MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS OF HENRICO COUNTY, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN THE HENRICO COUNTY GOVERNMENT COMPLEX, ON THURSDAY, JANUARY 24, 2002, AT 9:00 A.M., NOTICE HAVING BEEN PUBLISHED IN THE RICHMOND TIMES-DISPATCH ON JANUARY 4 AND 11, 2002.

5 6

1 2

3

4

Members Present:

Daniel Balfour, Chairman

R. A. Wright, Vice Chairman

Richard Kirkland

Gene L. McKinney, C.P.C., C.B.Z.A.

James W. Nunnally

Also Present:

Benjamin Blankinship, Secretary Susan W. Blackburn, County Planner II Priscilla M. Parker, Recording Secretary

7 8

Mr. Balfour - I call the meeting of the Henrico County Board of Zoning Appeals to order, and ask you to stand for the **Pledge of Allegiance**.

9 10 11

Mr. Balfour - Mr. Secretary, would you read the rules, please.

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

Mr. Blankinship -Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, ladies and gentlemen. The rules for this meeting are as follows. As the Secretary, I will call each case. At that time the applicant will come to the podium to present the case. At that time I'll ask all those who intend to speak, in favor or opposition, to stand, and they will be sworn in. The applicants will then present their testimony. When the applicant is finished, anyone else will be given an opportunity to speak. After everyone has spoken, the applicant, and only the applicant, will be given the opportunity for rebuttal. After hearing the case, and asking questions, the Board will take the matter under advisement. They will render all of their decisions at the end of the meeting. If you wish to know what their decision is, you may stay until the end of the meeting, or you may call the Planning Office this afternoon. This meeting is being tape recorded, so we will ask everyone who speaks, to speak directly into the microphone, and to state your name for the record. Out in the foyer, there are two binders, which contain the staff report for each case, including the conditions suggested by the staff. Mr. Chairman, we do not have any deferrals or withdrawals this morning.

282930

Mr. Balfour - The first case is one deferred from a previous meeting?

31 32

A -147-2001 WILLIAM DEBENDER requests a variance from Sections 24-

95(i)(2)(b) and 24-95(i) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to 33 34 build a detached garage at 11416 Wood Brook Court (Rock 35 Springs Estates) (Parcel 13-2-G-14), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Brookland). The accessory structure height requirement 36 and accessory structure location requirement are not met. The 37 applicant wishes to build a detached garage in the front yard 38 with a height of 16.5 feet, where the Code allows accessory 39 structures in the rear yard with a height of 15.0 feet. 40 Mr. Balfour -Anybody here for this case? 42

41

43

44 Mr. DeBender -Bill DeBender.

45

Would you raise your right hand please? 46 Mr. Balfour -

47

48 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is 49 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

50 51

52

53 54

55

56

57

58

59

Mr. DeBender -I do. My name is Bill DeBender. I would like to build a traditional 2-car garage, in the front, but off to the left side of our property. The house is situated well back into the lot because it's low lying, and there's a swale that runs through it, so it's not buildable. We also have the septic system in the rear. As you see on the left-hand side, it is dropped back, and it's hardly visible, even from the road. I didn't think that it would be objectionable from anyone driving past it, and I've got an agreement with a neighbor and the developer, that they have no issues about it either. The only thing I wanted to add, in the plans that were submitted, it stated that it was going to be a 22 by 24; we're looking for a 24 by 24.

60 61

Mr. Balfour-Adding a couple of feet? Any questions by Board 62 63 members?

64 65

Mr. McKinney -What is this to be constructed out of, Mr. DeBender?

66 67

68

69

Mr. DeBender -It'll have the same material as the house, the windows, the siding, all the doors, will be the same manufacturer. It'll have the same roofing material also. We really wanted it to be pleasing to the community and a compliment to the house.

70 71

72 Mr. McKinney -Are you going to brick the front of it, or just do the sides?

73

74 Mr. DeBender -I didn't think I was going to brick the front of it. If I have to, I have to. The developer wants to have brick on the front, but 75

77 Mr. McKinney - The developer or the builder?

78

79 Mr. DeBender - The developer of the project, Robert Maughan. He hasn't 80 stipulated that it has to be. His preference would be brick on the front. I actually 81 thought that brick on the front would be an issue, because you would see it from 82 the side, and you'd see the distinct break between siding and brick. I didn't think 83 that siding would be an issue. It's high quality vinyl siding; it's not a real flimsy, 84 cheap material.

85

86 Mr. McKinney - Is your developer also your contractor?

87

88 Mr. DeBender - No, I don't have a contractor at this time. I was looking to get the variance first.

90

91 Mr. Balfour - This picture's looking at it from Wood Brook Court?

92

93 Mr. DeBender - That's looking at it from Wood Brook Court, yes.

94

95 Mr. Balfour- Down at the left, it would be facing Wood Brook, a little 96 to the front of the home?

97

98 Mr. Balfour - Any other questions?

99

Mr. Wright- Mr. DeBender, why don't you attach, have a little breezeway or something to attach this to your house?

102 103

104105

Mr. DeBender - Well, because it's almost obscured by a tree there. That is the opening to the laundry room, and it's elevated by 4 or 5 steps, and so if we put a breezeway there, it would really be a pretty large structure, not only the width that's required, but also the fact that it would have to go up the stairs, and I just thought that it looked out of place.

107108

106

109 Mr. Wright- How many of these trees will you have to remove to put this garage in?

111112

113

114

115

116

Mr. DeBender - We have to remove – there is a sweet gum tree that's on the, as you're looking down into that section of the driveway, that would face the garage, there's one sweet gum and one oak tree, no more than about 4 inches in diameter, that would be very close to the structure itself, and I just didn't want that there. There may also be one in the rear, depending on how much of a footer that we have to place there, not a large tree.

117118

119 Mr. Kirkland - What size is your lot, acre-wise?

121 Mr. DeBender - An acre and a quarter.

123 Mr. Kirkland - Is the lot next door to your house a buildable lot?

125 Mr. DeBender - No, it doesn't perk; they've tried a couple of times.

127 Mr. Balfour - Thank you. Any other questions? Anybody here in 128 opposition?

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Kirkland, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board **granted** application **A-147-2002** for a variance to build a detached garage at 11416 Wood Brook Court (Rock Springs Estates) (Parcel 13-2-G-14). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1. Only a 24' x 24' garage in the location shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

2. At the time of building permit application, the applicant shall submit the necessary information to the Department of Public Works to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and the code requirements for water quality standards.

146 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright

148 Negative:

149 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour - Mr. Secretary?

DILLARD LANGSTON TUPPONCE, JR. requests a variance from Section 24-95(q)5 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a one-family dwelling at 8114 Cavendish Lane (Chamberlayne Hills) (Parcel 792-754-0474), zoned R-2, One-family Residence District (Fairfield). The rear yard setback is not met. The

applicant has 25.75 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 45 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 19.25 feet rear yard setback.

167168

165

166

Mr. Balfour - Any others to speak on this case? Would you raise your right hand please?

171172

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

173174175

Mr. Balfour - Would your state your name please.

176177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186 187

188

189 190

191

192193

194 195

196

Mr. Tupponce -I do. My name is Dillard Langston Tupponce, Jr. The reason that I'm here today is to request a variance to build this one-family home at 8114 Cavendish Lane. As I've stated in the information that I've put into the Board, from the beginning we got with the architects. We had them place the proposed building on the property, and due to a mistake on my part, a miscommunication to the architects as far as the setbacks were concerned, the information that they took from what I said, the setbacks were not placed accordingly. What happened is, when we placed the lot back on, just probably about a month ago, just because I had a feeling that something just didn't feel right, I got back in touch with them, I gave them the setbacks again. They placed it back on and said we were across the setback in the back. And my architects have also said, they have a lot of experience in this, they were just very surprised at the setbacks on this lot. They said that generally they can see the 45 feet from the front and then the 15 from each side, but the back, they're generally dealing with maybe 25, 35 at the most, and then looking at this lot, the cul-de-sac, the way it's formed. It's also formed very different, it's a very narrow lot. Also, what we've done, and I've brought with me, as you see in the plans that you have, on the survey, what we did is we took, because we're trying to do what we can to bring this property back down to size, or reduce the size, we've taken the garage off and placed that so it would be under the house, more or less a sub-basement, somewhat. Like I said, I brought that with me in case you wanted to see that.

197 198 199

Mr. Balfour- Is that a vacant lot behind you that faces Chamberlayne Road?

200201

202 Mr. Tupponce - Yes, there are 2 vacant lots behind me.

203

Mr. Wright- What does putting the garage underneath the house, what does that do to the structure insofar as how far it is from the back property line?

206207

208

Mr. Tupponce - Well, it won't move the proposed house at all, but what it does, because putting that garage on the side, it did extend the width of the house.

Like I said, we're trying to bring that back in, so it's not as large on the property.

210

211 Mr. Wright- Which side is the garage on?

212

213 Mr. Tupponce - The garage would still be on the left side of the home.

214 It's now, on the plan that you have, it's sitting on the left.

215216

Mr. Wright- Is that the left side facing the house from the street?

217

Left side facing the house from the street. 218 Mr. Tupponce -Again, because from the very beginning, we went to architects to make sure that we, 219 we've done a lot of studying on this lot and preparing to put this house here. And 220 221 like I said, a miscommunication on my part, obviously, to the architects. We've gotten this far, and we've gone through all the expense of having our plans done, 222 doing the surveys, doing the tests on the soil, we put a lot of time and effort and 223 expense into preparing this house for this property, and we've looked. Oh we've 224 been looking for a couple of years, actually, my wife and I, and we found this lot, it 225 was just exactly what we wanted, and we went through everything to be sure it 226 was going to work and be right. I woke up one morning, said something just 227 doesn't feel right, got in contact with my architects again, had them place it back 228 on, and we're already pretty much \(^3\)4 of the way through with the plan. Now the 229 plans are complete, we're closing on the property as we speak. 230

231

232 Mr. Balfour - Any other questions of Mr. Tupponce?

233

234 Mr. McKinney - Do you have the new plans?

235236

236 Mr. Tupponce - Yes, would you like to see them?

238

- 239 240 Mr. Tupponce - No, well what we did is, we took the garage off and
- placed it. This is just proposed for you to see that we're trying to do something to

You haven't submitted them though?

242 narrow this house.

Mr. McKinney -

243

244 Mr. McKinney - How much did you get it narrowed?

245

Mr. Tupponce - I think taking off that garage, that house is 82 feet wide, it brought it back into, I believe, roughly 60 feet wide.

248

249 Mr. McKinney - So it's 60 feet now instead of 82?

- 251 Mr. Tupponce It can be. We're willing to try and bring this in if that is
- what needs to be done.

253		
254	Mr. McKinney -	If we're going to vote on this, we need copies of the new
255	•	of what we have in our packet right here, not what you're
	•	or what we have in our packet right here, not what you're
256	going to do.	
257	N4 T	
258	Mr. Tupponce -	I can give that to you.
259		
260	Mr. McKinney -	If you do this, we've got to keep it for 30 days.
261	_	
262	Mr. Balfour -	He's going to put that on the projector for you, and maybe
263	we can see it.	
264		
265	Mr. Balfour-	That's the new plan. Are you asking us to approve it as
266	that plan there?	
267		
268	Mr. Tupponce -	Yes sir, I guess I am, because what you have in front of
269	you now, we originally	were going to do it like this, and then when we found out
270	that this may be a prob	plem, we tried to do everything we could to bring it in and
271	narrow it in the back,	but just because it's a one-story dwelling, that's almost
272	-	if we could maybe take the garage off and bring the width
273	in some, we'll do that.	, 5 5
274		
275	Mr. McKinney -	You say your garage is going to be underneath?
276	,	to a ca, year garage to going to ac anaemount
277	Mr. Tupponce -	Yes sir.
278		
279	Mr. Kirkland -	Do you have a perspective or a plan?
280		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
281	Mr. McKinney -	I'm looking at the lot. The lot falls off in the rear?
282	,	
283	Mr. Tupponce -	Yes sir.
284	rapponed	. 65 611
285	Mr. McKinney -	This picture that's up here now is the first set of plans we
286	have in front of us?	The picture that e up here here to the first set of plane we
287	nave in none or as:	
288	Mr. Tupponce -	Yes sir.
289	wii. Tupponce -	165 511.
	Mr. Makinnay	Do you have a picture of the other and?
290	Mr. McKinney -	Do you have a picture of the other one?
291	Mr. Tuppopoo	No air bassuss we just made the change this week
292	Mr. Tupponce -	No sir, because we just made the change this week.
293	Mr. Makinan	Which are do you like?
294	Mr. McKinney -	Which one do you like?
295	Mr. Tunnonss	Well we exist ally liked that first also
296	Mr. Tupponce -	Well, we originally liked that first plan.

