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**Question and Answers**

**In School Youth Services for WIOA LWA #9**

**May 28, 2015**

**Q1. During the past two (2) program cycles, in which jurisdictions were the in-school programs conducted, what were the funding levels and what were the enrollment levels?**

**A1.** Over the past two year years, in-school youth services were provided by the individual jurisdictions based on an agreement with the public school systems that choose to receive funds. It must be noted: that only the counties of Charles City, Chesterfield, Goochland and the City of Richmond school system agreed to participate.

In program year 2013 (last year) In-school contractors were only allowed to enroll 12th graders. In the previous year, PY2012 the contractors could enroll 11th and 12th but unfortunately the system of record cannot provide a report that distinguishes the 11th graders from the 12th graders.

For more information about the contractor’s budget, actual expenditures and performance please review our Resource Performance Report 2012-2014 located at <http://www.resourceva.com/about-us/our-mission/>

**Last Program Year (PY2013)**

**In Program Year 2013 In-School Contractors were contracted to only serve 12th graders.**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Jurisdiction | Funding Level | Enrolment | | | |
| Freshman | Sophomore | Junior | Senior |
| Charles City | 190,000 |  |  |  | 39 |
| Chesterfield County | 348,905 |  |  |  | 80 |
| Goochland | 188,350 |  |  |  | 32 |
| City of Richmond | 302,000 |  |  |  | 64 |

**Prior to Last Program Year (PY2012)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Jurisdiction | Funding Level | Enrolment | | | |
| Freshman | Sophomore | Junior | Junior/ Senior |
| Charles City | 313,227 |  |  |  | 57 |
| Chesterfield County | 925,264 |  |  |  | 95 |
| Goochland | 191,677 |  |  |  | 39 |
| City of Richmond | 502,000 |  |  |  | 85 |

**Q2. How will funding be appropriated if less than 125 participants are enrolled?**

**A2.** Funding for the project is on a cost-reimbursement basis. It is expected that if the number of participant enrollments are less than 125 the Selected Offeror will not expend direct participant funds; therefore no reimbursement will be requested. In addition, the renewal of the contract will be based on performance outcomes.

**Q3. Is the County looking for instruction and program support? If both, is the County willing to consider a multiple award for components vs. awarding to a supplier for the entire Scope?**

**A3.** This contract will be awarded to one supplier. The supplier can be an entity that has multiple partners that will help fulfill the Scope of Work/Services.

**Q4. Who are the current service providers (or points of contact) for the in-school youth programs (or WIOA workforce development services) for the eight jurisdictions? Please provide name, phone number, service area, and email address.**

**A4.** Information can be found at: <http://www.resourceva.com/youth-resources/299-2/>

**Q5. What is the official start date of the contract? Page 6 Chart 1 shows the contract starts July 2015; page 11 shows the contract starting August 2015.**

**A5.** The contract could be awarded sometime in July and begin no later than August, 2015.

**Q6. What is the actual contract period? Page 6 Chart 1 shows the program closeout as August 17, 2016 and the program surveys extending until September 20, 2016.**

**A6.** The dates listed in the RFP are estimated dates. The actual term of the contract is from date of award through a one year period.

**Q7. Can SOL results be used in place of the CASAS/TABE assessment?**

**A7.** SOL results cannot be used in place of the CASAS/TABE assessment. SOL scores can be used to support based skill deficient.

**Q8. What is the contract funding level for current PY 14-15?**

**A8.** The total award amount for our in-school providers was approximately $895K.

**Q9. Is there a maximum cost per youth?**

**A9.** No

**Q10. What is the current number of contracted youth service providers for current PY 14-15?**

**A10.** In PY2014 there were four (4) in-school youth service providers

**Q11. Can older youth (18+) be co-enrolled into Adult/Dislocated Worker (1Stop) services?**

**A11.** No, if a co-enrollment situation arises we would prefer the youth to be co-enrolled with an out-of-school youth program.

**Q12. Will contracts be cost-reimbursement or other payment structure?**

**A12.** Contracts will be cost-reimbursement only. Please refer to Sec. II of RFP.

**Q13. Can profit be earned for qualifying for profit entities?**

**A13.** Yes