dedication plat and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.

In order to maintain the effectiveness of the County's public safety radio communications system within buildings, the owner will install radio equipment that will allow for adequate radio coverage within the building, unless waived by the Director of Planning. Compliance with the County's emergency communication system shall be certified to the County by a communications consultant within

- ninety (90) days of obtaining a certificate of occupancy. The County will be permitted to perform communications testing in the building at any time.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning case REZ2016-00040 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- A construction staging plan which includes details for traffic control, fire protection, stockpile locations, construction fencing and hours of construction shall be submitted for County review and prior to the approval of any final construction plans.
- Prior to issuance of a building permit, the developer must furnish a letter from Virginia Dominion Power stating that this proposed development does not conflict with their facilities.
- Prior to issuance of a building permit, the developer must furnish a letter from Verizon stating that this proposed development does not conflict with their facilities.
- Approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works does not establish the curb and gutter elevations along the Henrico County maintained right-of-way. The elevations will be set by Henrico County.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.

Ms. Moore - Mr. Chairman. We move to the last case on your regular agenda. It's on page 26. This is POD2017-00248, the Village at Rocketts Land, Block 16, represented by Timmons Group for Central Virginia Investments / Rocketts Landing, LLC and Rocketts Block 16, LLC. And I should note this is on page 5 of your amended agenda. This will be presented by Mr. Kennedy.

PLAN OF DEVELOPMENT AND LIGHTING PLAN

POD2017-00248
The Village of Rocketts
Landing Block 16, Section
8 – 5100 Old Osborne
Turnpike

Timmons Group for Central Virginia Investments / Rocketts Landing, LLC and Rocketts Block 16, LLC: Request for approval of a plan of development, landscape plan, and lighting plan, as required by Chapter 24, Section 24-106 of the Henrico County Code, to construct 12 three and four-story residential townhomes for sale and a temporary parking lot, on Block 16 Section 8 of the Village of Rocketts Landing. The 1.634-acre site is located along the western line of Old Osborne Turnpike (State Route 5), and the eastern line of Old Main Street (private), between Old Delaware Street (private) and Old Hudson Street (private), on parcels 797-712-7077, 797-712-8171, and 797-712-5775. The zoning is UMUC, Urban Mixed Use District (Conditional). City water and sewer. (Varina)

1342

1343

1344

1345

1346 1347

Mr. Leabough - Is there anyone present who is opposed to POD2017-00248, The Village of Rocketts Landing Block 16, Section 8? I see no opposition. Good morning again, Mr. Kennedy.

Mr. Kennedy - Good morning again.

Pursuant to the proffers of zoning case REZ2017-00001, the addition of block 16 to the UMU master plan for The Village of Rocketts Landing, is subject to the proffers submitted with zoning case C-56C-04, which established the original UMU master plan for The Village of Rocketts Landings. This property will be where that blue warehouse is on Old Osborne Turnpike. They will replace it with parking and these townhouses.

As a result of the proffers of the original case, the developer submitted for review and approval by the Director of Public Works an updated traffic study regarding right-of-way alignment improvements to Old Osborne Turnpike along the entire length of the property. The updated traffic study and signalization analysis was submitted on May 12th to both VDOT and Public Works. Based on comments by the County and VDOT staff, they resubmitted a revised alignment. After careful consideration of the traffic study, the alignment was approved by the Director of Public Works for Route 5. The proposed Route 5 alignment adjacent to Block 16 provides a single through lane in both directions, north and south, as well as turn lanes. There's a left turn lane here and a right turn lane into the site here. The study also determined that signalization is not needed at either of those intersections at this time. There are no warrants for a signalization along the entire site at this time. However, future development may require signalization.

The proposed right-of-way alignment provides for dedication of an eight-foot-wide landscape street scape at the back of curb for future landscape improvements and streetscape improvements. Due to the industrial character of the CSX across the street—there's actually no access; there's a big retaining wall here—the industrial character of the area, and limited vehicular access, it was felt that VDOT would not allow street trees and street lighting along that side of Route 5. They want to keep it obstruction-free for the traffic that's there. However, the developers have provided a common area with landscaping behind that eight-foot right-of-way. So, there will be a landscape strip behind it. This is the right-of-way limit here. This is the landscaping on the other side. The streetscape in that area won't have a sidewalk, but it will have a grass strip. The intention is to encourage pedestrians and cyclists to use the interior streets where there actually are building frontages and also to use the Capital Trail. So, to keep them off the industrial-type road.

The revised alignment will specify improvements along Route 5 where it abuts the existing developed section of The Village of Rocketts Landing. The extensions of the alignment will be addressed when we come back with additional plans. This is only for this section. The consultant is still working on revisions to Public Works' comments to address the remainder of the alignment. It will be generally consistent with this. It was just to make sure that what we're presenting is consistent with that.

In addition, the developers must submit a photometric plan in the future to show the lighting plan complies with the UMU standards. They do provide lighting in the parking lot and lighting along the streets. They just have to update their photometrics. They're required to have a minimum one-foot candle per UMU standards in the parking lot and a half-foot candle on the sidewalks. It drops down because of the residential uses, to not make residents feel like they're living in the middle of a shopping center.

1403 1404

1405

The architecture of the proposed townhouses is similar to the townhouses already under construction. Should they change the design, the architectural plans will be resubmitted for review and approval in the future.

1406 1407 1408

1409

Several standard conditions have been modified. The proposed development will be served by City utilities as opposed to County utilities. It looks like a lot of conditions, but primarily it has to do with the fact that it's on a VDOT road and it's also City utilities.