Mr. McKinney - I like that too, the one with the garage on the left. You're not asking for side yard; you're asking for rear yard.

300

Mr. Blankinship - This doesn't appear to reduce the request very much.

302

Mr. Balfour-- What I'm trying to say is, if you don't want the narrow house, you may want to leave your variance the way it is. I'm not sure that changes the back part very much. Anybody else have any comments? In other words, if you like the first choice, you don't need to reduce it.

307

Mr. McKinney - I think the elevation is a whole lot better looking on this, what you're presenting, than cutting the garage off the side.

310

311 Mr. Balfour- Your preference is to have it on the side?

312

Mr. Tupponce - Again, it was, and again, when we started thinking, if we would do something to make this plan better. Then again, I think my wife would, now she would prefer to have the garage come in, just so it drives straight in. I don't want to extend this variance out, your decision out, because we're trying to get under way if possible.

318

Mr. Balfour-- What we may be able to do, and I'm not speaking for the Board, just a reaction, we could approve it this way. If you want to narrow it, you and your wife can discuss it later.

322

323 Mr. Tupponce - So you said you could approve it as narrowing it?

324

Mr. McKinney - No, we could approve it as is, your original drawing. If you want to reduce it, that's up to you.

327

328 Mr. Blankinship - If we approve the reduced drawing, you can't go back to 329 the larger one.

330

Mr. Balfour- If we leave it the larger one, you and she can discuss and see who wins the discussion.

333

334 Mr. McKinney - That's a nice-looking elevation, what you have here.

335

336 Mr. Balfour - Any other questions? Anyone else in the audience to speak?

338

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board **granted** application **A-1-2002** for a variance to build a one-

family dwelling at 8114 Cavendish Lane (Chamberlayne Hills) (Parcel 792-754-341 0474). The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition: 342

343

This variance applies only to the rear yard setback. All other applicable 344 regulations of the County Code shall remain in force. 345

346

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 347 348

349 Negative: 0 Absent:

350 351 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due 352 to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the 353 County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other 354 properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial 355 detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning 356

regulations. 357

358 359

360

361

362

363

364

A - 2-2002

ROBERT L. AND NICOLE BRASWELL request a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a onefamily dwelling at 12574 Kain Road (Parcel 734-771-8609), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Three Chopt). The lot width requirement is not met. The applicants have 52.02 feet lot width, where the Code requires 150 feet lot width. applicants request a variance of 97.98 feet lot width.

365 366

Mr. Balfour -Any others to speak on this case? Would you raise your 367 right hand please? 368

369

370 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is 371 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

372 373

374

Mr. Beckstoffer -I do.

375 Mr. Balfour -State your name. Proceed.

376 377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

Mr. Beckstoffer -Herman Beckstoffer; I'm the father-in-law of one of the lot owners. This lot was given to Robert Braswell by his grandfather, Neil Woodson, who lives on the small lot in the front. It is a part of a much larger parcel that Mr. Woodson's owned for many years. The problem is, the width of the access into the rear of the lot. The lot itself is a little over 2 ½ acres. The proposal is to build a single-family residence for Mr. Braswell, his wife and their 3 children, so it would be a 4-bedroom. It would be set well back into the large part of the site, about where it says "part." They're really no other alternatives that we have to get access or frontage on the road.

386

Mr. Wright- Mr. Beckstoffer, the problem is that you don't have the width at the building line, is that the problem?

389

Mr. Balfour - Have you read the suggested conditions on the application?

392

Mr. Beckstoffer - No sir, I don't think there's any problem there. The only one he has to prove is that his grandfather gave it to him, is the main one I noticed

395

Mr. Balfour - But you have seen these 4 conditions that staff has suggested if this is approved?

398

399 Mr. Beckstoffer - I have read it; let me just look briefly over it again.

400

401 Mr. Balfour - They're standard conditions.

402

403 Mr. Beckstoffer - They're all fine.

404

405 Mr. Balfour - Any other questions? Thank you sir.

406

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board **granted** application **A-2-2002** for a variance to build a one-family dwelling at 12574 Kain Road (Parcel 734-771-8609). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

411

1. This variance applies only to the public street frontage requirement. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

414

415 2. At the time of building permit application the owner shall demonstrate that the parcel created by this division has been conveyed to members of the immediate family, and the subdivision ordinance has not been circumvented.

418

3. Approval of this request does not imply that a building permit will be issued. Building permit approval is contingent on Health Department requirements, including, but not limited to, soil evaluation for a septic drainfield and reserve area, and approval of a well location.

423 424

425 426 4. The owners of the property, and their heirs or assigns, shall accept responsibility for maintaining access to the property until such a time as the access is improved to County standards and accepted into the County road system for maintenance.

429 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright
430 5
431 Negative:

431 Negative: 0
432 Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour - Mr. Secretary?

A - 3-2002

DAVID AND ELIZABETH ECKHART request a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build an addition at 2801 Burnlake Court (Woodmark at Wellesley) (Parcel 737-758-0983), zoned R-3A, One-family Residence District (Three Chopt). The minimum side yard setback and total side yard setback are not met. The applicants have 5 feet minimum side yard setback and 22.37 feet total side yard setback, where the Code requires 10 feet minimum side yard setback and 25 feet total side yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 5 feet minimum side yard setback and 2.63 feet total side yard setback.

Mr. Balfour - Any others to speak on this case? Would you raise your right hand please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Silver - I do. Bobby Silver. The customers requested that I build an addition for them on the left rear corner of the house, and it encroaches on the side yard, so we're requesting a variance for the side yard setback in order to do the addition. We also have letters from all the neighbors, saying that they do not object to this.

467 Mr. Wright- What's the size of this proposed addition?

469 Mr. Silver - It fills up the corner of the back of the house. It's actually 10 by 12.

472 Mr. Wright- And what's the purpose of it?

473 474	Mr. Silver -	To increase their family room and breakfast area. They've
475		s since they built the house, so they need more space.
476	nad a couple more kids	since they built the house, so they need more space.
477	Mr. Balfour-	Flush with the deck, looks like, maybe, from the
478	photograph?	Tradit With the dock, rooks like, maybe, from the
479	po.cog.ap	
480	Mr. Silver -	They're actually going to remove part of the deck. That's
481		house. The deck currently goes in that corner, and they're
482	•	down to build the addition.
483		
484	Mr. Balfour-	So it'll be flush with the end of the house then?
485		
486	Mr. Silver -	Flush with the back and the end. In other words, it's
487	actually just going to c	omplete that corner.
488		
489	Mr. Wright-	This house is sort of catty-cornered on that lot? What's
490	located to the side whe	ere the addition would be made?
491		
492	Mr. Silver -	There's a fence dividing this lot from the next-door
493	neighbors.	
494	NA: VAI simb	la thana any agranina thana?
495	Mr. Wright-	Is there any screening there?
496 497	Mr. Silver -	Well, there are trees. Like I said, I do have a signed okay
498	from all the neighbors.	Well, there are trees. Like I said, I do have a signed okay
499	from all the heighbors.	
500	Mr. Wright-	Do we know how far the corner of that addition is from
501	•	pesn't show on this plat.
502	p. opo,	
503	Mr. Silver -	The corner of the addition – I think it's 5 feet. I believe
504	that's correct. Yes, the	
505	•	•
506	Mr. Wright-	The deck comes around there now, I guess.
507	_	_
508	Mr. Silver -	Yes sir.
509		
510	Mr. Balfour -	Any other questions by Board members? Thank you sir.
511	What is that?	
512		
513	Mr. Silver -	These are all the signed okays from the neighbors.
514		
515	Mr. McKinney -	We were supposed to have them before we started the

case.

517			
518	Mr. Balfour -	Anyone else to speak on this case?	
519	A.C. 1		
520		sed public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by M Board granted application A-3-2002 for a variance to build a	
521 522	•	1 Burnlake Court (Woodmark at Wellesley) (Parcel 737-758-0983	
523		ed the variance subject to the following condition:	/٠
524	The Board gran	is the variation subject to the following condition.	
525	1. Only the	improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may b	эe
526	•	suant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the	
527	layout may be	made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Ar	ıy
528	additional impro	vements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the Coun-	ty
529	Code.		
530			
531	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	
532	NI di	5	
533	Negative:	0	
534 535	Absent:	0	
537 538 539 540 541	County Code properties in the	circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantically impair the purpose of the zoning	er al
543	A - 4-2002	CHARLES AND CHARLENE JEFFERS request a variance fro	m
544		Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build	
545		sunroom on the existing deck at 6411 Canesville Lane (Midvie	
546		Woods) (Parcel 808-704-4020), zoned R-3, One-fami	•
547 548		Residence District (Varina). The rear yard setback is not me The applicants have 34.5 feet rear yard setback, where the Coo	
549		requires 40 feet rear yard setback. The applicants request	
550		variance of 5.5 feet rear yard setback.	u
551		, and constraint year and constraint.	
552	Mr. Balfour -	Is there anyone else to speak on this case? Would yo	u
553 554	raise your right		
555	Mr. Blankinship	- Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give	is
556 557	•	hole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?	
558	Mr. Britt -	l do.	

560

Mr. Balfour - State your name please.

561			
562	Mr. Britt -	George Britt. I represent Melani Brothers, the contractor	
563	·	ry simply, they would like to add a sunroom, 10 by 16, in	
564	-	ve an existing deck, and unfortunately, we have very short	
565	rear yard setbacks, and we're requesting a variance of 5.5 feet in the rear so they		
566	can enjoy their sunroom.		
567			
568	Mr. Nunnally-	Will the sunroom take the place of the deck?	
569	M D'u		
570	Mr. Britt -	Yes it would, and of course you see 2 deck structures	
571		that is closest to the house, and it projects out from the	
572	back of the house to re	et and has a width of 16 feet.	
573 574	Mr. Balfour-	You'll leave the second deck there?	
574 575	IVII. Dalloui-	Tou it leave the second deck there:	
576	Mr. Britt -	That's correct, yes.	
577	Will. Blitte	mat a domost, yes.	
578	Mr. Nunnally-	You did say it was 10 by 16, right?	
579	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	. ea ala ea, it itae i e a, i e, iig.iii	
580	Mr. Britt -	Yes sir, correct.	
581			
582	Mr. Balfour -	Any other questions by members of the Board? Any other	
583	people to speak on this	matter?	
584			
585	-	olic hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by	
586	_	granted application A-4-2002 for a variance to build a	
587		g deck at 6411 Canesville Lane (Midview Woods) (Parcel	
588		Board granted the variance subject to the following	
589	condition:		
590	1 The management cale	II ha davalanad in avhatantial aanfammana viith tha mlan	
591		all be developed in substantial conformance with the plan n. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be	
592	• •	val of the Board of Zoning Appeals.	
593 594	made without the appro	val of the board of Zonning Appeals.	
595	Affirmative: Balfo	our, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	
596	5	our, Kirkiana, Mekimiey, Namany, Wright	
597	Negative:	0	
598	Absent:	0	
599			
500	The Board granted this	request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due	
501	_	tances of the subject property, strict application of the	
502		produce undue hardship not generally shared by other	
503	properties in the area, a	and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial	

detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

A - 5-2002 BILLY R. HERRIN requests a variance from Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a screened porch at 2325 Summerwood Drive (Steveston) (Parcel 740-753-3711), zoned R-4C, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Tuckahoe). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicant has 31 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 35 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 4 feet rear yard setback.