1410 1411

Staff recommends approval subject to the standard conditions for developments of this type, the annotations on the plans, and modified additional conditions on the agenda. Richard Souter from WVS is here to answer any questions that you may have.

1415

Mr. Leabough - Any questions from the Commission for Mr. Kennedy? I have one or two questions for you, Mr. Kennedy. The median that's being shown on the plans there, that's not a raised median, is it?

1419

1420 Mr. Kennedy - No, it's a stripe.

1421

Mr. Leabough - It's a stripe. Okay. And you said that the traffic counts don't warrant signalization for VDOT standards?

1424

1425 Mr. Kennedy - Yes sir, that's correct.

1426

Mr. Leabough - So do you know what the traffic counts are compared to what they need to be to warrant a signal?

1429

1430 Mr. Kennedy - I don't know that offhand.

1431

1432 Mr. Leabough - Is there someone from Public—

1433

1434 Gary Duval - I don't have that information here, but I will follow up with you after the meeting:

1436

Mr. Leabough - I'm just trying to see how we're tracking in terms of getting close to the need or warrants for a signal. For the people that live there, I know they struggle to get out of their development in the morning and in the evening. I don't know if the counts are close or not, but I'm sure it's a pain and an inconvenience for them. I think the only benefit to them now is that the work is going on with the Bus Rapid Transit thing that is actually slowing the traffic down to a point where they can actually get out. But if

that roadwork wasn't happening, they can't get out at all unless someone decides to be 1443 nice and they're having a good morning and decide to slow down and let them out. I try 1444 my best to do it, but I'm only one car. So, that's why I'm asking that question, Mr. Kennedy. 1445 It's tough to get out of there. Adding the turn lanes will help with the flow of traffic on Route 1446 5, but it won't help those folks get out of their neighborhood any easier. 1447 1448 It is a VDOT road, so it's difficult for us to impose a signal 1449 Mr. Kennedy requirement unless it's warranted. 1450 1451 No. I understand. I'm just asking a question because this Mr. Leabough -1452 development, it's served by that road, so it has an impact. 1453 1454 The flow of traffic is left. Most people are going left into the Ms. Moore -1455 City of Richmond. 1456 1457 They're moving the utility poles, I assume, as a result of this Mr. Leabough -1458 work? 1459 1460 Mr. Kennedy -Yes sir. 1461 1462 I thought there was a requirement that they put utilities Mr. Leabough -1463 underground. Is that just interior to the site? 1464 1465 Interior to the site, yes. Mr. Kennedy -1466 1467 You do all that on the site and then you've got all these poles Mr. Leabough -1468 all the way down the road. It really makes the development look bad. I know that it's 1469 nothing that we have any control over, but it would help, I think, I with the overall 1470 aesthetics if Dominion or whoever's utility it is would put the doggone utilities 1471 underground. You've got all these poles all the way down the span of those buildings. 1472 1473 As long as they keep it in the VDOT right-of-way, we've got 1474 Mr. Kennedy no control. 1475 1476 I know. It just takes it away. Ms. Moore said she was going to Mr. Leabough -1477 buy the road out there from VDOT. I'm just joking. 1478 1479 It's happened other places too. They can bring the service Mr. Kennedy -1480 transmission lines across the street and then it drops down. 1481 1482 All right. Any other questions for Mr. Kennedy? Mr. Leabough -1483 1484 I have a question, not necessarily for Mr. Kennedy. Is there Mr. Witte -1485 an issue with requesting from Dominion Virginia Power that they put those power lines 1486

1487 1488 underground? Does anybody know?

| 1489 | Mr. Leabough - | We'll let you set up that meeting, Mr. Witte. |
|--------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 1490 1491 | Mr. Witte - | No problem. |
| 1492 | Will Wille | No problem: |
| 1493 | Mr. Leabough - | I don't think that'll be an issue, but I don't know how much |
| 1494 | leverage we have. | The same and the s |
| 1495 | | |
| 1496 | Mr. Kennedy - | Here it's difficult because we don't have a place on the other |
| 1497 | side of the street to bore | across. If there was right of way there, we could do something |
| 1498 | possibly. But the CSX righ | t-of-way starts at the retaining wall, basically there's nothing to |
| 1499 | work with. | |
| 1500 1501 | Mr. Leabough - | I don't know how recentive Deminion would be to just multiple |
| 1502 | out the checkbook to bury | I don't know how receptive Dominion would be to just pulling |
| 1503 | out the offeetbook to bury | those lines. |
| 1504 | Mr. Archer - | I can answer that for you, Mr. Chairman. |
| 1505 | | The same state of the same sta |
| 1506 | Mr. Leabough - | What's that. Mr. Archer? |
| 1507 | | |
| 1508 | Mr. Archer - | It's like talking to a dead stump. |
| 1509 | Nam I a ala a contr | W 114 |
| 1510 1511 | Mr. Leabough - | Would the applicant, Mr. Souter, come forward? Thank you, |
| 1511 | Mr. Kennedy. | |
| 1512 | Mr. Souter - | Good morning, gentlemen. It's Richard Souter, the developer |
| 1514 | | actually report on Dominion. We're working with Dominion to |
| 1515 | put it underground. | restain, repetit on Deminion. We're working with Bollinlon to |
| 1516 | | |
| 1517 | Mr. Leabough - | You are? |
| 1518 | | |
| 1519 | Mr. Souter - | Yes. |
| 1520 | Mr. Loobayah | The Heaven I |
| 1521 1522 | Mr. Leabough - | That's good. |
| 1523 | Mr. Souter - | It's a real cost to this. You can do anything if you throw enough |
| 1524 | cash at it, right? | it's a real cost to this. Tou can do anything if you throw enough |
| 1525 | ouen, ngm. | |
| 1526 | Mr. Leabough - | And Dominion does not have enough, you're right. |
| 1527 | • | graph of the same states and the same states are same states and the same states are same states and the same states are same |
| 1528 | Mr. Souter - | Oh, they've got plenty. But we are working with them to put |
| 1529 | the majority of those lines | along Route 5 underground. |
| 1530 | Marit and | |
| 1531 | Mr. Leabough - | I think it would help with the overall aesthetics. |
| 1532 | Mr. Souter - | Ob you of course it would of course it |
| 1533 | IVII. Soulet - | Oh, yes, of course it would, of course it would. |