616 Mr. Balfour - Any others to speak on this case? Would you raise your 617 right hand please?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

622 Mr. Balfour - State your name please.

 Mr. Herrin - I do. Billy Herrin. We wish to replace our deck with a screened porch and additional deck to the side of it. We need a variance of 4 feet. The porch will replace the existing deck; it will be removed; a foundation will be poured. The porch will be built on that, and a deck to the side of it. The porch will extend from the house and towards the lot the exact same amount, but since it is covered, I understand that it requires a variance. We wish to do this for health problems with my wife, who has allergies, and in the spring she can't enjoy the deck because of the trees and what they dispense onto the deck, and in the summertime she has allergies from mosquitoes, so we'd like build a screened porch enclosed so that she can enjoy the outside.

635 Mr. Wright- Mr. Herrin, what's located to the rear of your property?

637 Mr. Herrin - Considerably back from the property is a church. You can 638 see the top of it, Grace Community Baptist Church, and there's nothing between 639 our lot and that church.

641 Mr. Wright- You do have some trees and screening across the rear of the property?

Mr. Herrin - Yes, and in the summertime those trees almost completely block your view. The church has, and they're difficult to see, but closer to the church, just over the crest of the hill, they have planted vegetation that will eventually completely block the view from both sides.

648 Mr. Balfour-So you'll still have a deck and a porch, is that what you're 649 650 saying? 651 Mr. Herrin -Yes sir. The porch will replace the existing deck, 3 feet 652 wider than the existing deck, and a deck will be built to the side of that, on the 653 north side. The porch will be 19 by 12 feet, and the deck will be 14 by 12. 654 655 656 Mr. Balfour -Any other questions by Board members. Apparently not. Thank you sir. Any others to speak on this matter? Anyone else to speak on this 657 case, for or opposition? 658 659 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. 660 McKinney, the Board granted application A-5-2002 for a variance to build a 661 screened porch at 2325 Summerwood Drive (Steveston) (Parcel 740-753-3711). 662 The Board granted the variance subject to the following condition: 663

664 665

666

667

668

1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code.

669670671

Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright

672

Negative:

0

Absent: 0

674675676

677678

679

680

673

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

681 682 683

Mr. Balfour - Mr. Secretary?

684

TAN-A GROCERY INC. requests a variance from Sections 24-96(b)13 and 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to install a walk-in freezer at 6221 West Broad Street (Parcel 769-741-5273), zoned B-2C, Business District (Conditional) (Brookland). The number of parking spaces requirement and rear yard setback are not met. The applicant has 35 feet rear yard setback and 57 parking spaces, where the Code requires 40 feet rear yard

setback and 62 parking spaces. The applicant requests a variance of 5 feet rear yard setback and 5 parking spaces.

693694695

692

Mr. Balfour - Any others to speak on this matter? Would you raise your right hand please?

696 697 698

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

699 700

701 Mr. Glenn Thomason - I do.

702

703 Mr. Balfour - Would you state your name please.

704705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

Mr. Thomason - My name is Glenn Thomason. I'm the architect for Realty Design Group, and I've prepared the floor plans for the proposed addition that you have in your package. What we were trying to accomplish here, actually the building at 6221 West Broad Street used to be the Rite-Aid Pharmacy, and the company closed this store for numerous reasons, I suppose. A local family who owned a grocery store in Henrico County has purchased the building and intends to move their existing business to this location. They are already underway with the architectural interior renovations on the interior side already, and have requested your consideration on adding this freezer and cooler to the back.

713714715

716

717

718

719 720

721 722

723

724

725 726

727

728

729

730

The picture that's up on the screen now, in fact, shows a picture of the existing drive-in lane that Rite-Aid had constructed on the rear of this store. We propose to take down the little canopy that covers their drive-thru window, demolish the drivein window itself, and the cooler will be built in this vicinity where the drive-thru lane was, so we're not encroaching on or modifying the fire lane which exists at the back of the building. The traffic flow as it exists now will be maintained precisely as it is now, and when we build this cooler, if it's permitted, we have included provisions for screening that will go the full height of this freezer. The freezer will be 12 feet high, and the wall will probably be just short of 14 feet high. It will be a brick screen wall with Dryvit, or exterior insulated finish system, whichever you refer to it as, which matches the two materials on the main building. We won't have any entrances into the freezer or cooler from the rear or either of its sides. It will be accessed from the interior, and in conjunction to the addition of this cooler on the back, we've made provisions, while maintaining the same truck loading space that Rite-Aid used, this new cooler will help screen that truck loading area somewhat from the people driving by or people in the parking lot won't be able to see the truck as its parked.

731732

733 Mr. Balfour- Where are you losing the five parking spaces if that was a drive-thru?

Mr. Thomason - The way that came up is, we were told that, it was felt that the addition of the freezer itself, actually calculated, should be added into the square footage of the building, and then the zoning department's parking ratio would necessitate an additional 5 places.

Mr. Balfour- Oh I see, you're not losing any, you're just

Mr. Thomason - We're not losing any. We were maxxed out on the site, 100% with parking, actually have exactly the precise amount for the grocery operation, which was done with the Rite Aid building. The variance asks for 5 extra spaces, but we're creating one extra space in conjunction with this expansion of the rear. So we actually only need 4 spaces, but the zoning department just summarized it to put just basically 5. The 5-foot setback that we're encroaching on actually won't be, it's actually using that 5 feet as part of that drive-thru lane that Rite Aid used for stacking their vehicles out there, in the drive-thru.

Mr. Balfour- The road will stay the same then?

Mr. Thomason - The road will stay exactly the same to preserve that fire lane. We're actually encroaching about 4, a little bit more, like 4 feet, 3 inches, but they rounded that to 5 feet, which seems reasonable. The interior of the store, we had considered putting it on the inside, but there were several difficulties to putting it inside. As we excavated for interior piping, underneath the slab of the Rite Aid Pharmacy, we discovered that it was not just a 4-5 inch slab of floor, as we expected, with 4 inches of gravel under it. Turned out that it had the regular 4-5 inch concrete floor; underneath that was 4 inches of gravel; under that was 2 inches of asphalt from the former operations of Westwood Shopping Center. Under the 2 inches of asphalt, they found another 4-inch concrete slab, which apparently was covering some old gasoline or oil tanks that were in the vicinity before, and under that was 18 inches of gravel fill, so we have a total of nearly 26 inches of solid material.

Mr. Blankinship- Does that floor sag at all there?

Mr. Thomason - If it does, it's very solid. We anticipated hand-digging everything in there, but to do the cooler on the inside, we'd have to bring in heavy-duty equipment, and it would really create quite a bit of damage in terms of the dust and dirt blowing into the duct work and above the ceilings, and we did have a grocery store coming in there. We didn't want to have to risk having every crevice and cranny in the building covered with numerous kinds of dust and debris from this soil being removed from the inside.

Mr. Balfour- That would really fool the archeologists 300 years from now when they tried to dig in that area.

Mr. Thomason - We didn't find any Indian bones under here this time, but we might hit them when we dig the cooler in back. We'll come back then and ask for another variance then.

785 Mr. McKinney- Mr. Thomason, what would you do if this request is denied?

Mr. Thomason - I'll tell you, we're at the point, we would have to go and put this inside if it was denied. It would be extremely difficult, in my opinion as an architect, to get it inside. Another factor that came into play is the grocery operation that's moving into this store is a smaller business at present time in Henrico, and they were (coughing; unintelligible) business five times larger than they are now, and our preliminary calculations in purchasing the building through the accountant's studies and all, I sense that our mortgage payment, in comparison, would go from about \$2,000 a month, to over \$10,000 a month, for this operation. We would need to increase our sales by a significant amount, which would be at least 5 times of what they do now in business. That was another secondary reason why we didn't want to put the cooler inside, because it would force us to have less stock room and possibly reduce our retail sales area.

Mr. McKinney - How many square feet are in the existing building now?

803 Mr. Thomason - About 11,000 square feet.

805 Mr. McKinney - Is it going to be a sit-down restaurant?

807 Mr. Thomason - Well, no, it's a grocery, and there will not be any food service.

Mr. McKinney - Well I think where they operate now, they have some people who come in and eat there.

Mr. Thomason - No, their current operation is at Horsepen Road, and it's called the Tan A Grocery. They do not have any eating or food preparation on site.
There may be some other groceries in that area behind there, that might have some sit-down areas in there, but their operation, in fact for this grocery, doesn't have any provisions for sit-down eating at all.

Mr. McKinney - Where are the condensing units going for this freezer.

- Mr. Thomason Initially we had planned those condensing units to go, as you can see on one of the floor plans that's attached here, the rear blow-up of the
- building had a screened-in wall area that's fronting on Morningside Drive, which is

the back corner of that building, and we have abandoned that. I think he's got the cursor on it now. We are going to put all of the units on top of the existing building; we've just completed the structural engineering evaluation, and they'll all be set back from the side of the building a minimum of 10 feet so they won't be visible from the road. I think for the walk-in freezer itself, it hasn't been determined if we'll put the units on top of the proposed freezer itself, or on top of the roof again, of the main building, but we intend to have all the condensers concealed behind the screened wall we're building as well, so they don't be visible from the street.

Mr. McKinney - Are you telling us that you've got 4 inches of concrete and all this fill of 26 inches. To run this freezer, why do you have to get underneath the floor?

Mr. Thomason - You have to have actually a typical freezer construction the way they normally do them and all, and this is the way we were designing it, for the outside here. They create a concrete sandwich, basically, a 4-inch slab of concrete, reinforced, that sits on gravel, and then you add in a 4-inch layer of rigid insulation, and then on top of the rigid insulation, you put in 4 inches of concrete again, so it makes a 12-inch insulated sandwich, and that needs to be flush with the interior floor of the grocery, so we don't have to ramp up into the cooler for this new cooler, if it were put inside.

Mr. McKinney - The reason I asked is because I've seen freezers attached, walk-in freezers to the rear of buildings, that sat basically on grade. I've put a couple of them in, and they sat right on grade.

Mr. Thomason - They appear to be on grade. The details we've gotten from the refrigeration people that we have, were a 4-inch slab, 4-inch insulation, and 4-inch concrete. I suppose there may be an alternative to the design of the bottom.

Mr. McKinney - I didn't know if this was something special.

Mr. Thomason - Nothing special; that's all the detail on this one, but we do have a good buffer in terms of the residential area that's located right behind the Rite Aid. We've got a, forget the exact dimension of it, but it's probably 20 or more feet wide buffer of Leyland cypresses, and we've got about 30 Leyland cypresses in there that range from 15 feet, almost to 18, 19 feet high, very solid buffer, and of course we would be maintaining that.

Mr. Kirkland - So you're saying that if we could put it on the outside, you still would do all this excavation, and the only difference is if you run into all the other items, that the dust won't be inside the store; it'll be outside.

Mr. Thomason - And relocating some utilities that are inside, under the floor slab, that were part of some plumbing systems that were interior on the building that will have to be relocated as well.

872

Mr. Kirkland - The loading zone that's on Morningside, are you going to box that out?

875

Mr. Thomason - We propose to just leave that loading door just the way it is now and operate with that existing door, so that when trucks park, they'll be able to use the same parking zone as Rite Aid used and the same loading dock door.

880

Mr. Kirkland - I noticed when I was over there, there's a wrecker service across the street, and there were wreckers all the way lined up and down Morningside Drive. I just wondered how that's going to interfere with your business. I was over there Sunday; they were all the way up and down.

885

Mr. Thomason - I'm wondering the same thing myself, because they did have quite a few trucks parked on the street, and I was wondering. We were going to inquire into that, because we're trying to park our vehicles off-street on our site, and their vehicles would be a problem for us, since they're not parking on their site.

891

Mr. McKinney - They're getting ready to move. Another question. Are you going to have a dumpster?

894895

896

897

Mr. Thomason - The dumpster exists behind the pharmacy now, there is a dumpster located basically between the pharmacy building and the 7 shops that exist there now, and it's already screened in. It's a screened in, wooded closure that will hold 8 to 10 cubic yards that we will be using.