| 1535 1536 1537 1538 | Mr. Leabough - have been constructed. A a lot of poles. We've got al you just see utility pole aft | You've got all those nice buildings that are being built or that nd then you just kind of break it up with all these poles. It's just I that landscaping, all the architecture in the buildings, and then er utility pole. |
|--|---|---|
| 1539 1540 1541 1542 | Mr. Souter - at some point you spend r on something else at the p | Right. I'm very cognizant of that. It costs real money, right? So noney to put that underground, you're not spending that money project. |
| 1543 1544 1545 | Mr. Leabough - | Yes. |
| 1546 1547 | Mr. Souter - Dominion and see if we ca | But we think it's important enough to chase that down with an get it all underground. |
| 1548 1549 1550 1551 | Mr. Leabough - it's real or perceived, they with that a lot, right? | Great. Question for you about parking. The residents, whether seem to have major concerns. So, this parking lot should help |
| 1552 1553 1554 1555 1556 1557 1558 | that will not be eating up a use or another, if it's used the total parking count a | This parking lot really serves the apartment building. But by new apartment building and actually giving it a space, at least dditional on-street parking. Whether the parking is used for one d for one use, it should alleviate it for other uses. This adds to and should help mitigate. Not less of which there's on-street as well that will add to the overall parking. |
| 1559 1560 1561 1562 | Mr. Leabough - your ears—about maybe all are still exploring? | Then there was conversation—all the Planning staff close having some overflow opportunities. Is that something that you |
| 1563 1564 1565 1566 1567 1568 1569 | we have enough blocks he your house. But there is t | It's something we have been thinking about. It's just right now struction site. I think what happens at the end of the day is when ere, you may have to park two or three or four blocks away from he opportunity to do that. Which when it was only a one phase tunity to do that. So, I think that there will be more available on- |
| 1570 1571 1572 | Mr. Leabough - the blue warehouse build | After your apartment building and the other development. Welling's still there, right? |
| 1573 1574 1575 1576 1577 1578 | that building. It's actually | The building is still there, yes. It'll be gone probably toward the that this whole project is for. Right now the contractor is using a great place to stage for the apartment building. This POD will astruction will begin by the end of the year. So, that building ecember will be gone. |
| 1579 1580 | Mr. Leabough - | Okay. All righty. Any other questions? |

1581 1582 Mr. Souter -One thing that's worth noting here as well, and this is just for your own-a way to think about it. As we're getting the second and third generation of 1583 1584 people living there—so the first people that moved to Rocketts moved there and it was quiet and there weren't trash trucks and the restaurants weren't making a noise. They 1585 kind of came with a mindset that this was this kind of this peaceful neighborhood. The 1586 people that are moving in now are moving into that as-is condition, so they're much more 1587 1588

understanding that the parking is maybe—it's challenging, like any urban area. They

realize there's noise. But they're moving there because of that.

1589 1590 1591

1592

1593 1594

1595

So more often than not, in my experience, some of the people that moved there at the beginning, maybe not knowing what the project was going to evolve into had maybe unrealistic expectations of how peaceful and quiet it was going to be and how much parking. I think as the project evolves, the people living there are evolving as well. So I think the expectations are being met differently today than maybe it was eight to ten years ago.

1596 1597 1598

1599

1600

Mr. Leabough -I think that could happen in any type of neighborhood. Our expectations of a neighborhood could be different than what reality is. Those folks still made an investment in your community, so we still have to hear them out and listen to them

1601 1602

1603 Mr. Souter -Agreed. Just on the notion of traffic as well if you don't mind if I just expand it. 1604

1605

1606 Mr. Leabough -Sure.

1607

1608

1609

1610

1611

1612

1613

1614

Mr. Souter -What's happening on Orleans and Route 5, this happens to be just into the City of Richmond, but there's a traffic light coming at that intersection as part of Bus Rapid Transit, as well as one block further into the City at Nicholson and Route 5 there's another traffic light coming in there. So, we actually almost don't see a need to—or a point in time there will be another traffic light warranted down Route 5 because we think that traffic light at Orleans and Route 5 will actually be the point at which if you can't get out one exit, you can always drive internal to the project and use the traffic light as your way to get into the flow.

1615 1616

1617 Mr. Leabough -All right.

1618

Mr. Souter -1619 Thank you.

1620 1621

1622

1623

1624

Mr. Leabough -Thank you, sir. Any other questions? All right. With that, I move approval of POD2017-00248, The Village of Rocketts Landing Block 16, Section 8, subject to standard conditions for developments of this type, additional conditions 1 modified, 2 modified, 11B, 17, 24, and 25 modified, and 29 through 48, and the revised plan as referenced in the addendum.

1627 Mr. Witte - Second.

1628

Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Leabough, a second by Mr. Witte.

All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. There is no opposition; that motion passes.