898 899

900 Mr. McKinney - Is that what we see in this picture? And you will have no outside storage?

902

903 Mr. Thomason - No outside storage.

904905

Mr. McKinney - Mr. Blankinship, should that be a condition?

906

Mr. Blankinship - I believe it's covered by the regulations. It's B-2 zoning, so it's got a ladder on the outside door.

909

910 Mr. Balfour - Will the addition of this outside freezer increase the need 911 for additional parking?

Mr. Thomason - We didn't think that the cooler itself would enhance the need for parking. Storage is such a critical thing in the grocery operation now and all; we have a fairly low ceiling in there. The interior ceiling's about 12 feet, and we don't have room to stack but about 2 pallets high, whereas most of the new grocery stores in their stockrooms have the ability to go up 3 to 4 pallets high, using the forklift, so we can only stack 2 level high now, so we really don't have much storage room ability, and we didn't want to increase the storage room any larger than it is inside the building. That is, if we put the freezer inside, we're going to have to come up with another almost 800-900 square feet of additional storage. It would be real cramped in there then.

Mr. Kirkland - What do they do in the existing store now?

Mr. Thomason - It's packed, very, very solid. They have a small walk-in cooler freezer and actually the fire code department has been very lenient on them about allowing them to stack some boxes in areas that block some of the egress around the building, in terms of walkway width, it's very, very, very packed. It's a serious problem. This store will eliminate that. What this family hopes to do, is to try to bring a little upscale international food market to the area that would appeal to more than just the Asian population, which is mostly in the Horsepen area there now. Mostly just Asian population shops there now, but this one would be more on line of what the international Safeway used to do that was on Cary Street. We're trying to do wide aisles, much wider and cleaner, and all the equipment inside is new. We've reordered. In fact, there was some speculation about using some of the existing equipment that they had. We've decided to not use any of that; we're purchasing all brand new equipment. It's going to be a first class operation.

Mr. Kirkland - I'm well aware of the Asian population over there shopping on the weekends. My office is right in there. This might be a big help, to get them over there, so they'd have more parking.

Mr. Thomason - I'm really impressed. It's sparked a lot of attention in the neighborhood, and Asian families and all are really excited about seeing something like this go in there, and all of a sudden we see people calling and wanting to see if there's spaces for lease in the area now. They want to be in the vicinity and open new businesses now because of this. It's a good thing we got to this building first.

Mr. Blankinship - It will be a major draw.

953 Mr. Balfour - Any other questions? Anybody else to speak for this 954 matter? Any opposed? Thank you sir.

- After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Balfour, seconded by Mr. 956 McKinney, the Board granted application A-6-2002 for a variance to install a walk-957 958 in freezer at 6221 West Broad Street (Parcel 769-741-5273). The Board granted 959 the variance subject to the following conditions:
- 961 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the 962 layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any 963 964 additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County Code. 965
- This approval is subject to all conditions on Plan of Development POD-14-97. 967 2.
- 969 3. The noise generated by the refrigeration equipment shall not exceed 65 dB at the property line of the adjoining residence. 970
- Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 972 973

0 974 Negative: 975

Absent: 0 976

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

Mr. Balfour -Mr. Secretary.

A - 7-2002 WILLIE LEE JONES, JR. requests a variance from Sections 24-95(i)(2)b and (2)a of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a storage building at 2707 Hungary Road (Parcel 771-758-6778), zoned R-3, One-family Residence District (Fairfield). accessory structure height limit and accessory structure size limit are not met. The applicant plans to build a storage building 4,992 square feet in area and 17.67 feet tall, where the Code permits accessory structures of 960 square feet and 15 feet tall. The applicant requests a variance of 4,032 square feet accessory structure size and 2.67 feet accessory structure height.

Mr. Balfour -All who plan to speak on this case, stand up. Would both of you raise your right hand please?

960

966

968

971

977

978

979

980

981

982 983 984

985 986

987

988

989 990

991

992

993

994 995

996

997 998

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1002 1003 1004

Mr. Balfour - Would you state your name.

1005 1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

10111012

1013

1014

1015

10161017

10181019

10201021

1022

1023

10241025

1026

10271028

10291030

1031

1032

10331034

1035

1036

1037

10381039

1040

1041

10421043

I do. Willie L. Jones, Jr. I've lived in this area up until Mr. Jones Jr. -1946. I was born and raised on my father's home right on Hungary Road. I purchased this property from Mr. A. Harvey's estate, possibly about 1942 or '43, and I farmed that land until I came out of service, in which time I bought some property in Short Pump. After a few years of traveling up and down that distance, it became a necessity that I stop farming that land, because I was going to be run over by automobiles, coming up and down Hungary Road from Short Pump. I abandoned the farming operation and let it grow up. Recently, a few years ago, I tried to sell the property, but ran into conflict with the zoning, which claimed that it had wetland on it and it was not feasible to go in there and build. In walking over the property recently, I found that, at the backside of the property, there is a branch that goes down through there, which is a dry weather branch. The only time we have any water in the creek back in there was when they had heavy rain. But I found the other day when I was walking over the property, that they're draining the property behind me, which was the old Laurel Wood Preserving Plant, is coming down for the creek down there, and we've got really a gully-washer down in there now from the depth that the water's been washed out now. What we're asking to do now, being that my property at Short Pump, the Wilton Development has bought all the property around my 10 acres I had up there, and I am almost forced out of there because I do not want to be in there with people running all over my property. We have been over property in Louisa, Hanover, Goochland, and Henrico, trying to find property. I'm a widower person, being my wife passed away 2 years ago. I have had by-pass on 2 occasions, and I do not feel that I can get too far away from the medical attention that I might need in future years, being by myself. What we've asked for now is a variance in order to build a storage building on the back side of a 3.89 acres that's on here, in order to store antique fire equipment that was previously owned by Henrico County, which my son has restored and uses in parades and picnics and such things. I also have owned 4 antique tractors that I have restored and use those up in Rockville at the Antique Days up there. The building we plan on putting up is roughly 105 feet by 48 feet for storage of this antique equipment. Roughly, it will probably be back off Hungary Road 280-285 feet. I intend to build a home on the front part of the property, which will be in front of the buildings. I'll have probably 50 feet, at least, from the boundary line to my west or north limit, and then the other property will probably be 150 feet over there. Many years ago this property was the watering point for horses. The well is still there, but it's been covered over; they used to have a trough out there on the old dirt road, and horses used to come up and down there, and I remember that, that they would water the horses out there on Hungary

Road at that time. I have had no opposition from the neighbors on erecting these buildings, and I hope it will be your decision to grant us permission to build there. I do want to say that Mr. Lehmann has been very helpful in helping us prepare this paper for you.

1048

1049 Mr. Balfour - Thank you sir. Any questions?

1050

1051 Mr. Kirkland - What is the height of this building?

1052

1053 Mr. Jones Jr.- Seventeen feet, 5 inches.

1054

1055 Mr. Kirkland - Will that be seen from Hungary Road? Is it over the house you're going to build? Is it taller than the house?

1057

1058 Mr. Jones Jr.- The land slopes back into the back there, so the slope into the backside there, so it possibly could be seen from Hungary Road. I don't say it will not be, but it will be 285 feet, the house and trees between it, which the contractor says will have to have a place 10 feet cleared all the way around the building for them to erect the building there.

1063

1064 Mr. Wright- Is the lot fully wooded?

1065

Mr. Jones Jr.- Yes. It's grown up, it's got some pines. I was in it the other day, looking at it with the possibility of going in and cutting out some of the brush underneath there. The property, at the back side of it, the weevils went through the pines and just about killed out all the pines in there. Many of them fell all over and everything out back in the back in there.

1071

1072 Mr. Kirkland - You stated there's some wetlands on the property. Has a wetlands study been done or anything like that, to make sure that your building isn't involved in that area?

1075

Mr. Jones Jr.-1076 There's no wetland up at this part. In the back, approximately 4 acres back in there, in May, the engineers went back in there and 1077 1078 said it was wetland, and also, Neil Farmer wrote it up as a flood plain, and I've had the County to go in there for tax purposes, and they claim it is not a flood plain in 1079 1080 it. Many years ago when they built the Laurel Lake, water used to flow through our property, but when they built Laurel Lake they put an abutment across there 1081 and turned the water into Laurel Lake, and therefore, we had to start to watering 1082 1083 the cattle from the well at home. That is a dry branching hole in the back, but it is 1084 classified as wetland back in there, back behind this part.

1085

1086 Mr. Wright- What is the size of the entire parcel that you're talking about here?

1088		
1089	Mr. Jones Jr	Acreage? 3.89.
1090		, to ougo.
1091	Mr. Wright-	That's the whole thing?
1092		The court of the c
1093	Mr. Jones Jr	No, it's 7 and a third acres, I believe, in the whole thing.
1094		, ,
1095	Mr. Wright-	You said something about 4 acres in addition, so you've
1096	got	
1097		
1098	Mr. Jones Jr	Well that was in the backside of this property here, back
1099	the 4 acres behind wh	nere this building would be, but it narrows into a narrow
1100	portion back there.	
1101		
1102	Mr. Kirkland -	So you've owned lots 15 and 16 as stated on our plat, is
1103	that what you're trying	to say?
1104		
1105	Mr. Jones Jr	Correct sir.
1106		
1107	Mr. McKinney-	Mr. Jones, what's the height of your tractors?
1108	NA 1 1	
1109	Mr. Jones Jr	The restored tractors would probably be about 5 feet. I
1110		nat will probably go about 7 feet. That's a farm tractor that
1111 1112	I use.	
1112	Mr. McKinney -	Well what's the necessity of having such a ceiling height
1113	this high?	Well what's the necessity of having such a ceiling height
1115	tino riigiri	
1116	Mr. Jones III -	I'll take care of it.
1117		The take said of the
1118	Mr. Balfour -	Would you state your name first please
1119		, ,
1120	Mr. Jones III -	Willie Lee Jones III.
1121		
1122	Mr. Balfour -	You were sworn in a minute ago?
1123		
1124	Mr. Jones III -	Yes sir. The property is 3 parcels. We tried asking the
1125	question about why it's	s not considered 1, when Mr. Lehmann and I talked, and I
1126	think I talked to Mr. Blankinship as well. The first property that you see up there is	
1127		zoning or permits consider only that one parcel; they don't
1128	-	arcels. My understanding of that reasoning is, if we ever
1129		f somebody wanted to buy that piece of property and put a
1130	house on it, they don't	consider them all as one. I know he's the only owner, but

they consider it three pieces, so it is 7.03 acres I think, total amount. The piece

that is in question is 3.-some acres; I'm not sure of the exact amount. To your 1132 question about the height problem, one of the first vehicles I acquired was a 1133 1134 Henrico County HazMat vehicle. If you remember, the firefighters purchased this 1135 vehicle themselves, and it was the first HazMat piece. This thing stands, and I don't know if you want a picture of it up there or over here; this thing stands, as 1136 you can see, all three of the other vehicles are about 10 feet tall. The height 1137 restriction for the hazmatt is 11 feet, 2, I believe, tall from ground level to the top 1138 of the truck. Because of that we have to have a 12-foot door to get the truck in 1139 the building. Because of that, because of the pitch, in one of your drawings it 1140 shows the pitch will require the top height, I think, to be 17 foot 5 inches, I 1141 believe, and that would be the reasoning why. These are some of the other 1142 pictures of the trucks. These are the smaller trucks that I own. This one served at 1143 1144 Station 11 and Station 5 in Henrico County. These are smaller trucks, but the HazMat truck, being the very first HazMat unit Henrico ever had, it has some 1145 historical significance to it. I've been in discussions with battalion chiefs now, that 1146 they're looking to either start using this truck again, on a need-by-need basis, not 1147 this one I'm sorry, the hazmatt truck itself, for rehab on a large-scale fire that they 1148 could come and borrow my truck, or either I could bring it to them and let them use 1149 it, on a need-by-need basis. It's doubtful that will happen; Henrico is looking to 1150 purchase a new rehab truck for them. But that's the reasoning on the height 1151 problem. 1152

1153

Mr. Balfour- Does Russell Avenue come up to that property? I notice on our vicinity map it says Wakefield Road next to Mayfair, and your plan shows Russel Avenue. Am I correct that they're the same, name change?

1157

1158 Mr. Jones Jr. - Russell Avenue never was put through. It's all grown up; 1159 it's a piece of property back in there.

1160

Mr. McKinney- Mr. Jones III, you're telling us about the height. You don't have to put an A-roof on this building.

1163

1164 Mr. Jones III - Yes sir.

1165 1166

1166 Mr. McKinney- You don't <u>have</u> to put an A-roof on this building.

1167

1168 Mr. Jones III - Oh I do not?

1169

1170 Mr. McKinney - You could put a fat, one-half or one-to-twelve fat roof, or 1171 standing clean roof on it, and you would not have to worry about this as far as the 1172 height is concerned.

1173

1174 Mr. Jones III - I was told that it had to be a 4-12 pitch.

1176 Mr. McKinney - Who told you it had to be a 4-12?

1177

1178 Mr. Jones III - Mr. Lehmann, L-e-a-m-a-n

1179

1180 Mr. McKinney - Why does it have to be a 4-12; why couldn't it be a built-

1181 up slope?

1182

1183 Mr. Jones III - I don't know; I'm just going by what I was told sir.

1184 Maybe I'm mistaken as to why, but that was what we were told for the load ratio,

for the County requirements to be a 4-12.

1186

Mr. McKinney - It's a 80-20 load in both the Code and Henrico County, and that could be a flat half or 1-to-12 pitch.

1189

Mr. Jones III -That would be fine. We don't have a problem with that. 1190 The concern we have also, is that it's being considered a storage building. I was 1191 the one who worded it; I called it a storage building. It's storing fire trucks; it's 1192 1193 storing tractors. Some people have told me I should have called it a pole barn; 1194 some people told me I should have called it a garage. I was concerned that when 1195 you see a 4,000 + square foot building called a garage, you're thinking 24-hour operation of maintenance of automobiles and such; that's not happening. This is 1196 1197 actually just a building that we're currently, I don't have this building out at Short Pump at my dad's current property, which I think you referred to as the donut hole 1198 in this property, that was stopping Wilton from building. The buildings that we 1199 have out there, he has a building, and I have a building that we're storing 3 of 1200 1201 these trucks in. The first Monday in February I have to go to South Boston; the first ladder truck Henrico County ever had; they're negotiating for me to take it 1202 back and bring back. That also would play into the size of this building. These 4 1203 1204 trucks themselves would not take up this full space, obviously. The tractors and his shop to be able to do woodworking in, whatever else, lawnmower storage and 1205 1206 all, would be part of this building.

1207

1208 Mr. McKinney - Your dad, I presume, who was talking about the wetlands and so forth; this was done on February 8, 1996, by Neil Farmer.

1210

1211 Mr. Jones III - Would that be the letter regarding the possible 1212 development?

1213

- Mr. McKinney And it's stated by Mr. Glover about zoning this property;
- well, it's no longer in the Brookland District; it's in the Fairfield District, and it
- 1216 would come under Mr. Thornton now. These units you have now, you keep them

1217 where?

1218

1219 Mr. Jones III - Short Pump, at Nuckols Road, Shady Grove area, across

1220 from Station 16.

1221

Mr. McKinney - And that's the property they're getting ready to develop?

12231224

1225

1226

12271228

1229

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

Mr. Jones III -Yes sir, Wilton Properties bought all the farms around us. They came before this Board, I'm assuming, to try to get it developed, and I think you referred to it as the donut hole. My father's property sits right in the middle, and unless they acquired his property, then they would not be able to develop around him. The other problem is, with having other friends and family and neighbors who have had farms with developments built up, he was still operating bailing hay, raising ponies, and such, the fear of children cutting their hands on barbed wire, smoking in the barn, setting the barn on fire, feeding the ponies and horses items and things that you wouldn't want to be feeding them, he felt the need to move. We spent the last year, since he signed the contract, looking in Rockville, Goochland, all over, trying to find something suitable, close enough for him, plus that I could put this facility on. Since he's owned the property since the '40's, it's a family, you know, my grandparents' farm, and my uncle's farm, and operations are all right there beside it. It made sense that at this point, this is where we have to get to. If this variance is approved, then we have the opportunity to build there. But he has to be out by January 2003.

12391240

1241 Mr. McKinney - When do you restore these vehicles?

1242

Mr. Jones III - When? It comes in varying stages, the ones I've acquired, the HazMat and the jeep that I got, which was the last red Fire Chief Henrico had, they were restored by the Paint Shop out at Ridgefield Body and Paint; they did the body work on the jeep. The lettering was done by Decals Unlimited at their facility in Chester. When we're talking restoring, I'm not talking about tearing the whole thing down and putting it back together.

1249

Mr. McKinney - What type of work do you do?

12501251

Mr. Jones III - I own a fire sprinkler supply company. I sell sprinkler materials to the sprinkler contractors.

1254

1255 Mr. McKinney - And your hours of operation at the sprinkler supply?

1256

1257 Mr. Jones III - That I work at? I work out of my home as a manufacturer's rep agent. Basically, my theory is, whenever the phone rings and somebody needs something, and I answer the phone, then we're in business.

1260

Mr. McKinney - So if you get one of these vehicles from the County or wherever, you'd be working on it at night and weekends and so forth?

Mr. Jones III - Pretty much. Most of the time, the only thing I've ever done with them is, everything's been done outside of our building. I change the oil, just like people change the oil in the car at their house. The fire truck painting has always been done outside, because I don't have a paint shop, and this is not going to be a paint shop. Any body work, we've never done any body work on any of the vehicles yet.

1270

1271 Mr. McKinney - So what do you really consider this, a museum?

1272

Mr. Jones III - No, we carry funerals. A firefighter, fallen firefighters, we carried Chief Finnigan from the City of Richmond recently. His casket from Laburnum Road all the way out to Westhampton on the back of one of our club trucks. We carry them to shows, parades, birthday parties. A lot of times people will call Henrico Fire asking them to bring a fire truck to a birthday party.

1278

1279 Mr. McKinney - Do you charge for this service?

1280 1281

1281 Mr. Jones III - No sir.

1282

1283 Mr. McKinney - You never charge anything for it?

1284

Mr. Jones III - No sir. Now we're given donations from different people, yes, but it goes to the club, which is the Old Dominion Historical Fire Society, totally different entity. I'm an individual member of that group, but we do not charge for the service. I've brought them to Public Safety Day with Henrico County. Several battalion chiefs have asked me to bring equipment to their open houses at their stations and such.

1291

Mr. McKinney - Because you're asking for this use right in the middle of an R-3 zoning residential district. Thank you.

1294

1295 Mr. Wright- You talked about ponies and farming and bailing hay and 1296 all, where is all that going to take place?

1297

1298 Mr. Jones III - That was out at the Short Pump facility; my father's not planning on continuing that at this location, no sir.

1300

1301 Mr. Jones, II I'll sell you some ponies if you're interested.

1302

1303 Mr. Kirkland - Let me ask you just one more question. Your father's going to live in the house, but the facility or the building, you're going to use for storage?

1306

1307 Mr. Jones III - As well as him; he has his tractors that he's restored.

1309 Mr. Kirkland - You're not going to live in the house on those premises?

1310

Mr. Jones III - No sir, I live at 9625 Peppertree Drive, off Gaskins. It's also a consideration, we could probably find an acre of land someplace in the County or someplace and put a building. It's a security factor. Obviously, if the building's out there in the middle of nowhere and nobody's there to watch it. At lease with him at this facility, he lives there, he'll be going down there piddling

with his tractors, cutting grass, whatever.

1317

1318 Mr. Wright- Let me ask Mr. Blankinship a question. Could you explain
1319 why they're restricted to 960 square feet for accessory structures on such a large
1320 parcel of land?

1321

1322 Mr. McKinney - That's a Code requirement.

1323

1324 Mr. Wright- I just want to get this in the record.

1325

The Code requires that accessory buildings be no larger 1326 Mr. Blankinship than 30% of the required rear yard area of the lot, not the actual rear yard area, 1327 but multiplying the required width by the required rear yard. We've gone back and 1328 1329 forth in years past, on whether it should be the other way, but the point is to have a consistent requirement for everybody in the district so that in a residential district 1330 you don't get 4,000 square foot accessory buildings popping up without somebody 1331 having had a chance to review that and determine on a case-by-case basis whether 1332 1333 that's going to fit into the neighborhood.

1334

1335 Mr. McKinney - What size lot would that 960 feet be concerned with?

1336

1337 Mr. Blankinship - I guess 80 feet wide.

1338

1339 Mr. Wright - Less than an acre?

1340

1341 Mr. Blankinship - Oh certainly, a quarter acre.

1342

Mr. Wright- Quarter acre? So we're comparing this to what would be required for a quarter acre when you've got 10 acres here.

1345

Mr. Blankinship - Right. There certainly is a different circumstance here from the property across the street.

- 1349 Mr. Wright- My point is that reduces it. It looks like such a large
- variance when you ask for a 4,000 square foot variance, but that's almost like
- comparing apples and oranges.

- 1353 Mr. Blankinship Yes sir. If you look at the map, across the street there,
- you see how it's normally applied; you see normal size R-3 lots and normal size
- accessory buildings in the rear yards, and that's what the Code is written to
- control. In a case like this, the County's position is that it does need case-by-case
- review by the Board, but that it may be reasonable to relax that standard in specific
- 1358 cases.

1359

Mr. Wright- Would it be said that in view of the size of the lot, this would, wouldn't something be out of the ordinary, unreasonable to think that way?

1362

1363 Mr. Blankinship - That's the Board's discretion, yes sir.

1364

- 1365 Mr. Jones III If you notice on there, when I first drew that drawing
- there, and I'm no architect by any means, but I thought that because he owned 7
- acres, you would figure that figure in, and Mr. Lehmann said, "no, it would be the
- 3 acres," is what he was figuring. When you throw the other 4+ acres in there ...

1369

- 1370 Mr. Wright- It doesn't help you as far as the Code is concerned
- because they're figuring like you had a quarter acre.

1372

- 1373 Mr. Jones III Yes sir, and we have no objection to turning the building
- lengthwise, sideways, whatever direction, and the gentleman on the end, I have no
- problems going back to the building guy and asking him about the height, but
- that's what we went with because of the 12 foot door.

1377

1378 Mr. Balfour- What's the width of your lot?

1379

1380 Mr. Jones III - I thought it was 226; Mr. Lehmann said it was 335 feet.

1381

1382 Mr. Balfour- A hundred yards.

1383

- 1384 Mr. Kirkland You wouldn't have any problem turning the building 48
- 1385 feet facing Hungary?

1386

- 1387 Mr. Jones III You mean up and down no, doesn't really matter. I don't
- thing that was the consideration. I think it was the size of the building to the
- square footage of the lot. I had it figured at 3,000,000 some square feet, with all
- the 7.- some acres...

- 1392 Mr. Kirkland I just wondered, when all the trees are trimmed and the
- building's put up, and I'm riding down Hungary Road and I look over top of the
- house, will I look at this huge metal building? Forty-eight feet is a lot narrower
- than 105 feet, and that's what I was trying to get to.