1631 1632

1633

1634

The Planning Commission approved POD2017-00248, The Village of Rocketts Landing Block 16, Section 8, subject to the annotations on the plans, the standard conditions attached to these minutes for developments of this type, and the following additional conditions:

1635 1636 1637

1638

1639

1640

1641

1642 1643

1644

1645

1646

- 1. **MODIFIED** The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the City of Richmond Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water and sewer.
- 2. **MODIFIED** The City of Richmond Department of Public Utilities shall approve the plan of development for construction of public water and sewer, prior to beginning any construction of these utilities. The City of Richmond Department of Public Utilities shall be notified at least 48 hours prior to the start of any water or sewer construction.
- 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature.
- 1648 17. **MODIFIED** The owner shall have a set of plans approved by the Director of Public Works, City of Richmond Public Utilities and Secretary of the Planning Commission available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 1652 24. **MODIFIED** The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the City of Richmond Department of Public Utilities and the Henrico Division of Fire.
- 1654 25. MODIFIED Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations shall be included on the final construction plans for approval by the City of Richmond Department of Public Utilities and the Henrico Division of Fire prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 1657 29. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.
- The subdivision plat for the Village of Rocketts Landing Section 8 Block 16 shall be recorded before any building permits are issued.
- The entrances and drainage facilities on Old Osborne Turnpike (State Route 5) shall be approved by the Virginia Department of Transportation and the County in conjunction with the construction plans for the Village of Rocketts Landing Phase 3.
- A notice of completion form, certifying that the requirements of the Virginia
 Department of Transportation entrances permit have been completed for Old
 Osborne Turnpike (State Route 5) in conjunction with the construction plans for
 the Village of Rocketts Landing Phase 3, shall be submitted to the Department of
 Planning prior to any occupancy permits being issued.

- Prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for any building in this development, the engineer of record shall certify that the site has been graded in accordance with the approved grading plans.
- The proffers approved as a part of zoning cases REZ2017-00001 and PUP2017-00001 shall be incorporated in this approval.
- A note in bold lettering shall be provided on the erosion control plan indicating that 1678 36. 1679 sediment basins or traps located within buildable areas or building pads shall be reclaimed with engineered fill. All materials shall be deposited and compacted in 1680 accordance with the applicable sections of the state building code and 1681 geotechnical guidelines established by the engineer. An engineer's report 1682 certifying the suitability of the fill materials and its compaction shall be submitted 1683 for review and approval by the Director of Planning and Director of Public Works 1684 and the Building Official prior to the issuance of any building permit(s) on the 1685 affected sites. 1686
- 1687 37. The pavement shall be of an SM-2A type and shall be constructed in accordance 1688 with County standard and specifications. The developer shall post a defect bond for all pavement with the Department of Planning - the exact type, amount and 1689 implementation shall be determined by the Director of Planning, to protect the 1690 1691 interest of the members of the Homeowners Association. The defect bond shall remain in effect for a period of three years from the date of the issuance of the final 1692 occupancy permit. Prior to the issuance of the last Certificate of Occupancy, a 1693 professional engineer must certify that the roads have been designed and 1694 1695 constructed in accordance with County standards.
- The conceptual master plan, as submitted with this application, is for planning and information purposes only.
- All subsequent detailed plans of development needed to implement this conceptual plan shall be submitted for staff review and Planning Commission approval, and shall be subject to all regulations in effect at the time such subsequent plans are submitted for review/ approval.
- The location of all existing and proposed utility and mechanical equipment (including HVAC units, electric meters, junctions and accessory boxes, transformers, and generators) shall be identified on the landscape plan. All building mounted equipment shall be painted to match the building, and all equipment shall be screened by such measures as determined appropriate by the Director of Planning or the Planning Commission at the time of plan approval.
- Except for junction boxes, meters, and existing overhead utility lines, and for technical or environmental reasons, all utility lines shall be underground.
- The proposed development shall be served by privately maintained streets, other than Old Osborne Turnpike (State Route 5), unless otherwise approved by the Director of Public Works.
- The proposed utilities connected to the City of Richmond system shall be accepted by the City for maintenance prior to the issuance of any certificates of occupancy.
 The developer shall coordinate plan review with the City of Richmond and provide evidence to the Director of Planning that the City's requirements are satisfied.
- The applicant shall dedicate all right of way and easements and provide or bond all improvements determined necessary by the Director of Public Works and the

Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) for the widening and improving of Old Osborne Turnpike (State Route 5), in conjunction with the construction of Rocketts Landing Block 16 Section 8, pursuant to the Village of Rocketts Landing 2017 Traffic Study prior to the approval of final certificates of occupancy. The developer shall coordinate plan review with VDOT and provide evidence that their requirements are satisfied.

45. A revised streetscape plan for the Village of Rocketts Landing Block 16 Section 8 shall be submitted for review and approval by the Department of Planning, prior to

the approval of construction plans.

46. All required site and streetscape improvements including landscaping and lighting for the Village of Rocketts Landing Phase 3 must be completed prior to the issuance of any certificate of occupancy in the Village of Rocketts Landing Block 18 Section 8, unless otherwise approved by the Director of Planning. The Director of Planning may defer all or part of the required landscape, lighting or streetscape improvements for which a performance bond has been posted.

47. An updated UMU Master Plan incorporating Block 16 Section 8 must be submitted to the Planning Department for review and approval prior to approval of the final construction plan. The UMU Master Plan must identify buildings; commercial area in square feet per building; residential unit type (condo, residential townhouse, apartment); number of residential units and residential area in square feet per building; open space; parking required and provided for residential and commercial uses by building; and the area and density calculations for multi-family and townhouse units. The UMU Master Plan must show satisfaction of UMU open space and commercial development ratio requirements.