1438	A - 8-2002	LILLAH D. AND WILLIAM J. HEISEY request a variance from	m
1437 1438	Mr. Balfour -	Next case.	
1436	Mr Dolfour	Next case	
1435	Absent:	0	
1434	Negative:	0	
1433	NI a madin	5	
1432	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	
1431	۸ ((: ۱۰	Dalfaria Kindalaria Markina ara Ni	
1430	regulations.		
1429		ent property or would materially impair the purpose of the zoning	ıg
1428		presented that authorizing this variance would be of substanti	
1427	• ,	rcel 771-758-6778). The Board denied your request as it four	
1426		ur A-7-2002 application for a to build a storage building at 270	
1425		Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Kirkland, the Board of Zonin	_
1424	Ohana et et	NA Marking and the NA Will the Control of the Contr	_
1423	Mr. Balfour -	Thank you sir.	
1422	N4 D 16	-	
1421	Mr. Jones Jr	I didn't pay too much attention to it; I just paid them.	
1420			
1419	Mr. McKinney-	You should have known it when you got the taxes on it.	
1418			
1417	got ready to fool v	vith this stuff.	
1416		use I had it in agriculture all those years and didn't know it until	П
1415	Mr. Jones Jr	,	
1414			
1413	speak?		
1412	Mr. Balfour -	Any other questions? Anyone else in the audience wish	to
1411			
1410	to 17, whatever it	was, on that other pitch.	
1409		of the heavy rescue HazMat truck, and because of that he were	nt
1408	_	a problem, I can talk to him about that. We went with the 12	
1407	This is the one we	've talked to first, and I think you had that in your packet. If th	ne
1406	there's several dif	ferent manufacturers out there. We really don't have a set one	e.
1405	Mr. Jones III -	Well the one building here was a Morton building; I mea	an
1404		Ç .	
1403	Mr. Kirkland -	What brand building is this?	
1402			
1401	pink, green, blue.		
1400	the woods. I mea	in the metal buildings can come in different colors - white, red	d,
1399	the house design	is, which would be a darker color, which would blend in wit	th
1398	house, and he said	I he could build the building himself and design it similar to what	at
1397	M. Jones III -	We've talked to one guy who was the developer of the	ne
1396			

Section 24-94 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a screened porch on the existing deck at 2748 Rudwick Road (Mountain Woods) (Parcel 774-767-7692), zoned R-2AC, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Fairfield). The rear yard setback is not met. The applicants have 35.6 feet rear yard setback, where the Code requires 45 feet rear yard setback. The applicants request a variance of 9.4 feet rear yard setback.

1447

Mr. Balfour - Anyone else to speak on this case? Raise your right hand please?

1450

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1453

1454 Mr. Balfour - Would you state your name.

1455

Mr. Heisey -My name is William Heisey. We purchased this house a 1456 1457 year and a half ago, a new house, and at the time we purchased it, we had a lot of options, but one of them was a screened in porch. We decided at that time not to 1458 1459 do it. After having a few summers there, we decided that that was not a good decision because of mosquitoes and bugs, and there are a lot of trees on our lot 1460 1461 and behind our house. What our plan is, the existing deck there is 12 by 18, and 1462 we plan to put a screened-in porch there, and then right beside it build a 12 by 15 covered deck in addition to that. 1463

1464

1465 Mr. Kirkland - You're going to cover the new deck that you're going to add, put a roof over it?

1467

1468 Mr. Heisey - Yes, it's going to conform with the other roof for the screened-in porch.

1470

1471 Mr. Wright- What's located behind your property, please?

1472

Mr. Heisey - There are a few homes way back from our home, but it's basically trees behind our home that separate us from any neighbors in the rear. You don't see them until the winter when all the trees have lost their leaves. I think there's 2 homes back there.

1477

Mr. Balfour - Any other questions by Board members? A covered porch beside a screened porch, so you're really going to have 2 porches? Any other questions? There appear to be none. Anyone else to speak on this case?

1481

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. McKinney, seconded by Mr. Wright, the Board granted application A-8-2002 for a variance to build a

screened porch on the existing deck at 2748 Rudwick Road (Mountain Woods) 1484 1485 (Parcel 774-767-7692). The Board granted the variance subject to the following 1486 condition:

1487 1488

The property shall be developed in substantial conformance with the 1. plan filed with the application. No substantial changes or additions to the layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals.

1490 1491

1489

1492 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 1493

1494 Negative:

0

Absent: 1495

0

1496 1497

1498

1499

1500 1501 The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

1502 1503 1504

Mr. Secretary? Mr. Balfour -

1505

ALLAN S. WAX requests a variance from Section 24-94 of 1506 A - 9-2002 Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a screened porch over 1507 the existing deck at 10301 Shady Mill Court (Millstone) (Parcel 1508 1509 737-778-2664), zoned R-3C, One-family Residence District (Conditional) (Three Chopt). The rear yard setback is not met. 1510 The applicant has 33 feet rear yard setback, where the Code 1511 1512 requires 40 feet rear yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of 7 feet rear yard setback. 1513

1514

1515

Mr. Balfour -Anyone else to speak on this case. Would you raise your 1516 right hand please?

1517

1518 Mr. Blankinship -Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is 1519 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1520

1521 Mrs. Wax -I do.

1522

1523 Mr. Balfour -Would you state your name please. Proceed.

1524

1527

- 1525 Mrs. Wax -Yes sir, my name is Katherine Wax. My husband and I
- would like to build a screened-in porch over the existing deck, which is about 12 by 1526 12. The dimensions would be the same. It wouldn't encroach any further than the

January 24, 2002

existing deck is. Aesthetically, it would match the house with vinyl siding, and the 1528 roof shingles would match, the pitch of the roof would match the 4-foot extension 1529 1530 that you see on the family room in that back elevation. We would also wrap the 1531 posts in white vinyl. If you can see, the front porch is wrapped that way, so we would also make sure that it matches that and goes along with the trim of the 1532 house. There would be no change in the landscaping. Any builder that we've 1533 talked to said that they could build it without disrupting any of my landscaping 1534 there. The property backs up to the main street going through, which is Millstone 1535 1536 Landing Drive, and the property looks into, you can see that brick wall there. There is a wood picket fence that meets that and follows it to the end of Millstone, 1537 and there's a sidewalk there for residents to use for walking and biking trails. We 1538 would absolutely want it to be aesthetically pleasing to that whole view and plan to 1539 1540 make it so.

1541

1542 Mr. Wright- Your house faces on Shady Mill Court?

1543

1544 Mrs. Wax - The front elevation, yes sir, is on Shady Mill Court,

1545

Mr. Wright-Because this would be on the rear of the house, and it seems the rear of the house faces Millstone, is that correct?

1548

1549 Mrs. Wax - Yes sir, that's correct. That's the main thoroughfare 1550 through the subdivision of Millstone.

1551

Mr. Wright- Do you know how many feet there are from the rear of your house to Millstone, any idea of the distance?

1554

Mrs. Wax - I don't know. I know that we're asking for a 7-foot variance, and it says we have a 33-foot rear setback, and it's required for 40 feet.

I guess that the 33 feet would be what's there now. The deck comes out that far.

1558

1559 Mr. Wright- There's a wooden fence there, and there seems to be a walk. Is that on your property?

1561

Mrs. Wax - Yes. No sir. That's, I guess, community property. The subdivision has walking trails through it, the sidewalk, the brick fence and the other picture you had up there, the wooden fence meets that, and all of that is maintained by the community association.

1566

1567 Mr. Balfour- The brick fence has got Shady Mill written on it – is that
1568 behind your house? The space from the brick to the street is either county or
1569 community owned. So your 40 we're talking about goes to the brick fence, I
1570 suspect, is that right.

1572	Mrs. Wax -	Yes sir, that's correct.	
1573			
1574	Mr. Balfour-	Then it looks like another 2-3 yards from there to the	
1575	street.		
1576			
1577	Mr. Kirkland -	How high is the wood fence that attaches to the brick	
1578	wall?		
1579			
1580	Mrs. Wax -	I would guess it's about 3 feet. Almost the same height.	
1581	There are pillars on the	ends of the wall that make it a little higher, but it's about	
1582	•	brick wall, so about 3 feet.	
1583	tire came margine as that	2.101. 1.31., 00 0.000 0 1.001.	
1584	Mr. Kirkland -	Did you plant that grass there?	
1585	Will Rinkland	Dia you plant that grade there.	
1586	Mrs. Wax -	In front of the brick wall?	
1587	Wii 3. Wax	in none of the blick wall:	
	Mr. Kirkland -	Or behind it, next to your house.	
1588	IVII. KII KIAITU -	of bening it, flext to your flouse.	
1589	Mrs. Ms.	Voc	
1590	Mrs. Wax -	Yes.	
1591	NAv. Kiuldanal	It availed market many	
1592	Mr. Kirkland -	It grows pretty good.	
1593	D. d	Mr. bushand has a neally used some Abroach and Sancelly	
1594	Mrs. Wax -	My husband has a really good green thumb and is really	
1595	proud of his grass.		
1596	B.4. NA/ : 1 /	M DI I' I' II	
1597	Mr. Wright-	Mr. Blankinship, I'm really confused. Judging from what I	
1598		looks like to me, her property extends, and that heavy line	
1599		d there's a little dotted line across there along that wood	
1600	fence, but that's not her	property line, is it?	
1601			
1602	Mr. Blankinship -	It's labeled "planning strip easement," and there is a	
1603	requirement in this case	that the rear setback be measured, be "in addition to the	
1604	easement."		
1605			
1606	Mr. Wright-	Doesn't it go to her property line? Don't you measure it	
1607	from her property line?		
1608			
1609	Mr. Blankinship -	In the general case you would, but there are specific cases	
1610	where, I believe it's a pi	roffered condition on the zoning, that the rear yard setback	
1611	will be "in addition to the easement."		

1613 Mr. McKinney - That's not in the staff report.

1615 Mr. Blankinship - No sir, it's not.

1616 1617 Mr. Kirkland -

That's probably done in the zoning case for the whole

1618 subdivision.

1619

Right. 1620 Mr. Blankinship -

1621

Mr. Balfour-1622 Looks like what they're trying to say is that maybe you don't have as much need as you think, but that's not before us at this point. There 1623 1624 may be a restriction required by the subdivision to begin with.

1625

Mr. McKinney-1626 Who maintains that strip easement, Mrs. Wax?

1627

1628 Mrs. Wax -The Community Association in front of and to the side of the wall and the fencing. They maintain all of the shrubbery and the grass there, 1629 and there's also gas lights; they maintain those. 1630

1631

Mr. McKinney-So you pay dues to this? 1632

1633

Mrs. Wax -Yes sir. 1634

1635

Mr. McKinney-And the association maintains it, cuts the grass and so 1636

1637 forth?

1638

Mrs. Wax. -Yes sir. 1639

1640

1641 Mr. Balfour -Any other questions? Anyone else in the audience wish to speak on this matter? Thank you, Mrs. Wax. 1642

1643

1644 After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board granted application A-9-2002 for a variance to build a a 1645 1646 screened porch over the existing deck at 10301 Shady Mill Court (Millstone) 1647 (Parcel 737-778-2664). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions: 1648

1649

1650 1. Only the improvements shown on the plan filed with the application may be constructed pursuant to this approval. No substantial changes or additions to the 1651 layout may be made without the approval of the Board of Zoning Appeals. Any 1652 additional improvements shall comply with the applicable regulations of the County 1653 Code. 1654

1655

1656 2. A detailed landscaping shall be submitted to the Planning Office with the building permit for review and approval. 1657

1659 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright

1661 Negative: 0

Absent: 0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

1671 Mr. Balfour - Next case.

A - 10-2002

LOUIS AVELLI requests a variance from Section 24-41(d) of Chapter 24 of the County Code to build a townhouse at 6709 Ridgedale Court (Courtland at Wyndham) (Parcel 740-777-8107), zoned RTHC, Residential Townhouse District (Conditional) (Three Chopt). The minimum side yard setback is not met. The applicant has 9.5 feet minimum side yard setback, where the Code requires 10 feet minimum side yard setback. The applicant requests a variance of .5 feet minimum side yard setback.

Mr. Balfour - All who are going to speak on this case, please stand.
Raise your right hand?

Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

Mr. Balfour - State your name please.

Mr. Avelli - I do. Good morning. I am Louis Avelli, and we're asking for the 6 inches, half a foot, variance on the setback there. We have a town home, which we wish to build there, and have also built the same town home on the project before. Obviously, we went over the side setback we did from the original building, which you have a picture of, A-10-2002 is the original building, which we then have altered to the new design which shows on the plot, and we still came up 6 inches over the line. We felt that to alter any more, make it smaller, that is a 2-bedroom unit, and that is a front bedroom, would hurt the sales and marketability of the unit because we would have to decrease more than 6 inches to make it aesthetically pleasing for the neighborhood.

Mr. Balfour - Any questions? The next one over have to be built the

same? 1703 1704 1705 Mr. Avelli -No sir the next one over, which would be Q building, was 1706 approved by Henrico County. Yesterday we picked up the permit for that building. 1707 1708 Mr. Balfour -Any other questions? 1709 Mr. Blankinship -Let me just clarify one thing about the photographs, Mr. 1710 1711 Chairman. We took the one photograph showing some of the existing homes, just to give you an idea of what they look like, that's just another set of town homes 1712 on the same site. The photograph below is this site, but it doesn't really give you 1713

17141715

1716 Mr. McKinney- How about these photographs had been cleared. It looks like a lot of erosion coming off of them, what are they Mr. Blankinship?

that much information, so that's why we included them both.

1718

1719 Mr. Blankinship - Well, the property had been cleared, had been cleared for 2 years.

1721

1722 Mr. Avelli - Yes sir, the site was cleared; there is approximately 16 town homes, 3-unit, 4-unit, 5-unit town homes. We paid for a developer to come in and develop all the building pads at one time. Hence, that's why you see all the clearing there.

1726

1727 Mr. Wright- They got all the streets in, the street signs, everything.

1728

Mr. Avelli - Yes sir, we are at this point coming up on 2/3 through the project, street signs, the fire lane signs, where appropriate, are in, and the road has its first coat of asphalt, and our plan is to, in our completed 2 cul-de-sacs this spring, put the second coat of asphalt down.

1733

1734 Mr. Balfour - Any other questions by Board members? Anyone else to speak on this case? Thank you.

1736

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr. Nunnally, the Board **granted** application **A-10-2002** for a variance to build a townhouse at 6709 Ridgedale Court (Courtland at Wyndham) (Parcel 740-777-8107). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1741

1742 1. This variance applies only to the minimum side yard setback. All other applicable regulations of the County Code shall remain in force.

1744

1745 2. This approval is subject to all conditions of Plan of Development POD-106-1746 96.

1747			
1748	Affirmative:	Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright	
1749		5	
1750	Negative:		0
1751	Absent:		0

The Board granted this request, as it found from the evidence presented that, due to the unique circumstances of the subject property, strict application of the County Code would produce undue hardship not generally shared by other properties in the area, and authorizing this variance will neither cause a substantial detriment to adjacent property nor materially impair the purpose of the zoning regulations.

1760 Mr. Balfour - Next case? We're going to take the next 2 together I assume.

UP- 1-2002 W. C. ENGLISH, INC. requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Sections 24-52(d) and 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to extract materials from the earth at 3541 Britton Road (Parcels 827-697-3933 and 826-697-0978), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina).

W. C. ENGLISH, INC. requests a conditional use permit pursuant to Sections 24-52(d) and 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code to extract materials from the earth at 6919 Monahan Road (Parcel 822-699-9433), zoned A-1, Agricultural District (Varina).

1774 Mr. Balfour - Anyone else to speak on this case? Would you raise your right hand please?

1777 Mr. Blankinship - Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

1780 Mr. Balfour - State your name.

Mr. Higginbotham - I do. James Higginbotham, with W. C. English. Members of the Board, the UP-1-2002 is an existing borrow pit that we use for the construction of I-895. The Britton Road overpass project, which virtually adjoins this property, is now coming to fruition. Apparently the citizens on Britton Road were concerned that they were initially cul-de-sacs, and they had been promised that there would be an overpass, so I think the County has resolved that issue and is currently negotiating right-of-way, so my understanding is that Britton Road project is imminent and will be constructed. It requires an estimated 60,000 yards on each side of Britton Road. The restrictions on the borrow pit state that I can

only use material with access through 895, and technically, this Britton Road, will have an approach field coming up, and I'm going to guess, 3-400 yards on each side of the 895 corridor. We're asking that this borrow pit be extended with basically the same conditions, but that we be allowed to access Britton Road to get the material onto the project.

The second use permit is UP-2-2002, and the actual 895 project, the quantities for borrow ran way under. We actually started clearing a sediment basin on this property and then basically stopped when we realized that the quantity was not going to be needed. The airport connector, and I understand that the right-of-way has been given for the airport connector, and the design phase is nearing completion, and so we are asking that this borrow pit at 6919 Monahan Road be utilized to construct that airport connector which ties into the 895 project. Again, currently I cannot do that because the restriction is that I can only access the borrow pit through 895, and we would have to, depending on where the ramps are, we're going to have to cross Monahan Road to get to the project and I think on preliminary plans that I've seen, there are going to be a ramp coming and intersecting in Monahan Road, so we would get on Monahan and go down to that ramp and proceed onto the project. That's pretty much it in a nutshell. Both of these borrow pits – the first borrow pit again has been in operation probably 2 years. This pit was approved but never opened up and utilized as a borrow pit.

1813 Mr. Nunnally- Mr. Higginbotham, you've got 5 of these borrow pits, 1814 right.

Mr. Higginbotham - Well, the Schnee Pit is being closed up right now. The Gregory Pit is being closed up, and the Preston-Wooten was combined as one, so unless I'm missing, the Strath Road never materialized, so we're really got There are 3 active pits out there right now, yes sir. The Schnee Pit at Osborne Turnpike is being restored right now, I mean obviously not right now because of the weather, but that pit is basically – no more material is being hauled out of that. The Gregory Pit is being restored right now. We did get an extension for the Riparian Bench, but that was in the Wooten, so really we've got 3 areas that we have extracted dirt from for the 895 construction. We did not start the 4th one, the Spanos, so actually there are 3 active pits right now sir.

Mr. Nunnally- Is this the only pit that you can take the topsoil from?

Mr. Higginbotham - The Britton Road pit is right there at the project. It only makes sense to utilize that. The Spanos tract, the other parcels are not even close to that airport connector on the UP, and I think maybe that's your question. Does that answer your question?

Mr. Wright- Where do you go on Britton Road, where do you have to

1835 travel to, to get to your job?

Mr. Higginbotham - That's a good question. We're looking at the possibility of hauling if the authorities, VDOT or the County will work with us, we have offered to actually haul across 895 prior to putting traffic on it, which is scheduled somewhere between April and May of this spring, depending on how the bridge over the James River goes, that could be postponed a little bit too. But that's one option we're looking at. Other than that, I guess you'd have to get on Britton Road and go around to Charles City Road and come in that way if traffic gets placed on 895 prior to the plan.

Mr. Wright- Where are these jobs?

 Mr. Higginbotham - Britton Road is right at the entrance to the pit. My understanding is FDMK, who is the prime contractor for the 895 project, is currently negotiating with Henrico County and VDOT to acquire both the airport connector and the Britton Road project. The reason I'm coming to you now, is my permit for extraction expires, I believe, in April of this year, and I've got to give you 60 days notice to ask to get it extended. So I'm trying to get my homework done ahead of time to get these approved, so we can proceed on with the construction when it comes forth, but I guess to answer your question, English does not have a contract right now to build either of these projects. We are asking, because it makes sense, to use these current pits.

Mr. Wright- Are you saying that you're negotiating with Henrico County to build these projects?

1862 Mr. Higginbotham - English is not; FDMK is.

Mr. Wright- And you'll be doing it for FDMK. So it will be a project for Henrico County?

1867 Mr. Higginbotham - Yes sir, a road project. That's my understanding.

Mr. Balfour - Any other questions? I assume the conditions are okay with you?

Mr. Higginbotham - It's my understanding that we've got the same conditions that we had before, except that we can now actually get out onto the road to haul borrow where it's necessary. I don't have a problem with that. To answer Mr. Kirkland's question on a well, we did have one lady complain on a well, and we went over and determined that her pump had burned out, and I actually offered to fix her pump, and actually spent a couple hundred dollars getting it working. But she insisted that we hire, and we split the cost, and hired an expert to come in

- who determined that the well pump was the problem, not the volume of water. So we did have a lot of discussion on all those other borrow pits, and I guess "knock on wood," we have not had any problems with wells.
- 1882
- Mr. Kirkland Mr. Blankinship, didn't we put something in the case about wells? Do you think we need to do that on these 2?

1886 Mr. Higginbotham - We're just continuing the same conditions that I had for 1887 the other one, which required that.

1888

Mr. Balfour - Any other questions? Thank you Mr. Higginbotham.
Anybody else to speak on this matter?

1891

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board granted application **UP-1-2002** for a conditional use permit to extract materials from the earth at 3541 Britton Road (Parcels 827-697-3933 and 826-697-0978)The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

1897

1898 1. This permit is subject to all requirements of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code.

1900

Monday through Friday hours of operation shall be from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. when Daylight Savings Time is in effect, and from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at all other times. Saturday hours of operation shall be 8:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

1904

1905 3. No operations of any kind are to be conducted at the site on Sundays or on national holidays.

1907

Open and vertical excavations having a depth of 10 feet or more for a period of more than 30 days, shall be effectively sloped to a 2 to 1 slope or flatter to protect the public safety.

1911

5. All means of access to the property shall be from the public right of way of the proposed I-895 or Britton Road.

1914

6. A superintendent who shall be personally familiar with all the terms and conditions of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code as well as the terms and conditions of UP-1-2002, shall be present at the beginning and conclusion of operations each work day to see that all conditions of said Code and said Use Permit are carefully observed.

1920

7. Topsoil shall not be removed from any part of the property outside of the area in which extraction is authorized. Sufficient topsoil shall be stockpiled on the

property for respreading in a layer with five (5) inches of minimum depth. If the site does not yield sufficient topsoil, additional topsoil shall be brought to the site to provide the required five-inch layer of cover. All topsoil shall be treated with a mixture of seed, fertilizer, and lime as recommended by the County of Henrico after the results of soil tests have been submitted to the County of Henrico. All topsoil shall be stockpiled within the authorized borrow area and provided with adequate erosion control protection.

8. The rehabilitation of the property shall take place simultaneously with the extraction process. Rehabilitation shall not be considered completed until the extraction area is covered completely with permanent vegetation.

9. Responsibility for maintaining the property, fences, and roads in a safe and secure condition indefinitely, or for converting the property to some other safe use, shall rest with the applicant.

10. Entrance gates shall be erected and maintained at all entrances to the property. These gates shall be locked at all times, except when authorized representatives of the applicant are on the property.

11. Erosion Control Plans shall be submitted to the Department of Public Works for review and approval at time of application for the Use Permit. Throughout the life of this extraction operation, the applicant shall continuously satisfy the Department of Public Works that erosion control procedures are properly handled and furnish plans and bonds that the department deems necessary. The applicant shall provide certification from a licensed professional engineer that dams, embankments and sediment control structures meet standard and approved design criteria as set forth by the State.

 12. The areas approved for extraction under this permit shall be delineated on the ground by the erection of five (5) foot high metal posts at least five (5) inches in diameter and painted in alternate one (1) foot stripes of red and white. These posts shall be so located as to clearly define the area in which the extraction is permitted. They shall be located, and the location certified by a certified surveyor, within ninety (90) days of the date of approval of this use permit by the Board of Zoning Appeals, or this use permit is void.

13. "No Trespassing" signs shall be posted and maintained on the property to warn against use of the property by unauthorized persons. The minimum letter height shall be three inches and signs are to be posted every 250 feet along the perimeter of the property. The applicant shall furnish the Chief of Police a letter authorizing enforcement by the County Police Officers of the "No Trespassing" regulations, and agreeing to send a representative to court for purposes of testimony whenever required or requested by the Division of Police.

- 1967
- 1968 14. Excavation operations shall be discontinued on said site by April, 30, 2004 1969 and restoration accomplished not later than April 30, 2005 unless a new permit is 1970 applied for by not later than 60 days before the expiration of the permit, and is 1971 subsequently granted by the Board of Zoning Appeals.