48. Any revisions to the architectural plans for the proposed townhouses not generally consistent with the architectural plans approved by the Planning Commission with Village of Rocketts Landing Phase 4 Block 19 must be submitted for Planning Director review and approval in accordance with the Village of Rockets Landing

Design Manual prior to approval of Building Permits.

Ms. Moore - Mr. Chairman, that takes us to the next item, which is the consideration of your minutes from you May 24, 2017, meeting. I don't believe we have an errata sheet. So, unless the Commission has any changes, it is ready for your

1752 consideration.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: May 24, 2017 Minutes

1756 Mr. Baka -

I move for approval of the minutes,

Mr. Witte - Second.

1760 Mr. Leabough - We have a motion by Mr. Baka, a second by Mr. Witte. All in favor say aye. Those opposed say no. The minutes are approved.

1763 The Planning Commission approved the May 24, 2017 minutes as presented.

| 1765 | Mr. Leabough - | Is there any other business to come before the Commission, | |
|------|---|--|--|
| 1766 | Ms. Moore? | , | |
| 1767 | | | |
| 1768 | Ms. Moore - | I have none, sir. | |
| 1769 | | | |
| 1770 | Mr. Leabough - | All right. Anyone else from the Commission? I hear one of our | |
| 1771 | | us to go to Goochland. I just wanted to thank you, Mr. Garrison. | |
| 1772 | We don't always have the | 2 Opportunity to thank staff before they leave. Herelly they just | |
| 1773 | We don't always have the opportunity to thank staff before they leave. Usually they just | | |
| 1774 | leave and we find out later. But just wanted to thank you for all the work that you've done | | |
| 1775 | with the Commission in helping us navigate these challenging times with the economy | | |
| 1776 | and all the things that are going on in our community. Thank you. | | |
| | And we then be all the Division to see | | |
| 1777 | And we thank all the Plant | ning staff for everything you do. We don't get enough opportunity | |
| 1778 | to say thank you. So, tha | ink you all for everything. We know you go above and beyond | |
| 1779 | going to community meetings late at night. So, the same time that we're spending away | | |
| 1780 | from our families you're s | pending away from your families as well. | |
| 1781 | | | |
| 1782 | So, thank you and good luck in Goochland. We'll be sure that you'll get the same volume | | |
| 1783 | of cases out that way that you get here. So, you'll stay busy. | | |
| 1784 | | | |
| 1785 | Mr. Baka - | It's coming that way. | |
| 1786 | | , | |
| 1787 | Mr. Garrison - | [Off microphone] Thank you very much. Appreciate it. | |
| 1788 | | to the second state of the second sec | |
| 1789 | Mr. Witte - | Can we get Mr. Garrison to come down? I have a question for | |
| 1790 | him. | out we get this cameon to dome down: Thave a question to | |
| 1791 | | | |
| 1792 | Mr. Leabough - | You don't know what to expect, Mr. Garrison. | |
| 1793 | caseag. | rod don't know what to expect, wir. Gamson. | |
| 1794 | Mr. Witte - | Since I know you've done your research before you made this | |
| 1795 | | and, I have a question about Goochland. Goochland seems to | |
| 1796 | me like it would be the lar | id of gooches. What's a "gooch?" | |
| 1797 | The line it would be the lai | id of goodles. What's a goodly | |
| 1798 | Mr. Garrison - | Locald not tell year that | |
| 1799 | MI. Garrison - | I could not tell you that. | |
| | [Diotostad audia unint_0]: 2.1.1 | | |
| 1800 | [Distorted audio; unintelligible] | | |
| 1801 | Mar. A mala a m | N. 0 . 1 | |
| 1802 | Mr. Archer - | —Mr. Gooch was a governor in Virginia one time, and it was | |
| 1803 | named after him. | | |
| 1804 | | | |
| 1805 | Mr. Garrison - | Well there you go. I learned something new today. | |
| 1806 | | • | |
| 1807 | Mr. Witte - | I was aware of that, but I didn't know if there was such a thing | |
| 1808 | as a "gooch." | | |
| 1809 | | | |
| 1810 | Mr. Leabough - | You have to move to Goochland to find out, Mr. Witte. | |
| | | | |

| 1811 | | |
|------|-----------------------------|---|
| 1812 | Mr. Garrison - | Maybe I'll find that out. |
| 1813 | | , |
| 1814 | Mr. Witte - | Best of luck to you. |
| 1815 | Wil. VVICC - | Best of fusik to you. |
| | Mr. Loobough | We lost Mr. Coleman. I think Tom Coleman went to |
| 1816 | Mr. Leabough - | ing you. Just remind them that Henrico is still the best County |
| 1817 | | |
| 1818 | in the state and probably t | ne nation. |
| 1819 | | 1 20 |
| 1820 | Mr. Garrison - | I will mention that. |
| 1821 | | |
| 1822 | Mr. Leabough - | All right. Any other business for the Commission or anything |
| 1823 | else anyone would like to | share? |
| 1824 | | |
| 1825 | Mrs. Marshall - | You need to go to Satterwhite's if you haven't been. |
| 1826 | | |
| 1827 | Mr. Garrison - | Okay, I'll try that. |
| 1828 | | |
| 1829 | Mr. Archer - | Biggest hamburgers in the world. |
| 1830 | | |
| 1831 | Mrs. Marshall - | They are. |
| 1832 | | |
| 1833 | Mr. Garrison - | All right. |
| 1834 | | |
| 1835 | Mr. Archer - | They're getting ready to get electricity in Goochland. |
| 1836 | | , |
| 1837 | Mrs. Marshall - | And a Chick-fil-A and a Taco Bell. |
| 1838 | | |
| 1839 | Mr. Garrison - | Yeah? They're all moving that way, huh. That's what I'll |
| 1840 | probably be reviewing I gu | |
| 1841 | probably be reviewing rigi | association of the same |
| 1842 | Mr. Leabough - | Anything else? A motion for adjournment would be in order. |
| 1843 | Wii. Leabougii | 7 my ming close. 7 time don't et de gearmine it in calla le e m'et de m |
| 1844 | Mr. Witte - | So moved. |
| 1845 | IVII. VVILLO - | oo moved. |
| 1846 | Mrs. Marshall - | Second. |
| | IVIIS. IVIAISIIAII - | Second. |
| 1847 | Mr. Loobough | We have a motion for adjournment by Mr. Witte and a second |
| 1848 | Mr. Leabough - | The have a motion for adjournment by Mr. Witte and a second |
| 1849 | by Mrs. Marshall. | |
| 1850 | | |
| 1851 | | Mr. Frio S. Lophough, C.B.C. Chairnerson |
| 1852 | | Mr. Eric S. Leabough, C.P.C., Chairperson |
| 1853 | | |
| 1854 | | |
| 1855 | | May Joan Marca Asting Constant |
| 1856 | | Ms Jean Moore, Acting Secretary |

A. Standard Conditions for all POD's:

- 1. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water and sewer. (when the property is served by public utilities)
- 1A. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public sewer. The well location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public water system when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public water)
- 1B. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for connections to public water. The septic tank location shall be approved by the County Health Department before a building permit is issued. Connection shall be made to the public sewer when available within 300 feet of the site/building. (when not served by public sewer)
- 2. The Director of the Department of Public Utilities shall approve the plan of development for construction of public water and sewer, prior to beginning any construction of these utilities. The Department of Public Utilities shall be notified at least 48 hours prior to the start of any County water or sewer construction.
- 3. The parking lot shall be subject to the requirements of Chapter 24, Section 24-98 of the Henrico County Code.
- 4. The parking spaces shall be marked on the pavement surface with four-inch-wide traffic painted lines. All lane lines and parking lines shall be white in color with the exception that those dividing traffic shall yellow.
- 5. Sufficient, effectively usable parking shall be provided. If experience indicates the need, additional parking shall be provided.
- 6. Curb and gutter and necessary storm sewer shall be constructed as shown on approved plans.
- 7. The plan of development plan shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan dated **June 28**, **2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if details were fully described herein. Nine (9) sets of revised plans, including the detailed drainage, erosion control and utility plans, shall be submitted by the design engineer who prepared the plans to the Department of Planning for final review. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of fourteen (14) sets of final plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. Two (2) sets of the approved plan shall be attached to the building permit application. (**Revised October 2015**)
- 8. Two copies of an Erosion and Sediment Control Agreement with required escrow shall be submitted to the Department of Public Works. Approval is required prior to construction plan approval and beginning construction. The Department of Public Works shall be notified at least 24 hours prior to the start of any construction.
- 9. A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 9. **AMENDED** A detailed landscaping plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review and Planning Commission approval prior to the issuance of any occupancy permits.
- 10. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced no later than the next planting season.

- Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and approval.
- 11. **AMENDED** Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including depictions of light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting height details shall be submitted for Department of Planning review and Planning Commission approval.
- 11B. Prior to the approval of an electrical permit application and installation of the site lighting equipment, a plan including light spread and intensity diagrams, and fixture specifications and mounting heights details shall be revised as annotated on the staff plan and included with the construction plans for final signature. (For POD which includes lighting plan approval)
- 12. All exterior lighting shall be designed and arranged to direct the light and glare away from nearby residential property and streets.
- The site, including the parking areas, shall be kept clean of litter and debris on a daily basis. Trash container units/litter receptacles and recycling containers shall be maintained with regular pickups scheduled and shall be screened properly on all four sides. The gate(s) shall remain closed except when the receptacle(s) are being filled or serviced and shall be repaired or replaced as necessary. Details shall be included with the final site plan or required landscape plan for review and approval.
- 14. Required fire lanes shall be marked and maintained in accordance with the Virginia Statewide Fire Prevention Code.
- 15. Traffic control signs shall be provided as indicated on the Department of Planning Staff plan. All signs shall be fabricated as shown in The National Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways.
- 16. The assigned property number(s) shall be displayed so it is easily readable from the street. If assistance is needed with the address, please contact the Department of Planning at 501-4284. The Planning Department must assign all property addresses. (Revised January 2008)
- 17. The owner shall have a set of plans approved by the Director of Public Works, Public Utilities and Secretary of the Planning Commission available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 18. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- 19. Upon completion of the improvements and prior to the certification of the permanent occupancy permit, the owner shall furnish a statement by the engineer or land surveyor who prepared the POD plan, to the effect that all construction including water and sewer is in conformance to the regulations and requirements of the POD.
- 20. The approved Plan of Development is granted by the Planning Commission only to the owners(s)/applicant(s) listed on the Plan of Development application on file for this project. Upon written notification to the Director of Planning, the Plan of Development approval may be transferred to subsequent owner(s) subject to approval by this Commission, or approval by the Director of Planning provided the property is transferred to new ownership no later than 24 months following initial construction plan approval. (Revised August 2016)