1974

1975

1976 1977

1978 1979

1980

1981

1982

1983 1984

1985

1986

1987 1988

A financial guaranty satisfactory to the County Attorney shall be posted with the Secretary of the Board of Zoning Appeals for extracting materials from 23.44 acres, in an amount of \$2,000.00 per acre for each disturbed acre of land included, for a total of \$46,880.00 guaranteeing that the land will be restored to a reasonably level and drainable condition with a minimum slope on the restored property being five to one or flatter. The guaranty may provide for the termination of the obligations after 30 days notice in writing. Such notice shall be served upon the principal and upon the obligee as provided by law for the service of notices. At the termination of the aforesaid 30 day notice to the principal, all authority of the principal under this use permit to extract materials, and work incident thereto, shall cease provided the applicant has not furnished another guaranty suitable to the County within said 30 days. The principal shall then proceed within the next ensuing 30 days following the termination of its authority under this use permit, to accomplish the complete restoration of the land as provided for under the terms of this permit. A notice of termination by such surety shall in no event relieve the surety from its obligation to indemnify the County of Henrico for a breach of the conditions of this use permit.

1989 1990 1991

1992

1993

16. The applicant shall furnish a certification each year, verifying that the guaranty is in effect, premiums have been paid, and the bonding company reaffirms its responsibility under the use permit conditions. This certification shall be submitted to the Board on April 30th of each year.

1994 1995 1996

1997 1998 17. This permit does not become valid until the guaranty, required in condition No. 15, has been posted with the County, and necessary approval received. This must be accomplished within 30 days of the Board's action or the action becomes invalid.

1999 2000 2001

20022003

20042005

2006

18. A progress report shall be submitted to the Board on April 30th of each year during the life of this permit. This progress report must contain information concerning how much property has been disturbed to date of the report, the amount of land left to be disturbed, and how much rehabilitation has been performed, and when and how the remaining amount of land will be rehabilitated, and any and all pertinent information about the operation that would be helpful to the Board.

200720082009

2010

19 If, in the course of its preliminary investigation or operations, applicant discovers evidence of the existence of cultural or historical material or the presence

on the site of significant habitat or an endangered species, it will notify appropriate professional or governmental authorities and provide them with an opportunity to investigate the site and applicant will report the results of such investigation to the Planning Office.

20. The applicant shall comply with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and all state and local regulations administered under such act applicable to the property and shall furnish to the Planning Office copies of all reports required by such act or regulations.

2021 21. In the event that an appeal of the Board's approval action is filed, all conditions requiring action on the part of the applicant within 90 days are considered satisfied if the required actions take place within 90 days of final action on the appeal process by the courts.

22. If the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy determines that the use of this property constitutes a mine, the applicant shall obtain a mine license from the Division of Mineral Mining, Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy, within 90 days of such determination, or the use permit is void.

23. No offsite-generated materials shall be deposited on the site unless the materials and the plans for their placement have been approved by the Planning Office.

24. If the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy determines that the use of this property constitutes a mine, a sign shall be posted at the entrance to the mining site stating the name of the operator, the Henrico use permit number, the Division of Mineral Mining mine license number, and the phone number of the operator. The sign shall be 12 square feet in area and shall be properly maintained.

25. If the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy determines that the use of this property constitutes a mine, all drainage and erosion and sediment control measures shall conform to the standards and specifications of the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook.

26. If water wells located on surrounding properties are adversely affected, and the extraction operations on this site are suspected as the cause, the effected property owners may present to the Board evidence that the extraction operation is a contributing factor. After a hearing by the Board, this use permit may be revoked or suspended, and the operator may be required to correct the problem. The applicant shall post a financial guaranty in the amount of \$25,000, satisfactory to the County Attorney, guaranteeing compliance with this condition.

2054 27. Failure to comply with any of the foregoing conditions shall automatically void this permit. 2055

2056

2057 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright 2058

2059 Negative:

0 Absent: 0 2060

2061 2062

2063

2064

After an advertised public hearing and on a motion by Mr. Nunnally, seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board granted application UP-2-2002 for a conditional use permit to extract materials from the earth at at 6919 Monahan Road (Parcel 822-699-9433). The Board granted the variance subject to the following conditions:

2065 2066

This permit is subject to all requirements of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of 2067 1. the County Code. 2068

2069

2070 2. Monday through Friday hours of operation shall be from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. when Daylight Savings Time is in effect, and from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at 2071 all other times. Saturday hours of operation shall be from 7:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. 2072

2073 2074

3. No operations of any kind are to be conducted at the site on Sundays or on national holidays.

2075 2076 2077

2078

Open and vertical excavations having a depth of 10 feet or more for a period 4. of more than 30 days, shall be effectively sloped to a 2 to 1 slope or flatter to protect the public safety.

2079 2080 2081

5. All means of access to the property shall be from the public right of way of the proposed I-895 or Monahan Road.

2082 2083 2084

2085

2086

2087

6. A superintendent who shall be personally familiar with all the terms and conditions of Section 24-103 of Chapter 24 of the County Code as well as the terms and conditions of UP-2-2002, and shall be present at the beginning and conclusion of operations each work day to see that all conditions of said Code and said Use Permit are carefully observed.

2088 2089 2090

2091 2092

2093

2094

2095

2096 2097 7. Topsoil shall not be removed from any part of the property outside of the area in which extraction is authorized. Sufficient topsoil shall be stockpiled on the property for respreading in a layer with five (5) inches of minimum depth. If the site does not yield sufficient topsoil, additional topsoil shall be brought to the site to provide the required five-inch layer of cover. All topsoil shall be treated with a mixture of seed, fertilizer, and lime as recommended by the County of Henrico after the results of soil tests have been submitted to the County of Henrico. All topsoil shall be stockpiled within the authorized borrow area and provided with adequate

erosion control protection. This condition shall not prevent the applicant from constructing a screening berm as requested by the owner of the adjoining property.

2101 8. The rehabilitation of the property shall take place simultaneously with the 2102 extraction process. Rehabilitation shall not be considered completed until the 2103 extraction area is covered completely with permanent vegetation.

9. Responsibility for maintaining the property, fences, and roads in a safe and secure condition indefinitely, or for converting the property to some other safe use, shall rest with the applicant.

10. Entrance gates shall be erected and maintained at all entrances to the property. These gates shall be locked at all times, except when authorized representatives of the applicant are on the property.

11. Erosion Control Plans shall be submitted to the Department of Public Works for review and approval at time of application for the Use Permit. Throughout the life of this extraction operation, the applicant shall continuously satisfy the Department of Public Works that erosion control procedures are properly handled and furnish plans and bonds that the department deems necessary. The applicant shall provide certification from a licensed professional engineer that dams, embankments and sediment control structures meet standard and approved design criteria as set forth by the State.

12. The areas approved for extraction under this permit shall be delineated on the ground by the erection of five (5) foot high metal posts at least five (5) inches in diameter and painted in alternate one (1) foot stripes of red and white. These posts shall be so located as to clearly define the area in which the extraction is permitted. They shall be located, and the location certified by a certified surveyor, within ninety (90) days of the date of approval of this use permit by the Board of Zoning Appeals, or this use permit is void.

13. "No Trespassing" signs shall be posted and maintained on the property to warn against use of the property by unauthorized persons. The minimum letter height shall be three inches and signs are to be posted every 250 feet along the perimeter of the property. The applicant shall furnish the Chief of Police a letter authorizing enforcement by the County Police Officers of the "No Trespassing" regulations, and agreeing to send a representative to court for purposes of testimony whenever required or requested by the Division of Police.

14. Excavation operations shall be discontinued on said site by July 31, 2004, restoration accomplished not later than July 31, 2005 unless a new permit is applied for by not later than 60 days before the expiration of the permit, and is subsequently granted by the Board of Zoning Appeals.

2144

2145

2146

2147

2148

2149

2150

2151

2152

21532154

2155

2156

2157

2158

A financial guaranty satisfactory to the County Attorney shall be posted with 15. the Secretary of the Board of Zoning Appeals for extracting materials from 23.24 acres, in an amount of \$2,000.00 per acre for each disturbed acre of land included, for a total of \$46,480.00 guaranteeing that the land will be restored to a reasonably level and drainable condition with a minimum slope on the restored property being five to one or flatter. The guaranty may provide for the termination of the obligations after 30 days notice in writing. Such notice shall be served upon the principal and upon the obligee as provided by law for the service of notices. At the termination of the aforesaid 30 day notice to the principal, all authority of the principal under this use permit to extract materials, and work incident thereto, shall cease provided the applicant has not furnished another guaranty suitable to the County within said 30 days. The principal shall then proceed within the next ensuing 30 days following the termination of its authority under this use permit, to accomplish the complete restoration of the land as provided for under the terms of this permit. A notice of termination by such surety shall in no event relieve the surety from its obligation to indemnify the County of Henrico for a breach of the conditions of this use permit.

215921602161

21622163

16. The applicant shall furnish a certification each year, verifying that the guaranty is in effect, premiums have been paid, and the bonding company reaffirms its responsibility under the use permit conditions. This certification shall be submitted to the Board on July 31st of each year.

216421652166

2167

2168

17. This permit does not become valid until the guaranty, required in condition No. 15, has been posted with the County, and necessary approval received. This must be accomplished within 30 days of the Board's action or the action becomes invalid.

216921702171

2172

2173

2174

2175

2176

18. A progress report shall be submitted to the Board on July 31st of each year during the life of this permit. This progress report must contain information concerning how much property has been disturbed to date of the report, the amount of land left to be disturbed, and how much rehabilitation has been performed, and when and how the remaining amount of land will be rehabilitated, and any and all pertinent information about the operation that would be helpful to the Board.

217721782179

21802181

2182

2183

19. If, in the course of its preliminary investigation or operations, applicant discovers evidence of the existence of cultural or historical material or the presence on the site of significant habitat or an endangered species, it will notify appropriate professional or governmental authorities and provide them with an opportunity to investigate the site and applicant will report the results of such investigation to the Planning Office.

- 20. The applicant shall comply with the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and all state and local regulations administered under such act applicable to the property and shall furnish to the Planning Office copies of all reports required by such act or regulations.
- 219. In the event that an appeal of the Board's approval action is filed, all conditions requiring action on the part of the applicant within 90 days are considered satisfied if the required actions take place within 90 days of final action on the appeal process by the courts.
- 2196 22. If the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy determines that the use of this property constitutes a mine, the applicant shall obtain a mine license from the Division of Mineral Mining, Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy, within 90 days of such determination, or the use permit is void.
- 23. No offsite-generated materials shall be deposited on the site unless the materials and the plans for their placement have been approved by the Planning Office.
- 24. If the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy determines that the use of this property constitutes a mine, a sign shall be posted at the entrance to the mining site stating the name of the operator, the Henrico use permit number, the Division of Mineral Mining mine license number, and the phone number of the operator. The sign shall be 12 square feet in area and shall be properly maintained.
- 25. If the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy determines that the use of this property constitutes a mine, all drainage and erosion and sediment control measures shall conform to the standards and specifications of the Mineral Mining Manual Drainage Handbook.
 - 26. If water wells located on surrounding properties are adversely affected, and the extraction operations on this site are suspected as the cause, the effected property owners may present to the Board evidence that the extraction operation is a contributing factor. After a hearing by the Board, this use permit may be revoked or suspended, and the operator may be required to correct the problem. The applicant shall post a financial guaranty in the amount of \$25,000, satisfactory to the County Attorney, guaranteeing compliance with this condition.

2224 Affirmative: Balfour, Kirkland, McKinney, Nunnally, Wright
2225 5
2226 Negative:

2227 Absent: 0

2190

2195

2200

2204

2210

22152216

2217

2218

2219

2220

22212222

2223

2229	On a motion by Mr. Wright seconded by Mr. McKinney, the Board approved the
2230	Minutes of the June 28, 2001, Henrico County Board of Zoning Appeals meeting.
2231	
2232	There being no further business, and on a motion by Mr. Wright, seconded by Mr.
2233	McKinney, the Board adjourned until February 28, 2002, at 9:00 am.
2234	
2235	
2236	Daniel T. Balfour
2237	Chairman
2238	
2239	
2240	Benjamin Blankinship, AICP
2241	Secretary
2242	
2243	