- 21. Vehicles shall be parked only in approved and constructed parking spaces.
- 22. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 23. The site, including paving, pavement markings, signage, curb and gutter, dumpster screens, walls, fences, lighting and other site improvements shall be properly maintained in good condition at all times. Any necessary repairs shall be made in a timely manner.
- 24. The developer shall provide fire hydrants as required by the Department of Public Utilities and Division of Fire.
- 25. Insurance Services Office (ISO) calculations shall be included on the final construction plans for approval by the Department of Public Utilities prior to issuance of a building permit.
- 26. Any necessary off-site drainage and/or water and sewer easements must be obtained in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to final approval of the construction plans.
- 27. The easements for drainage and utilities as shown on approved plans shall be granted to the County in a form acceptable to the County Attorney prior to any occupancy permits being issued. The easement plats and any other required information shall be submitted to the County Real Property Agent at least sixty (60) days prior to requesting occupancy permits.
- 28. Deviations from County standards for pavement, curb or curb and gutter design shall be approved by the County Engineer prior to final approval of the construction plans by the Department of Public Works.
- 29. (Start of miscellaneous conditions)

STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR LANDSCAPE/LIGHTING/FENCE PLANS

- 1. The plan shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **June 28, 2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein. Four (4) sets of prints of the revised plan shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval stamps and distribution.
- 2. The property shall be developed as shown on the plan filed with the case and no changes or additions to the layout shall be made without the approval of this Commission.
- 3. The owner shall have a set of approved plans available at the site at all times when work is being performed. A designated responsible employee shall be available for contact by County Inspectors.
- 4. All groundcover and landscaping shall be properly maintained in a healthy condition at all times. Dead plant materials shall be removed within a reasonable time and replaced during the normal planting season. (DELETE IF NO LANDSCAPING)
- 5. All exterior lighting shall be shielded to direct lights away from adjacent residential property and streets. (**DELETE IF NO LIGHTING**)
- 6. All fences, walls, and screens, including gates and doors, shall be maintained in good repair by the owner. Trash and debris should not be allowed to accumulate along the fence or wall. (DELETE IF NO FENCE, WALL, OR DUMPSTER SCREEN)

- B. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Zero Lot Line Developments shall apply:
- 29. Roof edge ornamental features that extend over the zero lot line, and which are permitted by Section 24-95(i)(1), must be authorized in the covenants.
- 30. Eight-foot easements for construction, drainage, and maintenance access for abutting lots shall be provided and shown on the POD plans.
- 31. Building permit request for individual dwellings shall each include two (2) copies of a layout plan sheet as approved with the plan of development. The developer may utilize alternate building types providing that each may be located within the building footprint shown on the approved plan. Any deviation in building footprint or infrastructure shall require submission and approval of an administrative site plan.
- 32. Windows on the zero lot line side of the dwelling can only be approved with an exception granted by the Building Official and the Director of Planning during the building permit application process.
- 33. The mechanical equipment for each building shall be located on its respective lot. Except for wall-mounted electric meters, in no case shall the eight-foot easement for construction, drainage, and maintenance access on the abutting lot be used to locate other mechanical equipment (such as HVAC equipment, generators, and the like) for the subject lot.
- C. Standard Conditions for Approval of All Dry Cleaners and Laundries in Addition to Item A:
- 29. The dry cleaning establishment shall use only non-inflammable cleaning solvents and have fully enclosed cleaning and solvent reclamation processes and fully enclosed pressing equipment with no outside steam exhaust.
- D. In addition to Item A, the Following Conditions for Approval of All Shopping Centers Shall Apply:
- 29. Only retail business establishments permitted in a **ZONE** may be located in this center.
- 30. The ground area covered by all the buildings shall not exceed in the aggregate 25 percent of the total site area.
- 31. No merchandise shall be displayed or stored outside of the building(s) or on sidewalk(s).
- E. In Addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Multi-Family Shall Apply:
- 29. The unit house numbers shall be visible from the parking areas and drives.
- 30. The names of streets, drives, courts and parking areas shall be approved by the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission and such names shall be included on the construction plans prior to their approval. The standard street name signs shall be installed prior to any occupancy permit approval.

- F. In addition to Item A, the Following Standard Conditions for Approval of All Service Station Developments Shall Apply:
- 29. This business shall not remain in operation after midnight and no exterior signs shall remain lighted after (12:00 midnight B-1) (1:00 o'clock a.m. B-2) (no limit B-3).
- 30. No merchandise shall be displayed outside of the building except that oil racks will be allowed on the pump islands.
- 31. This service station shall be used only for the sale of petroleum products and automobile accessories and parts. It shall not be used to sell or rent camping trailers, nor as a base of operation for truck fleets or fuel oil delivery or other such use that is not strictly a service station operation.
- 32. Only light repair work shall be allowed at this station, including motor tune-up, brake, generator, ignition, and exhaust repairs, and wheel balancing. The only work that can be performed outside the building is those services that are normally furnished at the pump island and the changing of tires.
- 33. No wrecked automobiles, nor automobiles incapable of being operated, shall be kept on the premises.
- 34. The prospective operator of this station shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.

G. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A B-2 ZONE

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. There shall be no exterior display of merchandise except on pump islands and on paved walkway areas within three (3) feet of building.
- 31. Lighting fixtures shall not exceed a height greater than twenty (20) feet.
- 32. No temporary storage of wrecked or inoperative vehicles or rental of vehicles, trailer campers, vans or similar equipment shall be permitted.
- 33. Not more than two (2) electronic amusement games shall be permitted.
- Not more than two (2) vending machines for food and beverage and similar merchandise shall be permitted on the premises outside of an enclosed building.
- 35. The prospective operator of this facility shall come to the Department of Planning and sign the file copy of the special plan of development letter <u>before</u> he signs a lease with the oil company to operate this station.
- 36. The landscaping plan shall include details for screening of refuse containers and refuse storage facilities in accordance with Section 24-61(i).
- 37. Refuse containers or refuse storage facilities shall be serviced during business hours only.
- 38. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way.
- 39. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

H. STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR CONVENIENCE STORES WITH FUEL PUMPS IN A B-3 ZONE

- 29. Bulk storage of fuel shall be underground.
- 30. The owner or manager on duty shall be responsible for temporarily closing the car wash facility when the on-site stacking space is inadequate to serve customer demand to prevent a backup of vehicles onto the public right-of-way. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)
- 31. The owner shall arrange with the Traffic Engineer to provide standard traffic control signs to notify customers that stopping or standing on the public right-of-way shall not be permitted near the entrances to the car wash facility. (If Car Wash Is Proposed)

Conventional Single-Family Subdivisions Served By Public Utilities

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- Onstruction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of sixteen (16) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water. (Substitute condition 5A if well)
- 5A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer. (Substitute condition 6A if on-site sewage disposal/septic)
- 6A. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **June 28, 2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on **June 27**, **2018**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be

- filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.
- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements as applicable, and design considerations.
- 12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

Conventional Single-Family Subdivisions Not Served By Public Utilities

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage and erosion control plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of eleven (11) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. A detailed soil analysis shall be performed and other requirements of the Health Department met before final plats are recorded. The developer shall have the center lines of all streets and lot corners staked to facilitate the examination of lots by the Health Department Sanitarians prior to filing for final approval and shall notify the Department of Planning and Health Department in writing when the staking has been done.
- 6. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 7. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **June 28, 2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 8. This approval shall expire on **June 27**, **2018**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 9. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.

- 10. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting a number of requirements including but not limited to minimum zoning requirements, Health Department requirements and design considerations.
- Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers, Chesapeake Bay Act Areas, wells and primary/reserved drainfields.

Residential Townhouse for Sale (RTH) Subdivisions

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- Onstruction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of fourteen (14) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **June 28, 2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on **June 27, 2018**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.

- 11. The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.
- 12. A draft of the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for review, prior to final approval. The proposed Homeowners Association for the project shall be responsible for the exterior maintenance of all buildings and grounds.
- 13. All block corners shall be monumented and referenced, where possible, to the exterior boundaries of the site
- 14. The record plat shall contain a statement that the common area is dedicated to the common use and enjoyment of the homeowners of (name of subdivision) and is not dedicated for use by the general public. This statement shall refer to the applicable article in the covenants recorded with the plat.

Zero Lot Line Subdivisions

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Plan of Development and Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Plan of Development and Final Subdivision applications. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of fourteen (14) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works, and a preconstruction meeting has been conducted with the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer.
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **June 28, 2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- 9. This approval shall expire on **June 27, 2018**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the required fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- 10. The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change may be implemented.

- The conditional approval of this plat by the Planning Commission does not imply that all lots shown thereon will be granted final approval. Such approval is contingent on each lot meeting all requirements, including but not limited to, minimum zoning requirements, and design considerations.
- 12. Prior to a request for final approval, the developer shall provide a buildable area plan showing information for all lots within the subdivision. Such plan shall be a part of the construction plans submitted for review and for signature. The buildable area plan shall be a minimum of 1" to 50' scale or larger and shall show the buildable area for the principal structure, all setback dimensions, the minimum lot width (perpendicular to the center line of the lot at the front building line), and if applicable, any Special Flood Hazard Areas (floodplains) and the area of each lot exclusive of floodplain, wetlands, easements, buffers and Chesapeake Bay Act Areas.

Road Dedication with No Lots

- 1. All requirements of Chapter 18, 19 and 24 of the Henrico County Code shall be met.
- 2. Construction plans, including proposed erosion and sediment controls, shall be submitted to the Department of Planning at least 30 days prior to final approval.
- 3. Construction shall not commence until the Director of Planning has granted final approval of the plat; and until the construction plans including the detailed drainage, erosion control, and utility plans have been approved by the Department of Planning, the Department of Public Utilities, and the Department of Public Works and a preconstruction meeting has been held with the Department of Public Works. Plans for Final Subdivision review shall be submitted to the Department of Planning in accordance with the requirements of the Final Subdivision application. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, a minimum of sixteen (16) sets of final construction plans for signature shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All erosion and sediment control plans, agreements, and bonds must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the construction plans.
- 4. Clearing and grubbing shall not commence until a clearing and grubbing plan has been approved by the Department of Planning and the Department of Public Works. Upon notice from the Department of Planning to the Engineer that all comments have been addressed, eight (8) sets of clearing and grubbing plans shall be submitted to the Department of Planning for approval signatures. All appropriate bonds and agreements, authorizations from state and/or regulatory agencies for impacts to the Waters of the United States, and offsite easement plats must be submitted to the Department of Public Works and approved prior to approval of the clearing and grubbing plans. Approvals must be updated prior to recordation of the plat.
- 5. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for water.
- 6. The owner shall enter into the necessary contracts with the Department of Public Utilities for sewer
- 7. A copy of the letter from the Richmond Regional Planning District Commission giving approval to the street names in this subdivision shall be submitted to the Director of Planning for final approval of street names before the recordation plat is submitted for review.
- 8. The plat shall be revised as shown in red on Staff plan dated **June 28, 2017**, which shall be as much a part of this approval as if all details were fully described herein.
- This approval shall expire on **June 27**, **2018**, unless an extension is requested in writing stating the reason such extension is necessary. The request shall include the fee and must be filed a minimum of two weeks prior to the expiration date.
- The name of this development, as designated in this approval, shall be the name used for marketing and public recognition purposes. A written request for a name change must be received and granted by the Department of Planning before such a change can be implemented.